

Dated: February 16, 1995.

J.C. Card,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 95-4406 Filed 2-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[CI Docket No. 95-6, FCC 95-24]

Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The FCC proposes to amend its regulations regarding forfeitures by adding a note incorporating guidelines for assessing forfeitures. This action is being taken in response to a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia which vacated the Commission's 1993 Forfeiture Policy Statement because the Court found it should have been subject to Notice and Comment rulemaking proceedings. The Commission is requesting comments on the advantages or disadvantages of adopting forfeiture guidelines, and on the reasonableness of proposed base forfeiture amounts applicable to violations in the various services.

DATES: All comments must be received by March 27, 1995. Reply comment period April 17, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted by sent to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magalie Salas, (202) 418-1150, or Ana Curtis, (202) 418-1160, Compliance and Information Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, adopted January 13, 1995, and released on February 10, 1995. The complete text of this Commission action is available for copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20554. The complete text of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, telephone number (202) 857-3800.

Summary of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

1. The Commission is proposing forfeiture guidelines which would be used to aid the Commission in determining the appropriate range of forfeitures for various offenses, ensure treatment of similarly situated offenders and provide clearer guidance to the public regarding the forfeitures that can be expected in response to specific violations.

2. If adopted, § 1.80 of the Communication's rules would be amended to include a note incorporating the 1993 version of the Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement, see Policy Statement, Standards for Assessing Forfeitures, 6 FCC Rcd 4695 (1991), recon. denied, 7 FCC Rcd 5339 (1992), revised, 8 FCC Rcd 6215 (1993).

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Penalties.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4330 Filed 2-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 68

[CC Docket No. 94-102: DA 95-141]

Ensuring Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of time for reply comments.

SUMMARY: By Order adopted February 1, 1995, the Commission granted a request for extension of time of the reply comment period in this proceeding concerning enhanced 911 emergency calling systems. This action was taken as a result of motions filed by the Personal Communications Industry Association (PCIA) and the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc. (APCO). Intended effect of action is to develop a more complete record.

DATES: Reply comments are due on or before March 17, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan A. Thomas, Domestic Services Branch, Common Carrier Bureau, telephone (202) 634-1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary to two Motions for Extension

of Time for filing reply comments to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) in CC docket No. 94-102, released October 19, 1994 [59 FR 54878, November 2, 1994.] The comment date was January 9, 1995, and the original reply comment date was February 8, 1995. The Commission noted that extension of time are not routinely granted; however, the public safety issues in this proceeding are obvious and significant. Given the over 1500 pages already filed, the Commission agreed that it would be in the public interest to extend the time in which to file reply comments.

Federal Communications Commission.

Kathleen M.H. Wallman,

Cheif, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-4331 Filed 2-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Finding for a Petition to List the Alexander Archipelago Wolf as Threatened

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of 12-month petition finding.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces a 12-month finding for a petition to list the Alexander Archipelago wolf (*Canis lupus ligoni*) under the Endangered Species Act, as amended. After review of all available scientific information, the Service finds that listing this species is not warranted at this time.

DATES: The finding announced in this document was made on February 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials concerning this petition should be sent to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99503. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Hohn, Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services (see ADDRESSES section) (telephone 907/786-3544).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), requires that the Service make a finding within 12 months of the date of the receipt of a valid petition on whether the petitioned action is (a) not warranted, (b) warranted, or (c) warranted but precluded from immediate proposal by other pending proposals of higher priority.

On December 17, 1993, the Service received a petition dated December 13, 1993, from the Biodiversity Legal Foundation, Eric Holle and Martin J. Berghoffen, to list the Alexander Archipelago wolf (*Canis lupus ligoni*). A 90-day finding was made by the Service that the petition presented substantial information indicating that the requested action may be warranted. The 90-day finding was announced on May 20, 1994 (59 FR 26476). A status review was initiated on May 20, 1994, and the public comment period was open between May 20 and October 1, 1994.

The Service has reviewed the petition, the literature cited in the petition, other available literature and information, and consulted with biologists and researchers familiar with the Alexander Archipelago wolf. On the basis of the best scientific and commercial information available, the Service finds the petition is not warranted at this time.

In the 90-day finding the Service recognized the petitioners concerns for the long-term survival of the Alexander Archipelago wolf; however, the best available information indicates that the subspecies' population is currently stable. The Alexander Archipelago wolf's range lies almost entirely on lands managed by the Tongass National Forest. The U.S. Forest Service is evaluating its land management practices through the development of interim management guidelines to maintain viable populations of native wildlife, and considering long-term management actions through revision of the Tongass National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. The Service

believes that there is opportunity to manage for the long-term viability of the Alexander Archipelago wolf through the implementation of interim guidelines and the revised Tongass Land and Resource Management Plan. However, it is clear by our analysis that without significant changes to the existing Tongass National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, the long-term viability of the Alexander Archipelago wolf is seriously imperiled. The Alexander Archipelago wolf will therefore be retained on the Service's list as a category 2 candidate species. If additional data become available, the Service may reassess the listing priority or the need to list this species.

Authority

The authority for this section is the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: February 15, 1995.

Mollie H. Beattie,

Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 95-4421 Filed 2-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M