

INTERIM REPORT

TO: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Area Office - Alaska
1011 East Tudor Road
Anchorage, AK 99503

CONTRACT NO: AW 0059-81

ANALYSIS OF WALRUS REPRODUCTIVE ORGANS AND STOMACHS
FROM THE 1980 SPRING HARVEST IN THE BERING STRAIT REGION

Francis H. Fay

and

Samuel W. Stoker

Institute of Marine Science
University of Alaska
Fairbanks, AK 99701

28 November 1981

INTRODUCTION

The Pacific walrus, *Odobenus rosmarus divergens* Illiger, inhabits the Bering and Chukchi Seas between western Alaska and eastern Siberia, where it has been harvested in small numbers by indigenous native people for several thousand years. The dependence of those people on the walrus as a subsistence resource remains strong today, and for that reason the governments of the United States and the Soviet Union protect and manage the walrus primarily for native use.

Management of the Pacific walrus requires that both nations maintain continuous surveillance of the numerical status and biological characteristics of the walrus population, including the quantity and quality of the harvests by man. For history has shown that this resource is highly susceptible to depletion by over-harvesting (Fay, 1957), and that it has been recovering in recent years from such depletion (Krylov, 1968; Fay and Kelly, 1980). One aspect of management surveillance is the collection of biological samples from harvested animals, with which to assess their current reproductive status and performance, as well as their age composition, physical condition, and feeding habits. Collections of that type were made by Fish and Wildlife Service personnel in 1980, during the spring walrus harvests at native villages in the St. Lawrence Island to Bering Strait region. This was done with the assistance and cooperation of the Eskimo Walrus Commission.

The following is a report on analyses of some of the materials collected from the walruses taken during the 1980 spring harvest. Those materials included 104 samples of stomach contents from animals taken at Little Diomedé, Wales, Nome, Savoonga, and Gambell, and reproductive organs from 173 females taken at Gambell. Analyses of an additional 110 sets of reproductive organs

from females taken at Diomedes and Nome will be included in the final report.

The materials were preserved and labelled in the field by the FWS personnel and were stored for about one year, before being made available to us in June 1981. After their arrival in Fairbanks, they were stored for about 2 months in 10% formalin in a refrigerated space, before being analyzed.

METHODS

Reproductive Tracts

The reproductive tracts from Gambell were received as preserved (in 10% formalin), labelled (with accession number and date), pairs of ovaries with all or part of the adjacent uterine horns still attached. Before processing, these were soaked in fresh water for a few hours to remove some of the formalin and to soften the tissues for easier handling. After soaking, each pair was separated, and the individual horns were identified as "right" and "left". The ovary was removed from the right horn, sectioned serially in 1- to 2-mm-thick longitudinal slices with a sharp knife, and inspected visually, before the adjacent uterine horn was hemisected longitudinally. Then the same process was repeated with the left ovary and horn. The reasons for this sequence were: (1) if an ovary was found to contain a new corpus luteum of pregnancy, the adjacent horn could be opened very carefully, so that it could be searched for the associated embryo without damaging it, and (2) for the sake of maintaining the correct relationship between structures in each ovary and its adjacent uterine horn, the right and left organs

were handled separately. The latter is important for interpreting the individual animal's reproductive history.

Each sectioned ovary and uterine horn were inspected visually, and all features relevant for interpretation of reproductive history and status were recorded. For the ovaries, these records included the kinds and dimensions of the vesicular follicles, corpora lutea, and corpora albicantia; for the uteri, they included the width and color of any placental scars, presence of embryos, blood, or mucous in the lumen, condition of the endometrium, and when appropriate, the outside diameter of the horns.

In nearly all ovaries of juvenile subadult and adult walruses, some vesicular follicles can be seen without magnification in the creamy-brown cortical layer. These follicles vary considerably in size and condition, and this variability is related to the reproductive status of the animal (Fay, in press). "Healthy" or ripening follicles tend to contain a slightly opaque, gelatinous liquor, whereas the liquor in degenerate follicles is very milky and firm when fixed in 10% formalin. Degenerate follicles with firm, milky liquor (sometimes with a pinkish-yellowish tinge) often are very large and are found most often in postestrus individuals. Apparently, these are follicles which ripened nearly to maximal size but degenerated spontaneously or after release and fertilization of an ovum from another follicle in the same or opposite ovary.

The quality of healthy, vesicular follicles in the ovaries appears to have no relationship to the individual's reproductive capability, for the ovaries of immature females 2 to 3 years old usually contain as many or more than those of the adults (Fay, in press). The size of the largest healthy follicles, however, is a strong indicator of the individual's being in or

out of estrus. Hence, we routinely recorded the size of the largest healthy follicle in each ovary in the present series.

A corpus luteum can be formed from (a) an unruptured follicle, (b) a ruptured follicle the ovum of which was not fertilized, or (c) a ruptured follicle the ovum from which was fertilized. That is, the development of a corpus luteum is not necessarily indicative that the female will give birth to a calf. For various reason, the ovum may not be fertilized, the blastocyst may not implant, or the conceptus may be aborted, resorbed, or born dead (Hafez, 1967). Hence, we identified each type of corpus luteum for the purpose of gathering comparative information on reproductive performance, in this case, the percentage of ovulations resulting in pregnancy. In the ovaries, a corpus luteum of new pregnancy (i.e., from the 1980 mating season) was recognized by its large size (18-34 mm in diameter), irregular perimeter, bright yellowish color (sometimes with pinkish center), and fresh ovulation scar in the adjacent tunic. Accessory corpora lutea, in the same ovary with the corpus luteum of pregnancy (or occasionally in the opposite ovary), were identical in structure with the primary corpus but were less than half as large and had no ovulation scar. Corpora atretica resembled the accessory corpora in size and lack of an ovulation scar, but when present with a corpus luteum of pregnancy, they were not in the same stage of development; in most instances, they were alone in the ovary and most were in a retrogressive state. Corpora lutea of false pregnancy also were small and retrogressive but did have an associated ovulation scar, indicating that an ovum had been shed but not fertilized. Finally, corpora lutea of term pregnancy, in animals that were still carrying a full-term fetus or had recently given birth, were large (21-43 mm), with a regular

border, and generally contained 50% or more fibrous tissue, usually arranged in a stellate pattern. In these, the patches of remaining lutein cells tended to appear more orange than yellowish.

In each instance where a corpus luteum is formed, whether or not correlated with a pregnancy, it eventually becomes a corpus albicans — a mass of whitish, fibrous tissue (Harrison and Weir, 1977). Following normal pregnancy in the walrus, retrogression of the corpus luteum to a corpus albicans takes place over a period of more than 2 but less than 7 months after the birth of the calf (Fay, in press). Presumably, the other types of corpora lutea also require several months to make this change. For several years thereafter, the corpus albicans continues to shrink in size and, eventually, disappears. Since the corpora albicantia derived from retrogression of corpora atretica, corpora accessoria, and corpora of false pregnancy cannot be distinguished reliably from those derived from corpora lutea of pregnancy, and because each type eventually disappears, the number of corpora albicantia in the ovaries of a walrus is not a reliable index of that animal's productivity. Although the number of corpora may tend to approximate the number of ovulations, it is not dependable as an indicator of the number of pregnancies completed. For these reasons, although we recorded each corpus albicans, we did not attempt to interpret the meaning of their numbers. Only those still in a stage of development from a retrogressing corpus luteum (i.e., still containing some lutein cells and/or thecal elements) lent themselves to interpretation.

More useful for interpretation of reproductive history are the placental scars, since these are reliable indicators of past pregnancy. Their appearance in fresh specimens was described earlier by Fay (in press), who

observed that they change in color and tend to diminish in size with increasing age. Their coloration is due to deposits of hemosiderin in the contused endometrium and uterine wall, at the site of detachment of the zonal placenta. The change in color is due to gradual removal of the hemosiderin, over a period of 3 to 4 years in the endometrium and about one year longer in the deeper muscular layers.

In the preserved uteri from the 1980 Gambell sample, the scars were separable into seven distinct types:

1. Broad (about 5 to >20 cm wide), rough, dark brown and bloody, found in animals at full term pregnancy or having recently (in spring 1980) given birth. In these cases ($N = 36$), both horns were greatly enlarged, and the endometrium of the non-pregnant horn, in particular, was extremely vesiculate. In the ovary adjacent to the largest horn (with the scar) was a corpus luteum of term pregnancy.
2. Smooth to slightly rough, dark brown to reddish-brown, 1.5 to 4 cm wide ($N = 13$, mean \pm SE = 24.3 ± 2.4 mm), in uterine horns ranging from normal progestational (non-pregnant) size up to 7 cm in diameter. Usually both horns contained some free blood in the lumen, and the endometrium of both was moderately to highly vesiculate. In the ovary adjacent to the horn with the scar was a corpus with structure and appearance intermediate between the corpus luteum of term pregnancy and the corpus albicans. From the diameter of the horn with the scar and the retrogressive state of the corpus luteum-albicans, these were judged to have aborted or given birth 40 to more than 60 days earlier, well before the normal mid-April to mid-June calving season.

3. Orange-brown, smooth to slightly rough, 1.5 to 3.5 cm wide ($N = 28$, mean \pm SE = 26.0 ± 0.85 mm), in a uterine horn of normal progestational size with no free blood or vesiculation of the endometrium. In the adjacent ovary were one or more corpora albicantia, usually one of which was considerably larger and more rounded than the others, presumably having developed most recently from retrogression of the corpus luteum of term pregnancy associated with the scar. These scars were judged to be about 1 year old (i.e., from term pregnancy in 1979).
4. Bright to dull orange, smooth, 1.8 to 3.3 cm wide ($N = 28$, mean \pm SE = 23.1 ± 0.63 mm), in uterine horns of progestational size, with no free blood or vesiculation. In the adjacent ovary were one or more corpora albicantia, usually one of which was slightly larger than the others, more dense, and more rounded to oval. These scars were judged to be 2 years old (term pregnancy in 1978).
5. Pale orange, smooth, 1.3 to 3.5 cm wide ($N = 22$, mean \pm SE = 20.7 ± 1.07 mm), in uterine horns of progestational size, with no free blood or vesiculation. In the adjacent ovary were one or more corpora albicantia, sometimes one of which was slightly larger than the others, more dense, and more regular in outline. These scars were believed to be 3 years old (term pregnancy in 1977).
6. Usually very faint, patchy coloration of endometrium, but with a distinct pale orange band in the circular muscular layer beneath it, 1.2 to 3.3 cm wide ($N = 17$, mean \pm SE = 16.8 ± 1.18 mm). One or more irregularly shaped corpora albicantia were in the adjacent ovary, sometimes one of which was slightly larger and more dense than the others. These scars were believed to be 4 years old (term pregnancy in 1976).

7. No distinct coloration of the endometrium, but very pale yellowish to orange band or blotches in the circular muscle beneath it. Where measurable, these ranged in width from 0.9 to 2.3 cm ($N = 15$, mean \pm S.E = 14.5 ± 1.10 mm). The adjacent ovary contained one or more irregular shaped corpora albicantia, sometimes one of which was slightly larger or more dense than the others. These scars were believed to be about 5 years old (term pregnancy in 1975).

Numerous other uteri contained no evident placental scars or hemosiderin in the uterine wall, though most had a number of corpora albicantia in their ovaries. These animals were judged not to have been pregnant for at least 6 years.

Stomach Contents

The samples of stomach contents apparently also had been preserved in 10% formalin, although the fixation of some was extremely poor, as if they had not been immersed long enough or in a strong enough solution. Each sample was in a separate, nylon "paint strainer" bag, labelled with accession number, date, and (in most cases) the sex of the animal. Apparently, all of these were subsamples (aliquots) from larger volumes, but in only a few instances was this stated on the label.

Of the 104 stomach content samples, 15 were from Little Diomed, 11 from Wales, 3 from Nome, 25 from Savoonga, and 50 were from Gambell. Nine of the 11 samples from Wales were improperly preserved (or not preserved at all) and had to be discarded. Three of the 50 from Gambell consisted only of congealed blood and gravel and one contained only gravel; these also were discarded. The remainder were analyzed in the following manner:

First, each sample was dumped from its container into a bucket of fresh water to dilute the formalin and separate the pieces, most of which had congealed together into a solid mass. After decanting some of the liquid, the larger solid parts were removed by hand and sorted into taxonomic groups. The remaining particulate material was then decanted from the sediments (sand and gravel) and poured through a 2-mm-mesh sieve. The materials caught in the sieve also were then sorted into taxonomic groups, insofar as possible.

Then the number of individual prey represented in each taxonomic group was counted, and after the excess water had been drained and blotted from it, each group was weighed to the nearest gram. Each taxon was identified to the lowest possible nomenclatural level (usually to genus), based on comparison with reference specimens in the University of Alaska's marine collections.

For each sample, we recorded the accession number, date, sex (when given), and the name, number of individuals, and total weight for each taxon of prey. Also recorded were the weights of molluscan shell fragments, bottom sediments, and unidentifiable particulate material.

RESULTS

Reproductive Tracts

Of the 173 specimens provided from Gambell, 163 consisted of all or part of both uterine horns with attached ovaries, 7 consisted of only one uterine horn and ovary, and 3 were simply pieces of meat, fat, and vascular tissue having no relationship to the reproductive organs (Appendix I). These had been well labelled and well preserved, and 167 of them were

sufficiently complete for diagnosis of current reproductive status of the animals from which they were taken. Four of those (Nos. 36, 117, 132, and 321) were from infertile, nulliparous, immature individuals, as indicated by (a) their slender (1-1.5 cm) uterine horns lacking any trace of placental scars, (b) the absence of any corpora lutea or albicantia, and (c) the presence only of small (up to 3-5 mm) follicles in their ovaries. The remaining 163 tracts were from fertile animals of breeding age, which had ovulated at least once. Of those, one (No. 12) had ovulated for the first time in 1979; one other (No. 76) had ovulated for the first time in 1980. All others had ovulated two or more times, and most had been pregnant more than once before (multiparous).

Current Reproductive Status

Of the 163 tracts of adults that were complete enough for diagnosis of reproductive status, 36 (22%) were either in full-term pregnancy or had recently given birth to a calf in 1980. This was evident from the fact that both uterine horns were greatly enlarged and thickened, and that one of the attached ovaries contained a corpus luteum of the type typical of term pregnancy and parturition. Because only a small part of the parturient horn was provided; however, we were unable to identify in most instances whether the fetus was still *in utero* or had recently been born. Only where the parturient horn obviously was reduced in size from term pregnancy dimensions were we able to confirm that birth had taken place sometime before the animal was killed.

Another 13 animals (8%) which had conceived in 1979 apparently had either given birth to their calves unusually early in 1980 or had aborted

the fetus during the winter, before the gestation was completed. This was indicated in each case by the fact that (a) a recent (brown or reddish brown) placental scar was present in the uterus, (b) the uterine horn with the placental scar had contracted to or nearly to its normal non-pregnant size, and (c) the associated corpus luteum-albicans was more fibrous and consolidated than those of animals known to have given birth within the normal (mid-April to mid-June) calving period. Two of these animals had ovulated again in the current (1980) breeding season, which suggests that they had aborted very early in the winter; one other was about to ovulate (ripening follicles to 9 mm in diameter). Of the two which already had ovulated, one apparently had not been fertilized, as indicated by its shrunken, retrogressive corpus atreticum and a large (11 mm) degenerate follicle; the other had been fertilized and contained a large, normally functional corpus luteum of new pregnancy. The remaining 10 abortive animals were not in estrus and had not ovulated in the current year.

In addition to the one animal which had aborted early in pregnancy and had become pregnant again in the current year, 68 others (42%) also were newly pregnant. Of those, 60 had ovulated only once in the current year and become fertilized successfully, as indicated by the presence of a single, large corpus luteum of new pregnancy. Five others had ovulated twice and had been fertilized successfully at the second ovulation, as indicated by the presence of a small, retrogressive corpus atreticum and a large, normally developed, new corpus luteum of pregnancy. Three others had one or more small, accessory corpora lutea, in addition to the large primary one. The uteri of most of these newly pregnant animals were not enlarged and did not contain any macroscopically visible embryos, although we assume that a microscopic blastocyst was present in each. Only three, taken on 27-28 May,

contained visible embryos in an early stage of nidation (implantation). In those, the uterus was slightly swollen at the implantation site. Their embryos were elliptical blastocysts, about 6-7 mm long and 4-5 mm in diameter, which apparently had not yet reached the "primitive streak" stage of organization.

The remaining 46 animals (28%) were classified as "barren", inasmuch as they had not been pregnant within the past year and were not likely to become pregnant in the current year. Although six of them appeared to be in estrus (with ripening follicles 8 to 14 mm in diameter), these probably would not have been fertilized successfully, since rutting males are scarce after April (Fay, in press). Eight of these barren females apparently had ovulated earlier, during the normal, mid-winter mating season but had not been fertilized successfully, as indicated by the presence of a corpus atreticum in their ovaries.

The findings in this sample are markedly different from those in spring harvest samples from Gambell in previous years (Table 1). Because of a strong tendency for selective harvest of cows with newborn calves, the Gambell hunters in the past always took a high proportion (80-90%) of females that were in term pregnancy or had recently given birth (Fay, 1958; Burns, 1965). In the 1980 sample, however, presumably taken with the same selective effort, the proportion of adults with newborn calves or in full-term pregnancy was only about one-fourth that of the earlier years. This suggests that either the desired parturient cows with calves were unavailable for some reason, or that the method of selection has changed. To the best of our knowledge, the latter is not the case, hence we assume that parturient females were less available in 1980 than during the 1950's and 1960's.

Table 1. Comparative proportions of births, new pregnancies, and un-productive animals in spring harvest samples of adult female walruses from Gambell, 1952-1980.

Harvest years	Sample size	% Bearing new calf	% Newly pregnant	% Aborted, early birth, or barren	Source
1952-61	93	83	11	6	F. H. Fay, unpubl. data
1962-64	109	80	15	5	J. J. Burns, unpubl. data
1965	114	89	7	4	J. J. Burns, unpubl. data
1980	163	22	42	36	This study

Of the 127 females that were not in term pregnancy and had not recently given birth, 69 (54%) were newly pregnant, and 58 (46%) were barren, had aborted, or had given birth unusually early. The respective proportions of these classes in previous years, based on a comparable sample of 206 specimens reported by Fay (in press), were 68% and 32%. The difference between these samples is significant ($X^2 = 6.25$, $p < 0.025$). It suggests that the pregnancy rate in this segment of the population has declined in recent years, and that the proportion of unproductive animals has increased, as was implied also by the foregoing.

Current Reproductive Performance

The reproductive efficiency of the female depends not only on the frequency and proper timing of estrus but also on her ability to achieve fertilization of the shed ovum, implantation of the blastocyst, gestation of the fetus to full-term, and birth and nurture of the calf. The rate of success in achieving each of these steps varies considerably with the age of the mother, being highest in walruses at 8 to 15 years of age and lowest in the youngest and oldest breeders (Fay, in press). Probably, the reproductive efficiency of all age classes is depressed by stresses, such as disease and nutritional deficiencies, which could be prevalent at high population densities and absent or minimal at low densities.

In this series of sexually active females from the 1980 spring harvest at Gambell, at least 49 had conceived in 1979, and of those, 36 (73%) apparently had successfully completed the full pregnancy and given birth to a calf in the normal spring season. Most of those calves probably were born alive, though judging from past performance, a few (1 or 2%) could have been born dead (Fay, in press). The remaining 13 animals (27%) that

conceived in 1979 evidently had either given birth unusually early or had aborted the fetus prematurely. In 10 of those specimens, the uterus already had contracted to the normal progestational (non-pregnant) size, indicating that birth or abortion had taken place during the winter, not later than mid-March. In 3, the uterine size suggested birth or abortion in late March to the first days of April. Although some prematurely born calves apparently can survive (Burns, 1965; Fay, in press), most of them probably expire. Abortuses that were sighted in late February and early March 1981, during the Zvyagino cruise, were dead or dying, and no living calves were sighted.

The proportion of early births or abortions suggested by this sample is significant greater than was indicated by comparable samples obtained in the 1950's and 1960's. In those earlier samples, the proportion of conceptions ending in gestational failure due to abortion, premature birth, and fetal death was only about 5% (Fay, in press), in contrast to the 27% for abortion and premature births alone in this 1980 sample.

This sample also suggests a much higher percentage of reproductive failures taking place between ovulation and conception (implantation) than was seen in the earlier samples. Of 84 ovulations recorded in this 1980 series, only 69 (82%) appeared to have been fertilized successfully; 15 (18%) were unsuccessfully, as indicated by the presence of a corpus atreticum. Because most of the cases of successful fertilization had not yet reached the stage of conception (implantation), in which some further failures could be expected, the actual rate of reproductive failure between ovulation and conception probably was somewhat higher, perhaps about 20%. In comparable samples taken in the 1950's and 1960's, the percentage of preconception failures was a little over 10% (Fay in press).

Past Reproductive Performance

Because the placental scars persist in the uterus for several years and change in color in a consistent manner, the past reproductive performance of each individual can be determined in part from the presence and character of those scars. Confidence in interpretation of them, however, diminishes with the age of the scars, for the presence of old scars may be masked by newer ones in the same location. This interpretive problem can be circumvented by including in the sample only those animals in which there is no possibility of such masking. For example, to estimate from the present (1980) series the proportion of animals which conceived in 1978 and carried a fetus to or nearly to term in 1979 the sample would include only those animals having placental scars that were 1 year old or older; for estimation of the proportion conceived in 1977 and carrying to term in 1978, the sample would include only those with scars 2 years old and older, etc. Because there is no possibility of the Gambell hunters' selection having any biasing effect on this historical record (Fay, in press), each such sample can be regarded as random and representative of the population at large.

The results of this analysis (Table 2) suggest that the pregnancy rate (percentage per year of animals carrying a fetus to or nearly to term) since 1976 has been between about 23 to 29%, though it may have been as high as 37% in 1975. Only the latter proportion is comparable to the rate derived by Fay (in press) from samples taken in the 1950's and 1960's, when the mean pregnancy rate was about 38% per year. This contrast suggests that the pregnancy rate in the segment of the population harvested by the Gambell hunters declined markedly after 1975 and has not yet returned to its former magnitude.

Table 2. Pregnancy rates indicated for the past five years by placental scars in the uteri of females walruses taken in the 1980 spring harvest at Gambell.

Specimens	Year of Birth				
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979
Sample size	17	37	56	77	109
No. pregnant	10	9	13	22	30
% pregnant	37.0	24.3	23.2	28.6	27.5

Stomach Contents

Regional differences

The 15 samples from Little Diomedé contained about 29 kg of prey remains and 1 kg of bottom sediments (sand and gravel). At least 3,722 individual prey, representing at least 33 taxa, were identified (Table 3). By far, the largest proportion of these were mollusks, which made up about 86% of the number and 89% of the weight of the contents. Nearly 3,000 of the identifiable prey were clams and cockles, principally of the genera *Mya*, *Serripes*, *Macoma*, *Tellina*, and *Hiatella*. In terms of weight, *Mya* and *Serripes* predominated, making up more than 16 and 6 kg, respectively, or about 80% of the identifiable animal remains. Of the non-molluscan prey, polychaete and sipunculid worms were most abundant and made up about 5% by weight of the total contents.

The two stomachs from Wales that were adequately preserved for analysis contained a total of 860 g of ingesta. Bivalves made up a large part of this, accounting for about 47% of the number and 30% of the weight of the prey (Table 3). These bivalves were tellinid clams of the genera *Macoma* and *Tellina*, together with *Mya* spp. In greater abundance; however, were worms of the genus *Echiurus*, which made up more than 50% of the number and 36% of the weight of prey in the stomachs.

The dominant prey in the stomach content samples from the Nome area were bivalve mollusks, principally cockles of the genus *Serripes*, of which more than 1,000 were identified. These made up nearly 85% of the 1,252 prey and 67% of the total of 4.7 kg of food in the stomachs. Second in abundance were clams of the genus *Mya*, followed by crangonid shrimps and gastropods.

Table 3. Quantitative analysis of the stomach contents of 91 walruses taken in native harvests in the Bering Strait region, spring 1980.

Loca-/No. of tion/stomachs	15/Diomedea		2/Wales		3/Nome		25/Savoonga		46/Gambell	
Total no./wt. (g) of prey	3,658/29,724		356/2,863		1,252/4,726		10,415/33,916		8,731/48,795	
Proportional composition per taxon	% no.	% wt	% no.	% wt	% no.	% wt	% no.	% wt	% no.	% wt
Anthozoa	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3
Polychaeta	3.1	1.7	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	7.2	0.6
Sipunculida	8.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.1
Echiurida	0.3	0.3	50.6	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	9.1	5.2
Priapulida	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	2.2	1.0	0.9
Mollusca										
Gastropoda	6.7	2.1	0.8	0.4	1.6	1.0	2.5	2.6	11.5	4.0
Bivalvia										
<u>Serripes</u>	9.6	20.4	0.0	0.0	84.6	67.4	5.6	30.2	3.2	8.4
<u>Mya</u>	28.7	60.5	10.7	26.6	11.4	11.9	45.4	38.5	30.4	44.9
<u>Spisula</u>	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	2.2
<u>Hiatella</u>	4.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	5.2	0.0	0.0
Tellinidae ¹	34.1	1.6	36.5	3.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	6.1	0.3
<u>Yoldia</u>	1.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1
<u>Astarte</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	0.5
<u>Liocyma</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
<u>Thyasira</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Bivalve fragments	-	3.3	-	0.0	-	4.5	-	6.0	-	2.7
Cephalopoda	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Crustacea										
Amphipoda	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Crangonids	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2	0.4	0.4	8.0	4.9
Crabs	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	1.9	0.7
Holothuroidea	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.8
Urochordata	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
Fishes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.8	0.2
Animal matter unidentified	1.7	0.8	-	2.8	-	13.7	0.1	1.7	0.8	5.6
Bottom sediments	-	3.5	-	30.0	-	0.0	-	10.2	-	17.5

¹ Includes both Macoma spp. and Tellina sp., the soft parts of which are not readily separable in all cases.

The 25 samples from Savoonga had a total weight of 33.9 kg, of which about 10% was sand and gravel and the rest was animal matter (Table 3). Mollusks were by far the most abundant prey, making up about 97% of the number and 83% of the weight. Dominant among these in terms of numbers were the clam genera *Hiatella* and *Mya*, which were represented by about 4,300 and 4,700 individuals, respectively, out of a total of about 10,400 prey. By weight, however, *Mya* and *Serripes* dominated, amounting to 38 and 30%, respectively, of the total.

Finally, the 46 analyzable samples from Gambell made up nearly 49 kg, of which 50 kg (82.5%) was animal matter. Again, the most abundant prey were mollusks, but in this area they made up only about 69% of the number and 63% of the total weight. Of these, bivalves of the genera *Mya* and *Astarte* were most numerous, comprising 2,658 and 1,157 individuals, but *Mya*, *Serripes* and *Spisula* predominated by weight, making up about 45, 8, and 2%; respectively, of the total. Also present in significant quantities were echiurid worms and crustaceans, each of which made up 5 to 6% of the weight.

Comparison with 1975 results

Area by area and as a whole, these findings are not markedly different from those in a comparable series of samples obtained in most of the same localities in the spring of 1975 (Fay *et al.*, 1977). The proportions of the ingesta made up by bivalve mollusks in the Diomede and Nome areas appear to have been about the same or slightly greater in 1980, whereas they were about the same or slightly lower in the Savoonga and Gambell areas (Table 4). Some tendency toward increased utilization of other taxa is suggested,

Table 4. Comparative proportions (by weight) of prey taxa in stomach contents of walruses taken in spring harvests at four localities in 1975 and 1980.

Location	Diomede		Nome		Savoonga		Gambell		Trend
Year	1975	1980	1975	1980	1975	1980	1975	1980	
Sample Size	71	15	7	3	14	25	13	46	
Anthozoa	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	+++ no change
Polychaeta	0.6	1.7	tr	0.0	0.1	0.5	1.0	0.6	+
Sipunculida	0.6	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	++
Echiurida	tr	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	tr	5.2	+++ no change
Priapulida	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.4	2.2	0.8	0.9	+
Gastropoda	1.1	2.1	0.7	1.0	0.3	2.6	1.1	4.0	+++ no change
Bivalvia	84.0	86.4	73.5	83.8	80.6	80.3	65.2	59.0	+
Cephalopoda	tr	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	tr	tr	+++ no change
Crustacea	tr	0.1	tr	1.3	0.3	0.6	3.3	5.7	-
Holothuroidea	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	2.7	0.8	+++
Urochordata	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	++
Fishes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	tr	0.0	0.2	-
Mammals	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	++
Sediments	2.0	3.5	0.2	0.0	0.7	10.2	2.0	17.5	

and, in some cases the prey found in the 1980 samples had not been recorded earlier. For example, anthozoan coelenterates (sea anemones), urochordates (tunicates), and fishes (sand lance) are three groups of prey which were not present at all in the 1975 samples but appeared in small but significant amounts in the 1980 series. In all areas, the quantities of gastropods (snails) and crustaceans (especially crangonid shrimps) were markedly greater in 1980 than in 1975, and moderate to large increases were shown also in the use of echiurid and priapulid worms. These differences suggest that the diets of the animals in these four areas have shifted slightly toward the use of "alternate" prey, though they still are relying primarily on the bivalves. Conceivably, the much higher proportions of benthic sediments in most of the 1980 samples also were related to this use of alternate prey.

Some differences were apparent between years also in the average unit weights of the principal molluscan prey. Those in the 1980 samples tended to be markedly lighter (smaller, younger) than those in the 1975 samples (Table 5). Whereas such difference could occur between small samples, as a consequence of the stomach contents being in different stages of digestion, that source of error should be evenly distributed in samples of large size such as these. These differences suggest that the walruses in 1980 were preying on clams and cockles that were substantially younger than those in 1975.

DISCUSSION

Since the late 1950's, the Pacific walrus population appears to have been recovering from a greatly depleted state. That depletion was brought

Table 5. Comparative unit weights of primary prey taxa in stomach contents of walruses taken in the Bering Strait region in spring 1975 and 1980.

Taxon	Years	Diomede		Wales/King I		Nome		Savoonga		Gambell	
		N	Unit wt (g)	N	Unit wt (g)	N	Unit wt (g)	N	Unit wt (g)	N	Unit wt (g)
<i>Mya</i> spp.	1975	15,840	23.6	590	27.5	10	3.9	2,944	19.8	1,992	16.7
	1980	1,068	15.3	38	20.1	143	3.9	4,727	3.1	2,658	8.2
Cardiids ¹	1975	2,145	22.3	10	3.1	11,399	1.6	1,972	17.6	509	15.7
	1980	359	16.9	-	-	1,059	3.0	589	19.4	281	14.5
Tellinids ²	1975	1,269	1.1	1	1.0	65	2.7	31	2.2	-	-
	1980	1,268	0.5	130	0.7	-	-	205	0.6	532	0.3
<i>Hiatella</i> sp.	1975	41,371	1.4	5	0.2	-	-	1	1.0	7,586	1.0
	1980	179	0.5	-	-	-	-	4,288	0.5	-	-

¹Includes *Serripes* spp. and *Clinocardium* spp.

²Includes *Tellina lutea* and *Macoma* spp.

about by the Soviet Union's overharvests during the 1930's to 1950's, the magnitude of which was comparable to that taken by the Yankee whalers in the late 19th century (Krylov, 1968; Bockstoe and Botkin, in press). By the 1950's, the population probably was at its lowest numerical level in history (Fay, in press), and it is from that low point that it has been recovering in recent years.

Results of repeated aerial censuses of the population since 1958 have indicated rapid increase in numbers, possibly from a low of less than 100,000 to more than 200,000 animals by 1980 (Kenyon, 1960, 1972; Fedoseev, 1962; Krylov, 1968; Estes and Gol'tsev, in press; A. W. Johnson, pers. comm.). This trend of increase has been indicated also by the population's gradual reoccupation of most of its former range in both Soviet and American waters (Gol'tsev, 1968; Estes and Gol'sev, in press; Fay, in press; J. J. Burns, pers. comm.). To date, practically all former hauling grounds that were used by the population in historic times have been reoccupied, with the exception of the Pribilof Islands.

Beginning about 1978, the native walrus hunters of western Alaska (particularly at Gambell) complained about the scarcity of newborn calves and the leanness of the adults, and they reported that the animal's feeding habitats also had changed in some degree. Those observations, coupled with a mass mortality of walruses at St. Lawrence and the Punuk Islands in the autumn of 1978, led the hunters to suspect that the population had overshoot the carrying capacity of its environment and was likely to decline again, this time from natural causes (Fay and Kelly, 1980). Although a major decline seemed unlikely in such a long-lived, apparently *K*-selected species as this, there was no known precedent on which to base more than a theoretical

judgement (e.g., see Estes, 1979). The walrus is, afterall, different from the other pinnipeds, in that it is a "grazer" dependent on a sedentary, slowly growing food base (bivalve mollusks), rather on a highly mobile, productive, and rapidly growing base, such as fishes or krill. Because of this difference, a rapidly expanding walrus population may be capable of depleting its resources by overgrazing, to the extent that several years may be required for the depleted mollusk populations to recover (Fay *et al.*, 1977). In that event, the walrus population itself might decline for some years, before reaching a new balance with its food supply.

Our findings in the present study, compared with those from the 1950's to mid-70's, indicate further that some major changes have taken place in the productivity of the population and suggest that the quality and quantity of its food may have changed, as well. Rates of reproductive failure appear to have increased significantly, having approximately doubled between ovulation and conception and risen to at least five times the former level during gestation. The concomittent pregnancy rate appears to have declined by at least 30%, and the scarcity of parturient females in the highly selective (for females with new calves) harvest at Gambell suggests that the live birth rate or survival of new calves may have fallen even lower than that.

The stomach contents of the animals taken in the 1980 spring harvest were not markedly different from those obtained in 1975, but they did show a tendency toward the animals' utilization of both smaller clams and a wider variety of other, non-molluscan prey in all areas. Such changes could be interpreted in several ways. Although they might have no relationship to

the population status of the walruses, they are nevertheless the kinds of changes one might expect to take place if the walrus population were exerting pressure on its food base. As the larger clams are removed, the walruses would be obliged to take ever smaller ones and, presumably, to seek out larger, alternate prey, some of which had been utilized in small amounts before, whereas others had not been utilized at all by walruses.

The changes in feeding habits suggested by these findings do not appear to be great enough to have any significant impact on the health of the walruses, yet an average decrease in fatness of about 50% has become clearly apparent in recent years. Whereas the thickness of the sternal blubber in animals taken in 1958-73 ranged from about 3 to 10 cm (Fay and Kelly, 1980), the same measurement on animals taken during 1980 and 1981 ranged from about 0.5 to 7.5 cm (Smith, 1980; Fay, 1981). This is a large and highly significant difference, which indicates clearly that the walruses today are either obtaining much less food or are working much harder to get it, or both. In numerous instances in the 1980 samples, we found that the animals had eaten hundreds of tiny clam meats weighing no more than 0.1 to 0.2 g. These were much smaller than any we had encountered in the 1975 samples, and we questioned the efficiency of a 1,000 kg walrus, seeking and consuming such tiny prey, one by one!

LITERATURE CITED

- Bockstoce, J. R., and D. B. Botkin. (in press). The harvest of Pacific walrus by the pelagic whaling industry, 1848-1914. Arctic and Alpine Research.
- Burns, J. J. 1965. The walrus in Alaska, its ecology and management. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau. 48 pp.
- Estes, J. A. 1979. Exploitation of marine mammals: r-selection of K-strategists? J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 36:1009-1017.
- Estes, J. A., and V. N. Gol'tsev. (in press). Abundance and distribution of the Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens): results of the first Soviet-American joint aerial survey, autumn 1975. In F. H. Fay and G. A. Fedoseev, eds., Soviet-American Cooperative Studies on Marine Mammals, vol. 1, Pinnipeds. NMFS Circular.
- Fay, F. H. 1957. History and present status of the Pacific walrus population. Trans. N. Am. Wildl. Conf. 22:431-443.
- Fay, F. H. 1958. Pacific walrus investigations on St. Lawrence Island, Alaska. Processed rept., Alaska Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit, University of Alaska, Fairbanks. 54 pp.
- Fay, F. H. 1981. Modern populations, migrations, demography, trophics, and historical status of the Pacific walrus. Annual rept. R.U.#611. NOAA Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program, Boulder, CO. 38 pp.
- Fay, F. H. (in press). Ecology and biology of the Pacific walrus, Odobenus rosmarus divergens Illiger. N. Amer. Fauna No. 74. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington.

- Fay, F. H., H. M. Feder, and S. W. Stoker. 1977. An estimation of the impact of the Pacific walrus population on its food resources in the Bering Sea. PB 273-505. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA. 38 pp.
- Fay, F. H., and B. P. Kelly. 1980. Mass natural mortality of walruses (Odobenus rosmarus) at St. Lawrence Island, Bering Sea, autumn 1978. Arctic 33:226-245.
- Fedoseev, G. A. 1962. On the status of the stocks and the distribution of the Pacific walrus. Zool. Zhur. 41:1083-1089.
- Gol'tsev, V. N. 1968. Dynamics of coastal walrus herds in connection with the distribution and numbers of walruses. In V. A. Arsen'ev and K. I. Panin, eds., Pinnipeds of the Northern Part of the Pacific Ocean, pp. 205-215. Food Industry, Moscow.
- Hafez, E. S. E. 1967. Reproductive failure in domestic mammals. In K. Benirschke, ed., Comparative Aspects of Reproductive Failure, pp. 42-95. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Harrison, R. J., and B. J. Weir. 1977. Structure of the mammalian ovary. In Lord Zuckerman and B. J. Weir, eds., The Ovary, vol. 1, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York.
- Kenyon, K. W. 1960. Aerial surveys of marine mammals in the Bering Sea, 23 February to 2 March 1960 and 25-28 April 1960. U. S. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Seattle. 39 pp.
- Kenyon, K. W. 1972. Aerial surveys of marine mammals in the Bering Sea, 6-16 April 1972. U. S. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Seattle. 79 pp.
- Krylov, V. I. 1968. Present condition of the Pacific walrus stocks and prospects of their rational exploitation. In V. A. Arsen'ev and K. I. Panin, eds., Pinnipeds of the Northern Part of the Pacific Ocean, pp. 189-204. Food Industry, Moscow.

Smith, T. E. 1980. Walrus study project 1980 field collection
report Diomede, Alaska. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
Anchorage, AK. 15 pp.

WARRUS

①

Reproductive Tracts ex GAMBELL - SPRING 1980

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpus albicans		Non-uterine		Placental scar		Largest healthy follicle		REMARKS
		R	L	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	R	L	
GW-5	5/11/80	N29	—	18,13	16	25	POBr (cut too short)	1	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-6	"	—	—	15,11,8,7,6	10,10,8,7,4,4	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	2	3	Multiparous; Barren this yr		
GW-7	"	—	—	12,11,10,6	10,9,8,5	None	None	4	5	Multiparous; Barren this yr		
GW-8	"	—	—	None	19,4	None	(cut too short) >20 OBr	6	5	Primipara 1 yr ago; Barren this yr		
GW-9	"	At 8	N26	13,9	6,6	None	25 PO	4	4	Multiparous; c. 2 yr; New pregnancy		
GW-10	"	—	P28	7	14,13,12	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	3	2	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post partum		
GW-12	"	—	P16	None	None	None	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	9	7	Primipara; 4 Ova post partum		
GW-13	"	N32	—	20,20,15,14,10	22,11	>13 v.POr	24 Or	1	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-14	"	P28	—	4,4	15,7	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	(cut too short)	4	3	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post partum		
GW-15	5/12/80	—	P28	16,8,4	12,12,11	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	3	<1	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post part.		
GW-16	"	N25	—	17	None	25 Or	(cut too short)	3	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-16	"	—	P31	21,6	None	(cut too short)	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	2	<1	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post part		
GW-18	"	N27	—	6	13,6	>10 v.PYd	21 Or	<1	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-19	"	At 11	P7	7,7,6,4	7,7,4	blood in lumen None	>30 Br-Bldy	3	D11	Probably abortion > 60 days; recent corpus albicans		
GW-22	"	—	N29	12,8,4	9,8,7,6	15 v.POr	None	2	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-23	"	N23	—	17,9,7	23	(cut too short) v.PYd	(cut too short) >20 Or-Br	3	4	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-24	"	—	—	12,10	12,9	24 Or-Br	None	4	4	Multiparous; Barren this yr		
GW-25	5/11/80	—	—	14,11,10,10,3	13	27 Or-Br	15 v.POr	<1	1	Multiparous; Barren this yr		
GW-26	"	N31	—	18,12,11	13,11	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	<1	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-27	"	P43	—	15,10	12	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	(None?)	<1	4	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post part		
GW-28	5/12/80	Meat, fat, and vascular tissues - Not reproductive organs.										
GW-29	"	At 8	—	12,7,7,3	12,7,5,5,3	None	None	4	10	Multiparous; New corpus albicans; c. 2 yr		
GW-30	"	—	—	21,6,6	None	(cut too short) >20 Or-Br	None	5	6	At least one previous pregnancy; Barren this yr		
GW-31	5/11/80	—	N20	13,10	5,3,3,2	23 Or	None	2	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-34	5/14/80	P33	—	None	14	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	(cut too short)	<1	1	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post partum		
GW-35	"	P25	—	None	12,6,4	(cut too short) Br-Bldy	(cut too short) Or	2	3	Multiparous; Temp preg. c. post part.		
GW-36	?	—	—	None	None	None	None	4	5	Multiparous; immature		
GW-37	5/14/80	—	—	17,11,11,4	10,6,5	25 Or	None	<1	14	Multiparous; c. 2 yr		
GW-43	"	N31	—	11,10,6	20,3	None	20 PO	1	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy		
GW-44	"	P17	—	11	10,9,6	25 Br	23 v.PYd	6	5	Abortion > 60 days ago; Barren this yr.		

WALRUS

Reproductive Tracts ex GAMBELL - SPRING 1980

(2)

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpora albicantia		Parametrial scar Right		Parametrial scar Left		Largest healthy follicle		REMARKS
		R	L	Right	Left	Width	Color	Width	Color	R	L	
GW-45	5/4/80	—	N33	20,12	10,10	<15	vPOR	None	None	<1	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-46	5/15/80	—	N25	11,9,9,7,7	9,6,5	20	Or	None	None	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-47	5/14/80	N27	—	16,12,8,7	21,12	28	Or	None	None	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-52	"	P24	—	13,9	10	35	R-Br	20	vPOR	2	4	Multiparous; about 40 da postpartum
GW-53	"	—	P16	12,8,5	none	25	Or-Br	25	R-Br	<1	1	Multiparous; >60 da v. early birth or abortion
GW-54	"	N22	—	None	6	None	None	None	None	3	2	First pregnancy; New preg.
GW-55	"	—	N28	25,9	5	30	Or-Br	None	None	2	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-56	"	N22	—	13,12,6,5	20,12,10	None	12 v.POR	28	Or-Br	6	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-57	"	—	N28	17,15,13,7,6	9,8,8	None	26	Or	Or	6	7	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-60	"	—	N25	13	8	None	None	None	None	3	4	None with first preg; New pregnancy
GW-63	5/15/80	N27	—	13,12	19,9,8	None	25	POR	POR	<1	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-64	"	N31	—	15	18	20	POR	35	Or-Br	3	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-65	"	—	P36	19,11,9	10	(cut to short)	(cut to short)	Br-Bldg	Br-Bldg	2	3	Multiparous; Terminating or postpart.
GW-66	"	N30	—	21,9	16,14	20	POR	25	Or	9	5	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-67	"	N27	—	17,14,11,8	15,14,10,8,7,4	27	Or	30	Or-Br	8	6	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-68	"	N22	—	14	(15) ^{meas} 5	15	POR	None	None	4	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-69	"	—	—	10,8,7	10,10,6	<10	v.P	None	None	4	5	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-70	"	—	—	12,7	17,11,9,3	None	30	Or-Br	Or-Br	4	3	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-72	"	—	N26	6	9	None	None	None	None	3	2	First pregnancy; New preg.
GW-73	"	—	N28	11,10,10,9,8	11,11	None	? v.v.P	<1	<1	<1	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-74	"	N24	—	11,10,10,6	12,7,4,3	None	None	None	None	3	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-75	"	—	N27	11,10,5	12,8,7,7,2	? v.v.P	13	v.POR	v.POR	6	5	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-76	"	—	N27	None	None	None	None	None	None	3	3	First pregnancy; New preg.
GW-77	"	P17	—	7	7,7	19	R-Br	10	v.P	3	3	Multiparous; >40 da v. early birth or abortion
GW-78	"	N28	—	11,10,6	16	18	v.P	None	None	4	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-79	"	—	N26	12,12	12,6	? v.POR	None	None	None	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-80	"	—	P28	18	9	(cut to short)	(cut to short)	Br-Bldg	Br-Bldg	4	4	Multiparous; Term pregnant
GW-81	"	—	—	12,11,9,8	16,14,12,10,8	None	20	v.P	v.P	3	3	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-82	"	—	N26	16,9,7,6	12,6	None	None	None	None	4	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-83	"	N29	—	12	12	None	None	None	None	3	10	Multiparous; New pregnancy

WARRUS

Reproductive Tracts - ex EdmBELL - SPRING 1980

(3)

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpora albicantia		Placental Scars		Largest heart size, follicle		REMARKS		
		R	L	Right	Left	Right	Left	Width	Color		Width	Color
GW-84	5/15/80	N29	—	18,8	16,11	20	v.P	28	Or	2	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-85	"	P25	—	14	15,9	(cut short)	Br-Bdg	None		2	3	Multiparous; Recent birth
GW-94	5/11/80	N20,11	S9,5,4	13,5,5	13,9,5	None		12	v.P	12	3	Multiparous; New preg. or still ovulating
GW-95	5/15/80	N18,10	—	15,11,7,3	12,9,6,7	10	v.P	None		3	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-96	"	—	P18	11,2	9	21	Or	30	R-Br	3	2	Aborted > 60 days earlier; Barren this year
GW-97	"	—	P	16	(missing)	(cut too short)	(missing)			4	(?)	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-98	5/16/80	—	—	9,8	15,8,7	20	POr	15	PYel	2	2	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-99	"	—	P13	11,4,4	13,8	None		22	POr	2	<1	Aborted > 60 days earlier; Barren this yr.
GW-100	"	P36	—	11	None	(cut short)	Br-Bdg	(cut too short)		<1	2	Multiparous(?); Term preg. or postpart
GW-101	"	N32	—	15,12	13,7	27	Or	None		2	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-102	"	(missing)	—	(missing)	14,11,8	(missing)		None		(?)	1	Multiparous; Not barren postpart
GW-103	"	—	N18	11,8	12,8	20	POr	22	Or	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-104	"	—	—	12,11,5	11,11,7,6	20	Or	None		<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-105	"	N33	—	13,12,11,9	14,12,8,8,7	None		15	v.P	<1	<1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-106	5/15/80	—	—	8,6	9,8,7,7,6,6	None		None		<1	2	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-107	"	N25	P20	8,8,8,4	11,7	18	v.POr	20	RBr	1	1	Aborted > 60 days earlier; New pregnancy
GW-108	"	—	—	20,12,10	16	20	v.POr	None		7	7	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-109	5/17/80	—	—	15,6	8,8,7,5	25	Or-Br	15	POr	2	2	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-110	"	N29	—	7,5,5	18,12,7,5	15	v.P	25	POr	10	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-111	"	P28	—	11	15,10,9	(cut short)	Br-Bdg	15	POr	4	5	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart
GW-112	"	—	N30	17,17,13	8	25	Or	None		2	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-113	"	—	—	15	20,7	None		21	POr	7	7	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-114	"	—	N32	17	None	None		None		1	1	First pregnancy; New preg.
GW-115	"	—	—	21,10,8,8	10,5	23	G-Br	15	v.POr	9	9	Multiparous; Barren but still ovulating
GW-117	"	—	—	None	None	(v. slender) None		(v. slender) None		3	3	Multiparous; Immature
GW-118	"	—	N30	12,8,5,4	11,7,6	None		None		3	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-119	"	—	—	15,13	12,11,10,4	24	Or-Br	None		<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-120	"	N23	—	None	11,9	(v. slender) None		(v. slender) None		7	7	Probably first (New) pregnancy
GW-121	"	N32	—	17,13	13,8	20	Or	None		2	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-123	"	—	—	14,7,5	5	22	Or	None		5	4	Multiparous; Barren this yr.

WARRUS

4

Reproductive Tracts ex GAMBELL - SPRING 1980

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpora albicantia		Placental scars		Largest healthy follicle		REMARKS
		R	L	Right	Left	Right	Left	R	L	
GW-124	5/13/80	—	N35	10,8	12	None	28 Or	2	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-125	"	—	—	10,6	6,6,5,3	None	None	4	3	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-126	"	N28	(missing)	17,15,12,9,6	(missing)	(cut too short)	(missing)	<1	(?)	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-128	"	P23	—	None	12,2	>55 Br-Bldy	None	1	6	Multiparous; about >2-3 wks postpartum
GW-127	"	P27	—	10	19,12	(w/short) Br-Bldy	None	<1	3	Multiparous; about 2-3 wks postpartum
GW-129	"	P31	—	15,11,10	13,12	40 R-Br	23 Or	6	6	Multiparous; about or >45 da postpartum
GW-130	"	—	N24	15,14	10,5,5	20 v.POr	None	3	4	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-131	"	N30	—	12,11,11	13,8	None	None	2	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-132	"	—	—	None	None	(v. slender) None	None	4	5	Multiparous; Immature
GW-133	"	—	—	14,14,11,10	15,12,12,9	None	15 v.POr	1	1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-134	5/20/80	w/scan N12	—	11,10,8,7,6,6,5,3	11,8,8,7,6	13 v.POr	22 POr	2	1	Multiparous; New ovulation not festiged
GW-135	"	—	—	19,10	11,10	24 Or-Br	22 POr	<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-136	"	—	—	13,11,11,11,8,7	11,7,6,6,5,4	15 POr	20 POr-Br	<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-137	"	—	N17,10	10,10,8,6	8,8	None	25 Or	1	6	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-140	"	—	(missing)	9,5	(missing)	None	(missing)	3	(?)	Multiparous Not term or postpart
GW-141	"	P	(missing)	(missing)	5	(missing)	None	(?)	5	Term preg or postpart
GW-142	"	N25	—	23,15	19,9	35 POr	33 v.POr	4	8	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-143	"	(atretic?) P21	—	13,11,7	15,12,10,7,5	15 Or-Br	None	7	6	Multiparous; Barren; retrograding false preg
GW-147	"	—	N25	14	9	(v. slender) None	None	1	2	Probably first (New) pregnancy
GW-148	"	P29	(missing)	11,9,9	(missing)	(cut short) Br-Bldy	(missing)	3	(?)	Multiparous; Temporary or postpart.
GW-149	"	(missing)	—	(missing)	10	(missing)	None	(?)	1	(not diagnosable)
GW-150	"	N29	—	5,5	11	25 POr	30 POr-Br	2	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-152	"	P12	—	5	None	25 POr	(v. slender) None	3	3	False preg; atretic; Barren this yr
GW-153	"	—	—	16,13,11,10	16,10,10	21 Or-Br	None	<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-154	"	P21	—	6,3	10,9,3	(cut) Br-Bldy	(cut too short)	<1	4	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart.
GW-155	"	—	P26	11,7	15	None	(cut short) Br-Bldy	2	1	Multiparous; about 2-3 wks postpart.
GW-151	"	—	—	26,16	14,11	25 POr	None	4	6	Multiparous; Barren this yr
GW-156	"	—	N35	4	11,8	None	15 v.POr 28 Or-Br	4	5	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-158	"	—	—	22,13,11,11	10,2	22 Or-Br	None	8	10	Multiparous; Barren but still ovulating
GW-159	"	—	—	10,5	17,3	20 POr	27 Or-Br	3	4	Multiparous; Barren this yr

WARRUS

5

Reproductive Tracts ex CAMBEIL - SPRING 1980

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpora albicantia		Placental scars		Largest healthy follicle		REMARKS
		R	L	Right	Left	Right width color	Left width color			
GW-160	5/20/80	—	P24	23,11	9,5	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	5	3	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-161	"	—	—	13,13,6	14,7,6,4,2	None	15 POr	3	1	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-162	"	—	—	13,9,6,6	15,10,8	None	None	5	5	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-163	"	—	P15	9,5	12,5,3	None	20 Or	11	2	Ritupping from pituitary; Barren but still circulating
GW-165	"	—	—	12,8,6,6,4	12,6	None	30 Or-Br	2	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-166	"	—	—	9,3	15,10,5,4,2,2	None	12 vP	2	4	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-168	"	—	—	22,12,9,8,7	15,11,10	25 Or-Br	20 Or	<1	<1	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-169	"	16 seen	A18	16,15,12,11,11,9,6	16,11,9	None	None	<1	1	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-175	"	—	—	16	13	20 Or-Br	10 v.P	4	4	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-178	5/21/80	N27	—	14,13,10	7,5	(v. slender) None	None	<1	<1	Multiparous(?); New pregnancy
GW-179	"	—	P13	12,11,9,7	15,10,4	None	None	1	<1	Ritupping from pituitary; Barren this yr.
GW-180	"	—	P23	7	9	(cut short)	(cut too short)	2	<1	Multiparous(?); Term preg. or postpart.
GW-181	"	—	P30	10,8,7	14,12,10	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	1	3	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-182	5/22/80	N29	—	10	10	(very slender) None	None	2	2	Probably first (new) pregnancy
GW-184	"	—	P32	18,10,5	9,7	None	(cut v. short)	4	2	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-187	"	—	P12	12,10,8,8,7	11,10,4	15 R-Br	None	2	1	Multiparous; 760 da ready with or abortion
GW-189	"	—	P23	12,11	11,8,8,7	(cut too short)	None	3	2	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-194	5/24/80	—	P42	12	8	(cut too short)	(cut too short)	2	1	Multiparous(?); Term preg. or postpart.
GW-197	5/26/80	—	P29	9	19	(cut short)	(cut short)	<1	<1	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.
GW-198	"	—	P27	21	None	(cut short)	(cut off) Br-Bly	5	2	Multiparous; about 3-4 hrs postpartum
GW-204	"	—	P28	None	16,12	(cut short)	None	1	1	Multiparous; about 3-4 hrs postpartum
GW-205	"	—	—	16,7,7	5	24 Or-Br	None	7	8	Multiparous(?); Barren this yr.
GW-206	"	Meat, fat, and vascular tissue - Not reproductive organs								
GW-212	5/27/80	—	N30	9,8	10,10,9	None	None	2	2	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-213	"	—	N26	10,9,9,7	12,9,8,5,4	None	None	3	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-214	"	—	N29	14,12,6,6	8,7	33 Or	None	2	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-215	"	—	N26	7,5	5	(very slender) None	None	2	1	Probably first (new) pregnancy
GW-216	"	—	—	13,11,10,8	17,12,12,8	None	None	3	2	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-217	"	—	—	13,11,8,5,4	10,9,8,7,7	None	None	3	2	Multiparous; Barren this yr.
GW-218	"	—	P23	None	23,11	(cut short) Br-Bly	20 Or	4	4	Multiparous; Term preg. or postpart.

WALRUS

(6)

Reproductive Tracts ex CAMPBELL - SPRING 1980

Specimen Number	Date	Corpus luteum		Corpus albicans		Muscular scars		Largest follicle		REMARKS
		R	L	Right	Left	Right	Left	R	L	
GW-219	5/27/80	—	P24	15,13,4	11,6	None	Cut short Br-Bldy	1	1	Multiparous; about 3-4 wks postpartum
GW-220	"	N22	—	13,12,11,10	8,5,2,2	? v.PYel	None	4	4	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-221	"	—	N31	10,7,6	14,13,12	None	15 v.POr	2	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-222	"	—	P23	12,10	8,4,4	(Cut too short)	(Cut too short)	<1	<1	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart
GW-224	"	—	P27	12,11,8	10,5,4	None	(Cut too short)	4	2	Term preg. or postpart
GW-225	"	P24	—	9,8,7,5	12,8,7,7,3	(Cut too short)	(Cut too short)	9	8	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart
GW-226	"	—	N21	16,12,10,6	14,11,8,6	35 Or-Br	None	2	<1	New pregnancy
GW-227	"	—	N24	17,9,9	12,12	30 Or-Br	None	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-228	"	—	—	10,8	21,14	None	20 Or	3	<1	Multiparous; Baren this yr
GW-229	"	—	P20	14,11,10	15,14,8	None	15 RBr	5	1	Multiparous; v. early (w/abortion or abortion)
GW-311	"	N25	—	10,7	14,12,8	None	24 Or-Br	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-312	"	N27	—	17	18	None	13 v.P	5	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-313	"	P22	—	11,11,6,3	19,9,8,6	(Cut too short)	(Cut too short)	1	5	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart
GW-314	"	—	P18	9,8	11,6	18 Or	25 Br	2	1	Aborted > 60 days earlier; Baren this yr
GW-318	"	—	—	14,13,10,8,7	12,9,7,6	20 Or	15 v.P	3	2	Multiparous; Baren this yr
GW-319	5/29/80	N31	—	15,9,7	16,8,7	18 v.Por (cut off)	Or-Br	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-320	"	Meat, fat, muscular tissue - Not reproductive organs.								
GW-321	"	—	—	None	None	None	None	4	3	Multiparous; Immature
GW-322	"	—	N34	18,13,8	17,13,10	None	15 v.POr	3	3	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-333	"	P33	—	11	13,12	(Cut short)	15 v.POr	2	2	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart
GW-334	"	—	P18	17,13,10,9	12	None	13 Por 18 RBr	1	4	Aborted > 60 days earlier; Baren this yr
GW-343	5/27/80	—	N26	17,10,10	None	28 Or-Br	None	1	1	Multiparous; New pregnancy
GW-344	"	—	P23	12,12,7	10,8,6	(Cut too short)	(Cut too short)	1	1	Multiparous; Term preg or postpart

Key →

N = new corp. cut
P = corp. cut, full at
temp post procedure
H = corp. distended
w/ max diameter

← max diameters →

Max width & color

Diam. of largest

Br = brown, Bldy = bloody, healthy follicle or
R = red, Or = orange, Yel = yellow D = degenerate
P = pale, v.P = very pale

APPENDIX II

Analysis of stomach contents from walruses taken in the spring 1980 harvest

Little Diomede 11 May- 11 June 1980

	DW-3		DW-7		DW-8		DW-9		DW-25		DW-84		DW-206		DW-258	
	No. ♀	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♀	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♀	wt.
<i>Anemone unid.</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	18	-	-	56	6
<i>Nephtys</i>	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	12	116	-	-	2	5
<i>Lumbrinereis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Phyllodoce</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polychaete unid.</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Colfuigia</i>	-	-	24	122	-	-	-	-	12	17	163	636	-	-	-	-
<i>Echiurus</i>	-	-	3	14	-	-	-	-	1	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Prapulus</i>	-	-	2	19	3	14	-	-	3	50	5	73	-	-	-	-
<i>Neptunus</i>	-	-	20	234	tr	tr	-	-	6	51	7	75	-	-	2	4
<i>Guacium</i>	tr	tr	2	17	tr	tr	2	10	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	tr	tr
<i>Natica</i>	-	-	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	6	1
<i>Polinices</i>	tr	tr	1	0.5	tr	tr	-	-	4	3	tr	tr	-	-	7	2
<i>Epitonium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1
<i>Gastropod unid.</i>	37	82	43	136	1	4	-	-	12	23	18	47	1	2	9	14
<i>Serripes</i>	53	711	44	1,261	2	32	3	38	3	47	33	714	-	-	36	400
<i>Mya</i>	58	573	134	1,468	57	1,319	163	3,220	97	2,646	35	625	50	810	25	83
<i>Spisula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Myiarchus - Tellina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
<i>Hiatella</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	151	69	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Tellina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	5
<i>Thyasira</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bivalve frag.</i>	-	321	-	122	-	62	-	0	-	50	-	0	-	0	-	47
<i>Octopus</i>	1	14	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-
<i>Anonyx</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bythys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyas</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Caprellus</i>	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Helothurid unid.</i>	2	10	1	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	6
<i>Polonaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Animal fragments	2	11.5	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	-	-	3	1
Sediments	-	0	-	50	-	241	-	164	-	75	-	0	-	70	-	0
Totals	154	1,723	278	3,483.5	63	1,672	182	3,495	292	3,042	274	2,504	51	882	163	577

Appendix II continued

	DW-276		DW-277		DW-278		DW-279		DW-282		DW-303		DW-304		Σ/15
	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No.	wt.	No. ♀	wt.	No. ♀	wt.	
<i>Anemone</i> und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Nephtys</i>	-	-	1	4	74	307	-	-	1	3	7	64	1	3	9
<i>Lucinorereis</i>	-	-	-	-	5	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Phyllodoce</i>	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Polychaete und.	-	-	-	-	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Cottungia</i>	-	-	97	174	-	-	1	8	3	12	4	19	-	-	7
<i>Echinurus</i>	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	5	6	58	-	-	5
<i>Prorulus</i>	-	-	1	50	-	-	-	-	2	40	-	-	-	-	6
<i>Nephtys</i>	2	8	-	-	-	-	1	3	4	31	-	-	-	-	8
<i>Buccinum</i>	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
<i>Natica</i>	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
<i>Polinices</i>	6	1	-	-	15	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
<i>Epitonium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Mar garites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Gastropod</i> und.	17	22	4	7	5	4	3	3	4	13	-	-	7	17	13
<i>Serripes</i>	29	687	2	36	101	1,140	22	567	4	140	-	-	27	291	13
<i>Piza</i>	65	1,337	135	3,180	6	16	210	1,242	23	1,296	-	-	11	130	14
<i>Spisula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Urosalpinx</i> - <i>Tellina</i>	-	-	-	-	1,177	281	-	-	1	4	43	128	45	65	6
<i>Hyatella</i>	-	-	27	26	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	38	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Thyasira</i>	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Bivalve ^{near} fragments	-	146	-	10	-	132	-	48	-	0	-	0	-	53	1
<i>Octopus</i>	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	15	-	-	5
<i>Anonyx</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Byblis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Hyas</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Holothurid und.	-	-	1	10	5	22	3	41	1	9	-	-	6	46	8
<i>Polonaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Animal fragments	-	-	-	-	3	7	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	6
Sediments	-	175	-	72	-	15	-	106	-	0	-	62	-	10	11
Totals	117	2,426	268	3,569	1,440	1,994	243	2,058	44	1,553	61	366	97	615	

Appendix II continued

Wales 29 May - 3 June 1980

	WLW-30 ♂		WLW-37 ♀		f/2
	No.	wt	No.	wt.	
<u>Nephtys</u>	-	-	1	1	1
<u>Arenicola</u>	-	-	4	22	1
<u>Echinurus</u>	96	713	84	318	2
<u>Neptunea</u>	-	-	tr	tr	1
<u>Buccinum</u>	-	-	tr	tr	1
<u>Polinices</u>	-	-	1	4	1
<u>Gastropod unid.</u>	-	-	2	5	1
<u>Mya</u>	30	704	8	59	2
<u>Macoma/tellina</u>	-	-	130	95	1
<u>Animal frags</u>	-	30	-	50	
<u>Sediments</u>	-	260	-	600	2
Totals	126	1,707	230	1,154	

Nome 14-18 May 1980

	NW-1 ♀		NW-2 ♀		NW-6 ♀		f/3
	No.	wt	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	
<u>Neptunea</u>	-	-	tr	tr	tr	tr	2
<u>Natica</u>	-	-	1	1	1	2	2
<u>Polinices</u>	-	-	2	2	tr	tr	2
<u>Gastropod unid.</u>	-	-	7	15	9	24	2
<u>Serripes</u>	1,059	3,184	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Mya</u>	-	-	118	1,098	25	325	2
<u>Crangonids</u>	2	6	-	-	20	50	2
<u>Hyas</u>	-	-	-	-	1	5	1
<u>Pelonaia</u>	-	-	-	-	7	12	1
Totals	1,061	3,190	128	1,116	63	418	

Appendix II continued

Savanna 15 May - 3 June 1980

	SVW-1 ♂		SVW-2 ♂		SVW-11 ♂		SVW-17 ♂		SVW-19		SVW-20 ♂		SVW-58 ♂		SVW-59	
	No	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.
<i>Anemone</i> unid.	12	70	10	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nephtys</i>	3	41	2	6	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lumbrineris</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pectinaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polychaete</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	4	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Golfingia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	94	10	20	-	-	-	-
<i>Echiurus</i>	1	2	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	2	10	1	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Priapulid</i>	5	24	4	27	6	38	1	2	4	38	-	-	6	35	1	10
<i>Neptunca</i>	14	78	25	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	1	0.5
<i>Buccinum</i>	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	tr	tr	-	-
<i>Natica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	-
<i>Polinices</i>	6	17	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	5	5	tr	tr
<i>Epitonium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Crepidopsis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gastropod</i> unid.	8	16	5	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	10	11	-	-
<i>Serripes</i>	2	12	-	-	16	528	8	114	9	205	8	53	30	810	14	361
<i>Chiocardium</i>	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mya</i>	9	193	2	3	42	699	820	1,537	385	752	394	637	23	195	117	1,037
<i>Lacuna/tellina</i>	67	28	44	54	3	5	-	-	1	2	3	8	-	-	-	-
<i>Hiatella</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	103	39	1,291	875	-	-	-	-
<i>Yoldia</i>	4	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Liocyma</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bivalve frags.</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65	-	56
<i>Crangonids</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyas</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	36
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cucumaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Holothurian</i> unid.	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	48	-	-	-	-	5	57
<i>Ammedytes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Animal fragments	-	-	-	50	-	292	-	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sediments	-	235	-	15	-	0	-	0	-	450	-	50	-	30	-	87
Totals	134	723	97	462	72	1,565	839	1,728	531	1,641	1,715	1,659	74	1,151	157	1,694.5

Appendix II continued

	SVW-60		SVW-61		SVW-62		SVW-116		SVW-180		SVW-186		SVW-187		SVW-188	
	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.
<i>Anemone</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nephtys</i>	1	1	2	20	7	105	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lunibrereis</i>	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pectinaria</i>	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polychaete</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Golfingia</i>	1	2	3	13	10	51	1	3	-	-	10	21	1	3	-	-
<i>Echiurus</i>	1	9	2	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	16	1	10	1	7
<i>Priapulid</i>	4	25	-	-	1	23	-	-	2	28	1	2	2	16	-	-
<i>Neptunca</i>	2	10	-	-	10	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Buccinum</i>	-	-	-	-	6	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Natica</i>	-	-	2	5	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	1	2	3	3
<i>Palinurus</i>	6	10	-	-	-	-	3	6	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	1
<i>Epitonium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cnididopsis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gastropod</i> unid.	4	40	1	2	1	6	-	-	5	5	-	-	1	2	1	1
<i>Serripes</i>	38	802	4	112	23	782	63	1,315	4	21	10	146	3	111	3	20
<i>Clinocardium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Niysa</i>	64	652	593	997	38	628	13	288	159	141	785	1,254	367	907	275	655
<i>Glacera/fellina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	2	3	1	2	-	-
<i>Hiatella</i>	-	-	781	371	-	-	-	-	1,272	357	375	157	175	70	202	71
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Loecyma</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bivalve</i> ^{frag.} unid.	-	92	-	60	-	-	-	7	-	48	-	45	-	40	-	24
<i>Crangonids</i>	1	1	-	-	17	107	1	3	1	05	-	-	1	05	-	-
<i>Hyas</i>	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	05	-	-
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cucumaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Holothurian</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	30	3	43	4	50
<i>Ammodytes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Animal fragments	-	-	-	-	-	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sediments	-	0	-	370	-	0	-	3	-	730	-	120	-	355	-	520
Totals	123	1,647	1,370	1,960	116	1,941	83	1,632	1,447	1,334.5	1,186	1,794	558	1,565	490	1,352

Appendix II continued

	SVW-191		SVW-192		SVW-195		SVW-196		SVW-197		SVW-200		SVW-201	
	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.	No.	wt.
<i>Anemone</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nephtys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5
<i>Lambrineris</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pectinaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polychaete</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Golfingia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-
<i>Echiurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	10	-	-	4	3
<i>Priapulus</i>	5	42	-	-	4	30	36	336	5	42	3	28	2	14
<i>Neptunca</i>	7	47	-	-	tr	tr	3	13	tr	tr	12	104	6	15
<i>Buccinum</i>	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	4	17	tr	tr
<i>Natica</i>	tr	tr	2	3	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	3	5	1	1
<i>Palinurus</i>	2	1	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	1	1	3	5	2	1
<i>Epitonium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr
<i>Cochlidopsis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gastropod</i> unid.	5	8	2	2	-	-	4	4	1	1	-	-	18	45
<i>Terripes</i>	48	930	49	931	25	684	11	166	12	135	55	811	60	839
<i>Chiocardium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mya</i>	32	454	34	573	12	131	70	302	328	565	44	198	50	341
<i>Macoma/tellina</i>	-	-	13	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hiatella</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89	46	-	-	-	-
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Loxyma</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bivalve frags.</i> unid.	-	305	-	130	-	162	-	226	-	-	-	343	-	155
<i>Crangonids</i>	8	29	3	7	-	-	2	2	1	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyas</i>	-	-	-	-	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cucumaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Holothurian</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ammodytes</i>	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Animal fragments</i>	-	-	-	-	-	79	-	5	-	-	1	4	-	-
<i>Sediments</i>	-	46	-	50	-	0	-	-	-	535	-	-	-	17
Totals	108	1,867	103	1,766	42	1,036.5	127	1,055	442	1,390	125	1,515	144	1,436

Appendix II continued

	SVW-203		SVW-203		f/25
	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	
<u>Anemone unid.</u>	-	-	-	-	2
<u>Nephtys</u>	-	-	-	-	8
<u>Lambrimereis</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Pectinaria</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Polychaete unid.</u>	-	-	-	-	2
<u>Golfingia</u>	-	-	-	-	9
<u>Echiurus</u>	-	-	3	3	12
<u>Priapulus</u>	3	36	3	30	20
<u>Neptunea</u>	10	60	10	25	14
<u>Buccinum</u>	tr	tr	tr	tr	10
<u>Natica</u>	1	3	4	3	14
<u>Polinices</u>	-	-	-	-	19
<u>Epitonium</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Crepidopsis</u>	-	-	-	-	2
<u>Margarites</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Gastropod unid.</u>	10	12	23	100	17
<u>Serripes</u>	34	619	59	908	24
<u>Clinocardium</u>	-	-	-	-	2
<u>Piqa</u>	31	292	52	221	25
<u>Lucania/Tellina</u>	-	-	-	-	9
<u>Hiatella</u>	-	-	-	-	8
<u>Yoldia</u>	-	-	-	-	2
<u>Liocyma</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Bivalve frags unid.</u>	-	114	-	123	-
<u>Crangonids</u>	6	6	-	-	11
<u>Hyas</u>	1	1	-	-	5
<u>Pagurus</u>	18	17	-	-	3
<u>Cucumaria</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Holothurian unid.</u>	1	10	-	-	8
<u>Ammodytes</u>	-	-	-	-	1
<u>Animal fragments</u>	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Sediments</u>	-	162	-	20	18
<u>Totals</u>	115	1332	144	1433	

Appendix II continued

Gambell 11-27 May 1980

	GW-9		GW-16		GW-22		GW-51		GW-61		GW-62		GW-71		GW-106	
	No. ♀	wt.	No. ♀	wt.	No. ♀	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♂	wt.	No. ♀	wt.
Anemone unid.	-	-	-	-	5	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Byzozoa unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Nephtys</u>	4	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Armeda</u>	25	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Brada</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polychaete unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Coltingia</u>	-	-	2	2	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Eteurus</u>	199	622	-	-	45	253	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Priapulus</u>	2	5	-	-	1	1	1	4	2	11	2	15	1	2	-	-
<u>Nephtys</u>	tr	tr	2	2	11	25	tr	tr	-	-	tr	tr	1	1	-	-
<u>Buccinum</u>	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-
<u>Natica</u>	1	1	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-
<u>Polinices</u>	1	3	tr	tr	1	1	tr	tr	-	-	tr	tr	tr	tr	1	1
<u>Margarites</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Solarisella</u>	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastropod unid.	14	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	5	5	2	6	-	-
<u>Serripes</u>	4	48	-	-	34	292	-	-	19	537	11	185	17	603	5	50
<u>Mya</u>	261	931	1	4	41	371	12	16	150	1,492	66	969	64	1,146	18	314
<u>Scissula</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Hydrobia/Uellina</u>	130	30	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Astarte</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Yoldia</u>	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Thyasira</u>	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Liocyma</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bivalve frags.	-	-	-	-	-	-	440	-	120	-	47	-	206	-	32	-
<u>Catopus</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Anonyx</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Hippomedon</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Protomedea</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Argis</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crangonid unid.	-	-	-	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.5
<u>Hyas</u>	-	-	-	-	4	30	12	14	-	-	-	-	1	0.5	7	14
<u>Pagurus</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
Crustacean frags.	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Holothurian unid.	-	-	-	-	1	36	2	35	1	4	-	-	1	5	-	-
<u>Poloniaia</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urochordate unid.	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	-	-
<u>Ammedytes</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Animal matter	1	2	-	-	-	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wood frags	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sediments	-	0	-	980	-	325	-	1725	-	15	-	30	-	0	-	1,360
Totals	644	1,841	5	1,020	150	1,516	27	2,234	173	2,183	85	1,256	33	1,970	32	1,771.5

Appendix II continued

	GW-112		GW-113		GW-121		GW-122		GW-125		GW-126		GW-148		GW-156	
	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♂	Wt	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♀	Wt	No. ♀	Wt
Ammonite unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	15
Bryozoan unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nephthys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Arctostola</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	196	-	-	-	-
<i>Brach</i>	500	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polychaete unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Colpiongia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polianthes</i>	6	4	tr	tr	3	6	-	-	116	74	69	261	104	412	-	-
<i>Prasopulus</i>	5	25	8	64	6	16	-	-	3	7	5	30	-	-	-	-
<i>Niphrura</i>	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	3	4	-	-	2	4	2	8	-	-
<i>Russellium</i>	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	1	2	tr	tr	-	-
<i>Natica</i>	3	4	tr	tr	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	1	5	1	3	-	-
<i>Polinices</i>	6	8	tr	tr	2	4	-	-	2	6	3	9	1	2	-	-
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sakariella</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastropod unid.	3	4	26	42	17	28	30	55	-	-	21	30	2	2	-	-
<i>Sceripus</i>	3	7	3	17	-	-	-	-	10	45	4	46	14	125	-	-
<i>Mya</i>	3	7	23	112	95	479	-	182	-	-	34	133	114	665	10	149
<i>Spisula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nassa/Tellina</i>	2	1	-	-	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	147	37	11	2	-	-
<i>Astarte</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	7	-	-	-	-
<i>Thyasira</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Liccyra</i>	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bivalve frags.	-	-	-	-	-	31	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
<i>Cotopue</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Anonyx</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hippomedon</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Patomedia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Argis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crangonid unid.	172	337	20	27	-	-	31	93	-	-	9	10	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyas</i>	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	5	-	-	-	-	5	5
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3
Crustacean frags.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
Holothurian unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	6
<i>Beloncia</i>	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urochordate unid.	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ammodytes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Animal matter	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	-	38	-	67	9	102	-	-	-
Wood frags	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sediments	-	0	-	0	-	130	-	0	-	246	-	100	-	140	-	438
Totals	706	516	82	543	120	703.5	65	555	132	421	532	942	259	1,221.5	19	439

Appendix II continued

	GW-163		GW-164		GW-167		GW-168		GW-169		GW-170		GW-171		GW-172	
	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.
<i>Ammonite</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bryozoa</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nephtys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Theraps</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Brida</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	100	15
<i>Polychaete</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	178	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Geltingia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Solmarus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	335	79	258	5	28	-	-
<i>Priapulid</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	82	2	3	8	42	1	3	2	6
<i>Nephtys</i>	4	6	1	2	41	78	1	1	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	3	4
<i>Eucinurus</i>	1	1	tr	tr	4	12	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2
<i>Natica</i>	34	50	1	1	12	17	27	76	1	6	tr	tr	-	-	-	-
<i>Polinices</i>	4	4	4	3	-	-	1	2	1	3	7	10	1	2	3	7
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Solarisella</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Caudofoveate</i> unid.	27	50	1	17	44	138	85	109	1	7	16	23	-	-	8	10
<i>Gerrhipes</i>	4	39	-	-	9	45	-	-	8	68	3	32	2	13	2	25
<i>Mya</i>	15	83	244	1,364	-	-	-	-	1	3	104	500	12	88	266	1,292
<i>Scissula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mytilus/Tellina</i>	-	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	217	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Astarte</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Thyasira</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Liocyma</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pisidinae</i> fragm.	18	-	32	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cetopus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Anonyx</i>	-	-	-	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hippomedon</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Proteanodis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Argis</i>	-	-	-	-	298	1,252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Crangonid</i> unid.	111	415	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	5
<i>Hyas</i>	-	-	2	1	8	50	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	2
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	-	-	8	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Crustacean</i> frags.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Holothurian</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Polonaria</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	10
<i>Urochordate</i> unid.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ammodytes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Animal</i> matter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	-	50	-	10	-	-	63
<i>Wood</i> frags	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sediments</i>	-	0	-	320	-	0	-	15	-	120	-	160	-	0	-	75
Totals	200	666	257	1,741	426	1,607	126	322	373	846	218	1,078	21	145	244	1,516

Appendix II continued

	GW-174		GW-176		GW-177		GW-178		GW-183		GW-185		GW-186		GW-195	
	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.
Amenicne und.					4	21	2	5								
Argoan und.					1	2										
<u>Nephtys</u>																
<u>Arenicola</u>																
<u>Brada</u>																
Polychaete und.																
<u>Geltingia</u>																
<u>Echinurus</u>			8	59	74	222										
<u>Frappetis</u>					3	18	3	30					4	10		
<u>Neptunus</u>	5	20			16	31					4	17	8	27		
<u>Buccinum</u>	tr	tr			tr	tr	1	3					tr	tr	tr	tr
<u>Natica</u>	3	3			16	20										
<u>Polinices</u>	tr	tr			3	6	3	5					1	1		
<u>Narpones</u>																
<u>Sclerella</u>																
Gastropod und.	8	15			78	120	4	8								
<u>Serripes</u>			1	18	4	34	2	25			34	549	4	27	12	223
<u>Mya</u>	190	1,710			40	166	26	80	39	439	103	1,241	83	992	79	1,265
<u>Spisula</u>																
<u>Licoma/tellin</u>							16	5								
<u>Lolarte</u>																
<u>Yoldia</u>																
<u>Thyasira</u>																
<u>Liccyra</u>																
Bivalve frags.		17								3		115		21		
<u>Cotopus</u>																
<u>Anonyx</u>	2	1														
<u>Hippomedon</u>																
<u>Ectenidia</u>																
<u>Argis</u>																
Crangonid und.							1	1					3	6	3	10
<u>Hyas</u>					1	4					1	7	3	19	11	28
<u>Pagurus</u>															1	1
Crustacean frags.																
Hexarthrus und.									1	13			2	13	1	3
<u>Belonaria</u>																
Urochordate und.																
<u>Ammodytes</u>																
Animal matter					113		10									118
Wood frags																
Sediments	5		0		250		10		0		0		753			25
Totals	263	1,771	9	77	240	1,007	38	132	40	455	142	1,229	108	1,509	107	1,673

Appendix II continued

	GW-198		GW-199		GW-200		GW-201		GW-202		GW-203		GW-229		GW-303	
	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.
Ammonite unid.	15	70														
Bryozoan unid.																
<i>Neritulus</i>	1	5			2	2										
<i>Foreresta</i>																
<i>Bryda</i>																
Polychaete unid.																
<i>Colingia</i>	3	8														
<i>Colarus</i>	2	12														
<i>Priapatus</i>					1	4			1	2			2	2		
<i>Nephtys</i>	140	340					1	1	1	0.5	13	26	9	19		
<i>Parionium</i>	33	81	tr	tr			tr	tr					tr	tr		
<i>Natica</i>	2	2			2	3							tr	tr	tr	tr
<i>Polinices</i>	2	3	1	7	tr	tr	tr	tr	1	2	1	0.5	tr	tr	1	2
<i>Margarites</i>																
<i>Solariella</i>													tr	tr		
Gastropod unid.	112	163	1	2							12	19	5	20	2	5
<i>Serripes</i>	2	20	10	145	1	8	1	20	6	96	5	83	1	8	1	9
<i>Mya</i>	3	16	63	1,292			93	1,734	42	355	22	329	30	639		
<i>Spisula</i>					308	963									43	137
<i>Urosalpinx</i>			1	2	1	5										
<i>Astarte</i>	1,157	248														
<i>Yoldia</i>																
<i>Thyasira</i>																
<i>Licocyma</i>	tr	tr														
Bivalve fragments		20														2
<i>Cetopus</i>													tr	tr		
<i>Anonyx</i>	3	6														
<i>Hippomedon</i>					1	0.5										
<i>Protenidia</i>	1	0.5														
<i>Argis</i>																
Crangonid unid.	4	20							16	45						
<i>Hyas</i>	5	7					10	10	7	10	6	15	15	30		
<i>Pagurus</i>	7	9					2	1					2	3		
Crustacean frags.																
Holothurian unid.			7	180			2	28	1	1	1	11	5	50		
<i>Poloniaia</i>							2	3								
Urochordate unid.																
<i>Ammodytes</i>					160	100										
Animal matter		218		89		158		75		30		25		122		30
Wood frags.																
Sediments		20		0		300		50		15		30		130		250
Totals	1,497	1,268.5	83	1,717	476	1,543.5	111	1,922	95	546.5	60	536.5	61	1,023	47	405

Appendix II continued

	GW-304		GW-308		GW-309		GW-310		GW-357		GW-344		No.
	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	No.	Wt.	
<i>Gnathypus</i> und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
<i>Hydrogaster</i> und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Neothys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Proscyllium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Grada</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Polysphaera</i> und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Golfingia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Polysphaera</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
<i>Prasopulus</i>	1	10	1	3	2	22	7	23	-	-	-	-	26
<i>Neophorus</i>	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	tr	tr	tr	tr	30
<i>Eucodinium</i>	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	tr	tr	24
<i>Natica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
<i>Polinices</i>	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
<i>Margarites</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Solarisella</i>	-	-	tr	tr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Crinoid</i> und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	8	36	
<i>Scorpius</i>	33	525	-	-	5	46	7	78	-	-	-	-	34
<i>Mya</i>	94	1,349	81	1,315	48	367	61	1,320	21	335	7	166	40
<i>Scissula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Urosalpinx</i> <i>Tellina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
<i>Pistula</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Yoldia</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Thyasira</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Liocyma</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Bivalve fragments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Cetopus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Anonyx</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Hippomedon</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Protenodina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Argis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Crangonid</i> und.	29	121	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
<i>Hyas</i>	2	18	28	23	-	-	2	1	3	5	1	1	26
<i>Pagurus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Crustacean frags.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Holothurian und.	1	5	-	-	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
<i>Poloniaia</i>	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	5
Urochordate und.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Ammodytes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Animal matter	-	204	-	186	-	40	-	177	-	3	-	10	
Wood frags	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Sediments	-	100	-	10	-	320	-	40	-	0	-	40	33
Totals	21	2,333	112	1,542	73	917	64	1,657	24	359	16	253	