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#### Abstract

From 2000 to 2002, a fixed picket weir was operated on Frosty Creek, Izembek National Wildlife Refuge, to assess the stock status of Pacific salmon and Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma. Chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta were the most abundant species with an annual escapement of about 30,000 to 40,000 fish per year. Dolly Varden were the second most abundant species at about 1,000 to 4,000 fish per year. Less than 1,300 sockeye $O$. nerka, pink O. gorbuscha, Chinook O. tshawytscha and coho O. kisutch salmon were counted through the weir each year. In 2000, the female chum salmon sex ratio was $32 \%$, and increased to $48 \%$ in 2001 and $46 \%$ in 2002. Chum salmon age composition varied among years, with age-classes 0.3 and 0.4 the most abundant. The female coho salmon sex ratios were near $50 \%$ in all three years with age-class 2.1 the most abundant. The female sockeye salmon sex ratio varied from 31-48\% and age-class 1.2 was the most abundant.


## Introduction

The Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) specifically mandated that fish populations and their habitats be conserved in their natural diversity within the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge; USFWS 1994). The conservation of adult chum Oncorhynchus keta, coho O. kisutch, sockeye O. nerka, pink O. gorbuscha, and Chinook O. tshawytscha salmon stocks and resident species that are exploited in commercial, subsistence, or sport fisheries requires accurate monitoring of spawning escapements. Escapement goals for salmon are determined by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG) based on aerial index counts, which are often imprecise (Minard et al. 1998). The more reliable escapement observations provided by a weir help fishery managers to determine salmon escapements necessary to utilize the available habitat and
also prevent the overexploitation of noncommercial species. Benefits to subsistence, sport, and commercial users are maximized when fish populations are healthy.
Information on the fisheries resources of the Refuge is lacking for many drainages, increasing the likelihood of overexploitation. If escapement goals are not met, refuge fish populations could be adversely affected.

In addition to the recognition that decomposing salmon contribute to the nutrient cycle of the ecosystem, adult salmon are an important source of protein for brown bears Ursus artctos and other large predators (USFWS 1985). In the summer and fall, bears in the Refuge concentrate most noticeably at Frosty and Russell creeks and the Joshua Green River. Salmon carcasses are also important for scavengers and decomposers, and are necessary for nutrient cycling, which maintains aquatic habitats. Salmon fry are an important food source for fish, birds, and small mammals.

Information on human use and dependence upon Refuge resources is becoming more important as competition and conflict begins to develop between user groups for the same finite resources. Subsistence and sport fishing use and harvest of Refuge originating fish species are not well documented. Subsistence fishing occurs primarily off the Refuge, but it may impact some Refuge-originating stocks. Sport fishing on the Refuge occurs predominately in road accessible streams and lakes in the Cold Bay Area. During 19821983, sport fishing accounted for about 8\% of all public use on the Refuge, and local residents accounted for approximately $95 \%$ of the sport fishing (USFWS 1985). At that time there were no commercial sport fishing guides operating on the Refuge. Fishing on the Refuge occurred primarily at Frosty Creek because it was accessible by road, and the species targeted by sport fishermen were coho, pink, and chum salmon and Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma. Non-local fishermen visit the Refuge for sport fishing opportunities, and this category of visitor-use is approaching the level of use by local residents. One of the prioritized tasks in the Fishery Management Plan for the Refuge is to conduct a creel survey to assess sport fishing use and harvest of anadromous and resident species in Frosty Creek (USFWS 1994). Documenting public use on Frosty Creek is important because of its proximity to Cold Bay coupled with its accessibility by road.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service personnel surveyed Frosty Creek and several other streams and lakes in 1985 and 1986 (Adams et al. 1993). The surveys characterized the fish populations and described physical and chemical parameters of the streams and lakes. Chum, coho, pink, and sockeye salmon and Dolly Varden were the only fish species captured in Frosty Creek. Therefore, the life history, run-timing, and escapement information collected during this study will supplement the existing information on anadromous and resident fish populations in Frosty Creek. Additional data are needed as a basis for preparing stock specific spawning escapement goals and to assess the impact of potential development or user conflicts. This study will allow managers to determine appropriate escapement levels for Pacific salmon and better characterize the Dolly Varden population found in Frosty Creek.

In 2000, the King Salmon Fish and Wildlife Field Office (KSFO) initiated a 3-year study on Frosty Creek to: (1) enumerate escapement of Pacific salmon and Dolly Varden in

Frosty Creek; (2) describe the run timing of Pacific salmon and Dolly Varden through the weir; (3) estimate the weekly age and sex composition of Pacific salmon such that simultaneous $90 \%$ confidence intervals have a maximum width of 0.20 ; (4) characterize current public use on Frosty Creek and Izembek National Wildlife Refuge land by conducting a general survey of visitors to the weir in 2000; (5) monitor discharge and water temperatures during weir operations.

## Study Area

Frosty Creek, a second order stream, originates in the foothills of Frosty Peak volcano (elev. 2031 m ) and flows north into Applegate Cove (Figure 1). Numerous small tributaries and ponds are included in the drainage basin, which is almost entirely located within the Refuge boundaries. Little hydrological information is available for Frosty Creek, although discharge and water quality (alkalinity, conductivity, and pH ) parameters were sampled during the summers of 1985 and 1986 (Adams et al. 1993). Discharge ranged from $1.61-1.67 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$, alkalinity $13.6-15.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 6.7-7.0$, and the conductivity was $27 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$. Frosty Creek is a clear water stream supporting spawning populations of chum, coho, pink, and sockeye salmon, as well as Dolly Varden.

The KSFO installed and operated a weir on Frosty Creek from 2000 to 2002. In 2000, a fixed picket weir was installed just down stream from the "first bridge" to facilitate the collection of public use information, (Latitude: N55 ${ }^{\circ} 10.102^{\prime}$ and Longitude: W162 ${ }^{\circ}$ $48.603^{\prime}$ Figure 1). This section of the creek is characterized by pools and riffles flowing over gravel and small and large cobble substrate. Alder, willow, and sedges dominate the riparian zone. In 2001 and 2002, the weir was moved downstream approximately 8 km from the original site and installed upstream of the creek mouth where it enters Applegate Cove (Latitude: N55 ${ }^{\circ} 11.672^{\prime}$ and Longitude: W162 $2^{\circ} 51.236^{\prime}$ Figure 1). This section of the creek is characterized by pools and glides flowing over sand, gravel, and small cobble substrate. Willow, grasses, and sedges dominate the riparian zone.

## Methods

## Weir Operation

The KSFO installed and operated a bi-directional weir on Frosty Creek from 2000 to 2002. The weir was constructed of $12-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter electrical metal tubing (EMT) pickets separated by $19-\mathrm{mm}$ lengths of polyvinyl chloride pipe (PVC). The picket spacing was set at 19 mm to allow capture of Dolly Varden. Three-millimeter diameter aircraft cable was used to string the pickets and PVC spacers together, and clamps were attached to the ends of the cables to create weir panels of varying heights (1.2-1.5 m) and lengths ( 3 m ) to accommodate differences in channel depth and width. The weir panels were supported by fence posts and an $8-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter galvanized aircraft cable stretched across the stream. The supporting cable was anchored to the stream banks using "dead men" buried parallel to the stream banks at a depth that allowed the cable to be suspended


Figure 1. Map of Frosty Creek showing both weir sites, Izembek National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska, 2000-2002.
just above the water surface. Weir panels were hooked together and placed across the channel at an angle to direct upstream migrant fish to the upstream trap box and downstream migrant fish to the downstream trap box. The continuous panel was tilted downstream in relation to the streambed, to shunt debris to the water surface, and thereby maintaining free-flow of water through the pickets. The stream banks at each end of the weir were armored with sandbags to prevent erosion. The tops of the panels were wired to the supporting cable and the bottom ends of the pickets were pushed into the substrate. The entire weir was inspected, cleaned, and maintained daily to insure structural integrity.

Upstream and downstream migrant boxes were installed in the weir to capture salmon and Dolly Varden for weekly biological sampling. When fish were not being collected for sampling, the trap boxes were closed and fish were passed through an opening in the weir. During sampling, a dip-net was used to remove fish from the trap boxes at least once a day or more often as the number moving through the weir increased. Weekly samples of all Pacific salmon species were examined for gill-net marks, measured, sexed, and scales were extracted for age analysis. Scales were not collected from pink salmon. Salmon in excess of sampling needs were counted and identified as they were passed through an opening in the weir or upstream trap box. If possible, all Dolly Varden were
measured. Fish were not allowed to hold downstream of the weir. If this occurred, the trap box was closed and the counting panel opened to facilitate upstream passage.

To monitor stream discharge on Frosty Creek, water velocity was measured periodically over a range of stage heights. Stage heights were measured daily from a staff gauge. To estimate discharge, water velocity was measured with a Marsh-McBirney model 201 flow meter. The relationship between discharge and stage height was determined using linear regression. The relationship between the two variables was used to convert stage height readings to discharge for days when discharge was not measured. During all 3 years discharge and stage height measurements were taken near the weir sites.

In 2000, a Ryan Instruments thermograph (model RTM 2002-2) was installed at the weir to monitor water temperatures. It was replaced in 2001 and 2002 with a Hobo ${ }^{\circledR}$ thermograph (model number H08-001-02). Water temperature was recorded every 2 h and summarized as daily maximum, minimum, and mean.

## Biological Data

Data on Pacific salmon age, sex, and length (ASL) were collected using a temporally stratified sampling design (Cochran 1977), with statistical weeks defining strata. All salmon were sampled weekly for ASL information, and to the extent logistically feasible, the sample was collected uniformly throughout each week (Sunday through Saturday). To avoid potential bias caused by the selection or capture of individual fish, all target species within the trap were included in the sample even if the target number for the species was exceeded. Non-target species were netted out of the trap box, tallied, and released upstream. Dolly Varden captured at the weir during sampling were measured to fork length (FL) and released.

Sub-sampled salmon were examined for gill net marks, measured from mid-eye to tail fork (MEF), sexed based on morphological characteristics and aged based on scale samples. Scales were not taken from pink salmon. The number of scale samples varied per species. One scale from chum and sockeye salmon and three scales from coho salmon were extracted from the preferred area on the left side of adult salmon (Jerald 1983). Scale samples were cleaned and mounted on gummed scale cards. In 2000 and 2001, KSFO made impressions of scales on cellulose acetate cards and aged the scales. Two readers independently aged the scales. Ages were compared and differences were resolved in conference. Scales were discarded from the analysis if the age could not be resolved in conference. In 2002, the ADFG office in Kodiak pressed and aged the scales. Salmon ages are reported according to the European method (Koo 1962), where the number on the left side of the decimal indicates the number of winters in fresh water and the number on the right side indicates the winter spent in salt water. In 2001, a subsample of scales was randomly selected from all scales collected ( $N=1,870$ ). If a selected scale was unreadable, additional scales were randomly selected to meet the predetermined sample size of 79 scales per week.

Table 1. Maximum weekly sample size goals for chum, coho, and sockeye salmon from Frosty Creek, Izembek National Wildlife Refuge, 2000 to 2002.

| Species | Number of Age <br> Categories | Sample Size | Percent <br> Unreadable | Adjusted <br> Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chum | 4 | 121 | 10 | 135 |
| Coho | 4 | 121 | 10 | 135 |
| Sockeye | 4 | 121 | 10 | 135 |

Maximum weekly sample size goals were established for Pacific salmon so that simultaneous $90 \%$ interval estimates of age composition for each week have maximum widths of 0.20 (Bromaghin 1993) (Table 1). Sample sizes were increased to account for the expected number of unreadable scales. However, the derivation of maximum sample size goals was based on a multinomial sampling model (sampling with replacement or small samples relative to a large population). In 2000, the weir was installed about 8 km upstream of the mouth. Since historical escapement data were not available for this area, samples sizes for each species were based on an estimated escapement of 5,000 fish. The weekly sample size goal was expected to be a substantial fraction of the passage in some weeks; therefore, during weeks of low passage and the maximum sample size goal could not be practically obtained, about $10-20 \%$ of the weekly escapement was sampled. This was sufficient to describe the age composition and reduce the number of fish handled at the weir. For sample size determination, age categories were defined as the total age (fresh water and ocean age combined) for all salmon species (Table 1). In 2001 and 2002, the weir was relocated to a position upstream of the mouth and seasonal escapement for some species was expected to be much higher than at the 2000 weir location. However, during weeks of low passage a target sample size of about $20 \%$ of the weekly escapement was selected.

## Walking Survey

In 2000, walking surveys were conducted downstream of the weir to estimate the abundance of salmon spawning below the weir. Surveys were conducted about once a week depending on weather conditions. Surveys were not conducted on windy or rainy days because these conditions made it difficult to observe salmon in the stream. Early in the season both observers counted fish from the same side of the stream. Beginning in late August, both sides of the stream were walked.

Escapement estimates were generated for Frosty Creek by extrapolating the individual stream counts using the trapezoidal approximation of the area-under-the-curve (AUC) model described by English et al. (1992) and Hilborn et al. (1999) approximated as

$$
A U C=\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \frac{\left(x_{i}+x_{i-1}\right)}{2},
$$

where $t_{i}$ is the day of the year and $x_{i}$ is the number of salmon observed for the $i$ th survey. Attempts were made to begin the surveys before any chum or coho salmon were present in the streams and to complete the final survey after all fish had died. When the first or last survey was not zero, the trapezoidal approximation fails (Hilborn et al. 1999), and the equations of Bue et al. (1998) were used to estimate AUC for the first and last survey periods. This method is unbiased for estimates of total season escapement, but can be biased if used for partial-season estimates (Hilborn et al. 1999). Since chum salmon were observed on the first survey, the AUC prior to the first survey $\left(A U C_{\text {first }}\right)$ was estimated as

$$
A U C_{\text {first }}=\frac{x_{1} s}{2},
$$

where $s$ is the stream life. Since chum and coho salmon were observed on the last survey, AUC after the final survey $\left(A U C_{\text {last }}\right)$ was estimated as

$$
A U C_{\text {last }}=\frac{x_{\text {last }} S}{2}
$$

Total escapement $(\hat{E})$ was then estimated as

$$
\hat{E}=\frac{A U C}{s} v
$$

where $v$ is a correction for observer efficiency. Values used for stream life and observer efficiency were taken from a multi-year project investigating stream life and observer efficiencies using stream walking surveys for chum and coho salmon on the Alaska Peninsula (Hetrick and Nemeth 2003); 11.9 d was used for average stream life of chum salmon and 13.8 d was used for average stream life of coho salmon, and an average observer efficiency value of 0.74 was used.

## Public Use Information

In 2000, visitors near the weir were opportunistically interviewed after they completed their activity to determine the following information:

1. Primary Purpose: (Hunting, fishing, other)
2. Secondary Purpose: (Hunting, fishing, other)
3. Subsistence/Sport
4. Resident/Non-Resident - State and City of residency
5. Guided/Unguided - Name of Guide or Lodge
6. Target species and number kept
7. How many in their party were fishing/hunting?
8. Hours/days spent on the refuge
9. Creel Survey: number fish harvested and released, and hours fished to estimate harvest and catch rates

## Data Analysis

Characteristics of fish passing through the weir were estimated using standard stratified random sampling estimators (Cochran 1977). Within a given stratum $m$, the proportion of species $i$ passing the weir that were of sex $j$ and age $k$ was estimated as

$$
\hat{p}_{i j k m}=\frac{n_{i j k m}}{n_{i++m}},
$$

where $n_{i j k m}$ denotes the number of fish of species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k$ sampled during stratum $m$ and a subscript of "+" represents summation over all possible values of the corresponding variable, e.g., $n_{i++m}$ denotes the total number of fish of species $i$ sampled in stratum $m$. The variance of was estimated as

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right)=\left(1-\frac{n_{i++m}}{N_{i++m}}\right) \frac{\hat{p}_{i j k m}\left(1-\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right)}{n_{i++m}-1},
$$

where $N_{i++m}$ denotes the total number of species $i$ fish passing the weir in stratum $m$. The estimated number of fish of species $i$, $\operatorname{sex} j$, and age $k$ passing the weir in stratum $m$ ( $N_{i j k m}$ ) was

$$
\hat{N}_{i j k m}=N_{i+m} \hat{P}_{i j k m},
$$

with an estimated variance of

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\right)=N_{i++m}^{2} \hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right) .
$$

Estimates of proportions for the entire period of weir operation were computed as weighted sums of the stratum:

$$
\hat{p}_{i j k}=\sum_{m}\left\{\frac{N_{i++m}}{N_{i+++}}\right\} \hat{p}_{i j k m},
$$

with an estimated variance of

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k}\right)=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{N_{i++m}}{N_{i+++}}\right)^{2} \hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right) .
$$

The total number of fish species $i$ and age $k$ passing the weir during the entire period of operation was estimated as

$$
\hat{N}_{i k}=\sum_{m} \hat{N}_{i k m},
$$

with an estimated variance of

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i k}\right)=\sum_{m} \hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i k m}\right) .
$$

The mean length of fish species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k$ sampled in stratum $m$ was computed as

$$
\bar{x}_{i j k m}=\frac{\sum x_{i j k m}}{n_{i j k m}}
$$

with a sample variance of

$$
\hat{v}\left(\bar{x}_{i j k m}\right)=\left(1-\frac{n_{i k m}}{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}\right) \frac{\sum\left(x_{i j k m}-\bar{x}_{i j k m}\right)^{2}}{n_{i j k m}-1} .
$$

The seasonal estimated mean length for species $i, \operatorname{sex} j$, and age $k$ was calculated as a weighted sum of the stratum means:

$$
\hat{\bar{x}}_{i j k}=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}{\hat{N}_{i j k}}\right) \bar{x}_{i j k m} .
$$

An approximate estimator of the variance of $\hat{\bar{x}}_{i j k}$ was obtained using the delta method (Seber 1982):

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{\bar{x}}_{i j k}\right)=\sum_{m}\left\{\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\right)\left[\frac{\bar{x}_{i j k m}}{\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}}-\sum_{y} \frac{\hat{N}_{i j k y}}{\left(\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}\right)^{2}} \bar{x}_{i j k y}\right]^{2}+\left(\frac{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}{\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}}\right)^{2} s_{i j k m}^{2}\right\} .
$$

## Results

## Weir Operation

In 2000, the weir was located just downstream from the "first bridge" and was operated 25 June through 23 October. Average daily water temperatures varied between 0.7 and $11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 2). Stream discharge during weir operation in 2000 varied between 0.61 and $5.18 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Figure 3). However, stream discharge was not measured at levels above 0.39 m (discharge $=3.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ); therefore, the relationship between water level and
discharge may not be valid at higher water levels (McMahon et al. 1996). Water levels during weir operation varied between 0.14 and 0.59 m .

In 2001 and 2002, the weir was relocated to a site near the creek mouth. In 2001, the weir was operated from 1 July to 16 October. During the first two weeks of operation in 2001, the weir was not completely fish tight. In addition, on 17 July the weir was relocated to a better site approximately 90 m upstream. Due to the relocation, some fish may have been counted twice. On 12 September 2001 heavy rains caused water to spill over the weir; therefore, some fish may have gone upstream or downstream without being counted. In 2002, the weir was operated from 29 June through 7 October.

In 2001, stream discharge varied from 1.6 to $3.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Figure 3). However, stream discharge was not measured at levels above 0.47 m (discharge $=2.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ); therefore, the relationship between water level and discharge may not be valid at higher water levels. Water levels during weir operation varied between 0.34 and 0.51 m . Discharge was not measured in 2002 but water levels varied between 0.36 to 0.55 m . Peak discharge recorded at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) site near the "first bridge" occurred on the same day that the maximum water level was recorded at the weir (23 July; discharge $\left.=4.13 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)($ Chad Smith, USGS, personal communication). In 2001, water temperatures varied from 0.2 to $15.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and in 2002 temperatures varied from 2.0 to $14.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 2).

## Biological Data

Chum Salmon. Chum salmon were the most abundant species in Frosty Creek with an annual escapement of approximately 30,000 to 40,000 fish (Table 2; Appendices B, C, and D). In 2000, the walking survey counts ranged from 2 to 6,223 in Frosty Creek with an area under the curve (AUC) estimate of 29,875 chum salmon (Table 3, Appendix G). Approximately $10 \%$ of the run spawns above the "first bridge" weir site. Chum salmon were first captured at the upstream weir site the first week of July (Figure 4, Appendix B) At the mouth of Frosty Creek chum salmon were first observed in late June to early July with a peak migration approximately late July to mid-August (Figure 5, Appendices C and D). The chum salmon migration was complete by late September to early October. The sex composition was dominated by males early in the season but approached $50 \%$ for the entire run (Tables 4, 5, and 6). Four or five age groups were identified each year with age 0.3 and 0.4 chum salmon comprising the largest portion of the run (Table 7). Within the season, the peak run timing of age 0.4 chum salmon occurred early in the season while the age 0.3 peak run occurred in the middle of the migration (Tables 8,9 , and 10). The average length for male chum salmon was larger than females of the same age (Table 7). The length distribution was similar among years (Figure 6).


Figure 2. Maximum, mean, and minimum water temperatures in Frosty Creek for 2000 to 2002 .


Figure 3. Estimated daily stream discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) near Frosty Creek weir, 2000 and 2001.

Table 2. Total escapement of chum, coho, pink, sockeye, and Chinook salmon and Dolly Varden through the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.

|  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chum Salmon | Coho Salmon | Pink Salmon | Sockeye Salmon | Dolly Varden | Chinook Salmon |
| 2000 | $\begin{gathered} 2,739 \\ 29,875^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 548 \\ 1,835^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | 56 | 55 | 1,321 | 7 |
| 2001 | 35,491 | 844 | 405 | 232 | 2,428 | --- |
| 2002 | 42,194 | 733 | 1,250 | 1,276 | 4,074 | --- |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Area under the curve estimates from walking surveys in 2000.

Table 3. Numbers of chum salmon observed during walking surveys in Frosty Creek, 2000.

|  | Observed Count (Average) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Chum Salmon | Coho Salmon |
| 8 July 2000 | 694 | 0 |
| 16 July 2000 | 2,322 | 0 |
| 22 July 2000 | 3,396 | 0 |
| 31 July 2000 | 2,004 | 0 |
| 9 August 2000 | 3,060 | 0 |
| 17 August2000 | 3,075 | 0 |
| 24 August 2000 | 5,459 | 0 |
| 4 September 2000 | 6,223 | 84 |
| 14 September 2000 | 2,863 | 520 |
| 24 September 2000 | 397 | 766 |
| 2 October 2000 | 101 | 502 |
| 19 October 2000 | 2 | 544 |



Figure 4. Daily and cumulative escapements of chum salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000.

Table 4. Estimated sex composition and standard errors of Frosty Creek chum salmon escapement by stratum, 2000.

| Stratum | Sample |  |  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | Male | Female | Male | Female | SE | Male | Female | SE | Total |
| Jul 9 - Jul 22 | 486 | 351 | 135 | 72 | 28 | 0.4 | 365 | 140 | 2.0 | 505 |
| Jul 23 - Jul 29 | 134 | 91 | 43 | 68 | 32 | 3.7 | 549 | 259 | 29.9 | 808 |
| Jul $30-\operatorname{Aug} 5$ | 44 | 26 | 18 | 59 | 41 | 7.1 | 262 | 181 | 31.5 | 443 |
| Aug 6-Aug 26 | 83 | 49 | 34 | 59 | 41 | 5.1 | 409 | 283 | 35.3 | 692 |
| Aug 27 - Sep 20 | 57 | 27 | 30 | 47 | 53 | 6.0 | 138 | 153 | 17.4 | 291 |
| Total | 804 | 544 | 260 | 68 | 32 | 1.4 | 1,853 | 886 | 58.6 | 2,739 |



Figure 5. Daily and cumulative escapements of chum salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2001 and 2002.

Table 5. Estimated sex composition and standard errors of Frosty Creek chum salmon escapement by stratum, 2001.

| Stratum | Sample |  |  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | Male | Female | Male | Female | SE | Male | Female | SE | Total |
| Jul 1 - Jul 7 | 110 | 70 | 40 | 64 | 36 | 4.3 | 497 | 284 | 33.4 | 781 |
| Jul 8 - Jul 14 | 159 | 95 | 64 | 60 | 40 | 3.8 | 2,629 | 1,771 | 168.5 | 4,400 |
| Jul $15-\mathrm{Jul} 21$ | 168 | 68 | 100 | 40 | 60 | 3.7 | 1,141 | 1,677 | 103.8 | 2,818 |
| Jul 22 - Jul 28 | 185 | 97 | 88 | 52 | 48 | 3.6 | 4,144 | 3,760 | 287.6 | 7,904 |
| Jul 29 - Aug 4 | 173 | 57 | 116 | 33 | 67 | 3.3 | 357 | 728 | 35.7 | 1,085 |
| Aug 5-Aug 11 | 135 | 67 | 68 | 50 | 50 | 4.0 | 513 | 520 | 41.6 | 1,033 |
| Aug 12 - Aug 18 | 171 | 90 | 81 | 53 | 47 | 3.7 | 1,448 | 1,303 | 102.0 | 2,751 |
| Aug 19 - Aug. 25 | 181 | 89 | 92 | 49 | 51 | 3.7 | 2,374 | 2,454 | 176.5 | 4,828 |
| Aug 26 - Sep 1 | 167 | 103 | 64 | 62 | 38 | 3.7 | 3,122 | 1,940 | 187.8 | 5,062 |
| Sep 2 - Sep 8 | 163 | 85 | 78 | 52 | 48 | 3.8 | 1,555 | 1,426 | 113.8 | 2,981 |
| Sep 9-Sep 15 | 157 | 88 | 69 | 56 | 44 | 3.6 | 455 | 356 | 28.9 | 811 |
| Sep 16-Oct 17 | 94 | 31 | 63 | 33 | 67 | 4.6 | 342 | 695 | 48.2 | 1,037 |
| Total | 1,863 | 940 | 923 | 52 | 48 | 1.0 | 17,907 | 17,584 | 468.0 | 35,491 |

Table 6. Estimated sex composition and standard errors of Frosty Creek chum salmon escapement by stratum, 2002.

| Stratum | Sample |  |  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | Male | Female | Male | Female | SE | Male | Female | SE | Total |
| Jun 29-Jul 6 | 122 | 78 | 44 | 64 | 36 | 3.9 | 421 | 238 | 26.0 | 659 |
| Jul 7 - Jul 13 | 156 | 110 | 46 | 70 | 30 | 3.6 | 2,624 | 1,097 | 133.4 | 3,722 |
| Jul 14 - Jul 20 | 161 | 91 | 90 | 50 | 50 | 3.6 | 1,751 | 1,732 | 126.3 | 3,483 |
| Jul 21 - Jul 27 | 160 | 71 | 90 | 56 | 44 | 3.7 | 612 | 775 | 51.1 | 1,387 |
| Jul 28 - Aug 3 | 160 | 76 | 84 | 48 | 52 | 3.6 | 425 | 470 | 32.1 | 895 |
| Aug 4 - Aug 10 | 160 | 90 | 70 | 56 | 44 | 3.9 | 2,278 | 1,771 | 156.1 | 4,049 |
| Aug 11 - Aug 17 | 165 | 86 | 79 | 52 | 48 | 3.8 | 2,313 | 2,125 | 169.9 | 4,438 |
| Aug 18 - Aug 24 | 162 | 100 | 62 | 62 | 38 | 3.8 | 4,472 | 2,772 | 274.3 | 7,244 |
| Aug $25-\operatorname{Aug} 31$ | 161 | 81 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 3.6 | 4,744 | 4,686 | 370.0 | 9,430 |
| Sep 1-Sep 7 | 161 | 82 | 79 | 51 | 49 | 3.9 | 1,769 | 1,705 | 134.0 | 3,474 |
| Sep 8 - Sep 14 | 158 | 77 | 81 | 49 | 51 | 3.9 | 1,209 | 1,272 | 95.8 | 2,481 |
| Sep 15-Sep 21 | 102 | 37 | 65 | 36 | 64 | 4.3 | 195 | 343 | 23.2 | 538 |
| Sep 22 - Oct 7 | 93 | 38 | 55 | 41 | 59 | 4.5 | 161 | 233 | 17.6 | 394 |
| Total | 1,921 | 1,017 | 925 | 54 | 46 | 1.4 | 22,974 | 19,219 | 575.5 | 42,194 |

Table 7. Estimated length composition (mean, standard error, range, and sample size) of Frosty Creek chum salmon escapement by age and sex, 2000-2002. All lengths are mid-eye-to-fork of tail (mm).

| Age | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  | All Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range |
|  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0 | --- | --- | --- | 1 | 575 | --- | --- | 1 | 575 | --- | --- |
| 0.2 | 13 | 577 | 24.9 | 480-620 | 2 | 555 | --- | 520-590 | 15 | 576 | 22.5 | 480-620 |
| 0.3 | 136 | 597 | 11.0 | 530-675 | 87 | 588 | 11.5 | 545-660 | 223 | 594 | 10.9 | 530-675 |
| 0.4 | 25 | 623 | 16.0 | 580-700 | 24 | 594 | 10.0 | 545-670 | 49 | 609 | 15.7 | 545-700 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 640 | --- | --- | 0 | --- | --- | --- |  | 640 | --- | --- |
|  | $2001{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 11 | 540 | 11.2 | 515-574 | 6 | 557 | 4.4 | 538-565 | 17 | 546 | 9.8 | 515-574 |
| 0.3 | 314 | 592 | 11.7 | 500-678 | 267 | 580 | 9.3 | 504-667 | 585 | 587 | 10.4 | 500-678 |
| 0.4 | 166 | 624 | 7.8 | 532-726 | 171 | 599 | 11.9 | 516-703 | 337 | 611 | 12.4 | 516-726 |
| 0.5 | 7 | 611 | 26.9 | 555-715 | 3 | 628 | --- | 584-646 | 10 | 620 | 17.3 | 555-715 |
|  | $2002{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 452 | --- | --- | 0 | --- | --- | --- | 1 | 452 | --- | --- |
| 0.2 | 116 | 535 | 12.5 | 449-628 | 66 | 544 | 14.0 | 471-620 | 186 | 536 | 12.6 | 423-628 |
| 0.3 | 403 | 605 | 11.9 | 510-674 | 411 | 588 | 10.5 | 500-677 | 817 | 597 | 11.6 | 500-677 |
| 0.4 | 351 | 624 | 12.4 | 509-696 | 311 | 607 | 9.4 | 522-676 | 665 | 616 | 11.3 | 509-696 |
| 0.5 | 10 | 617 | 24.2 | 557-674 | 11 | 624 | 7.9 | 580-675 | 21 | 622 | 13.5 | 557-675 |
| 1.3 | 0 | --- | --- | --- | 1 | 567 | --- | --- | 1 | 567 | -- | --- |

[^0]Table 8. Estimated age composition (percent and number) and standard errors of chum salmon by stratum in Frosty Creek, 2000.

|  | Sample |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| Strata | $N$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE |
| 1 | 19 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 5.2 | 27 | 26.1 | 90 | 7.1 | 452 | 35.8 | 5 | 5.2 | 27 | 26.1 |
| 2 | 105 | 6 | 82 | 16 | 6 | 2.1 | 46 | 17.2 | 78 | 3.8 | 631 | 30.6 | 15 | 3.3 | 123 | 26.6 |
| 3 | 43 | 4 | 32 | 7 | 9 | 4.3 | 41 | 18.9 | 75 | 6.4 | 330 | 28.3 | 16 | 5.4 | 72 | 24.0 |
| 4 | 51 | 1 | 36 | 13 | 2 | 1.9 | 13 | 13.1 | 71 | 6.2 | 488 | 42.9 | 25 | 5.9 | 176 | 41.0 |
| 5 | 73 | 3 | 58 | 12 | 4 | 2.0 | 12 | 5.9 | 80 | 4.1 | 231 | 12.0 | 16 | 3.8 | 47 | 11.0 |
| $\text { Total }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 291 | 15 | 225 | 49 | 5 | 1.4 | 139 | 39.2 | 78 | 2.6 | 2,132 | 70.8 | 16 | 2.2 | 446 | 61.4 |

${ }^{2}$ Sample sizes listed for age classes do not equal the total because ages 0.1 and $0.5(N=2)$ were not included since they were $<1 \%$ of the total sample.

Table 9. Estimated age composition (percent and number) and standard errors of chum salmon by stratum in Frosty Creek, 2001.

| Strata | Sample |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE |
| 1 | 79 | 1 | 22 | 55 | 1 | 1.2 | 10 | 9.4 | 28 | 4.8 | 217 | 3706 | 70 | 4.9 | 544 | 38.6 |
| 2 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 57 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 4.8 | 1,100 | 212.4 | 71 | 5.0 | 3,135 | 222.0 |
| 3 | 79 | 0 | 38 | 41 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 48 | 5.6 | 1,355 | 157.2 | 52 | 5.6 | 1,463 | 157.2 |
| 4 | 79 | 0 | 45 | 33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 57 | 5.6 | 4,502 | 440.9 | 42 | 5.6 | 3,302 | 439.2 |
| 5 | 79 | 1 | 49 | 26 | 1 | 1.2 | 14 | 13.2 | 62 | 5.3 | 673 | 57.4 | 33 | 5.1 | 357 | 55.6 |
| 6 | 79 | 0 | 48 | 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 61 | 5.3 | 628 | 54.9 | 38 | 5.3 | 392 | 54.6 |
| 7 | 79 | 0 | 53 | 26 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 67 | 5.2 | 1,846 | 144.2 | 33 | 5.2 | 905 | 144.3 |
| 8 | 79 | 5 | 58 | 16 | 6 | 2.7 | 306 | 132.0 | 73 | 5.0 | 3,545 | 239.5 | 20 | 4.5 | 978 | 217.9 |
| 9 | 79 | 2 | 63 | 14 | 3 | 1.8 | 128 | 89.3 | 80 | 4.5 | 4,037 | 228.5 | 18 | 4.3 | 897 | 217.2 |
| 10 | 79 | 2 | 57 | 20 | 3 | 1.8 | 75 | 52.3 | 72 | 5.0 | 2,151 | 149.3 | 25 | 4.9 | 755 | 144.8 |
| 11 | 79 | 3 | 65 | 10 | 4 | 2.0 | 31 | 16.7 | 82 | 4.1 | 667 | 33.3 | 13 | 3.6 | 103 | 29.0 |
| 12 | 79 | 3 | 67 | 9 | 4 | 2.1 | 39 | 21.6 | 85 | 3.9 | 879 | 40.5 | 11 | 3.5 | 118 | 35.9 |
| Total | $949{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17 | 585 | 337 | 2 | 0.5 | 603 | 170.7 | 61 | 1.8 | 21,600 | 653.7 | 36 | 1.8 | 12,948 | 642.6 |

[^1]Table 10. Estimated age composition (percent and number) and standard errors of chum salmon by stratum in Frosty Creek, 2002.

| Strata | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE | \% | SE | No. | SE |
| 1 | 113 | 0 | 8 | 103 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.2 | 47 | 14.5 | 91 | 2.4 | 601 | 16.1 | 2 | 1.1 | 12 | 7.5 |
| 2 | 138 | 1 | 10 | 127 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 27 | 26.5 | 7 | 2.2 | 270 | 80.9 | 92 | 2.2 | 3,425 | 84.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 168 | 1 | 33 | 124 | 10 | $<1$ | 0.6 | 21 | 20.2 | 20 | 3.0 | 684 | 104.5 | 74 | 3.3 | 2,571 | 115.6 | 6 | 1.8 | 207 | 62.2 |
| 4 | 141 | 2 | 54 | 82 | 3 | $<1$ | 0.9 | 20 | 13.1 | 39 | 3.9 | 531 | 54.0 | 58 | 4.0 | 807 | 54.8 | 2 | 1.2 | 30 | 16.0 |
| 5 | 148 | 6 | 66 | 72 | 3 | 4 | 1.5 | 36 | 13.3 | 45 | 3.7 | 399 | 33.5 | 48 | 3.8 | 435 | 33.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 18 | 9.5 |
| 6 | 139 | 12 | 80 | 47 | 0 | 8 | 2.3 | 350 | 95.1 | 58 | 4.1 | 2,330 | 167.4 | 34 | 4.0 | 1,369 | 160.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 139 | 16 | 101 | 21 | 1 | 12 | 2.7 | 511 | 118.7 | 72 | 3.7 | 3,225 | 165.7 | 15 | 3.0 | 670 | 133.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 32 | 31.4 |
| 8 | 139 | 8 | 111 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 2.0 | 417 | 142.2 | 80 | 3.4 | 5,785 | 244.9 | 14 | 3.0 | 1,042 | 214.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 138 | 18 | 103 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 2.9 | 1,230 | 269.3 | 75 | 3.7 | 7,038 | 348.0 | 11 | 2.6 | 1,025 | 248.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 68 | 67.8 |
| 10 | 135 | 26 | 89 | 20 | 0 | 19 | 3.3 | 669 | 116.0 | 66 | 4.0 | 2,290 | 139.4 | 15 | 3.0 | 515 | 104.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | 129 | 45 | 69 | 14 | 1 | 35 | 4.1 | 865 | 101.8 | 53 | 4.3 | 1,327 | 106.5 | 11 | 2.7 | 269 | 66.4 | 1 | 0.8 | 19 | 18.7 |
| 12 | 85 | 23 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 4.4 | 146 | 23.9 | 66 | 4.7 | 354 | 25.5 | 7 | 2.6 | 38 | 13.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 79 | 28 | 37 | 14 | 0 | 35 | 4.8 | 140 | 19.1 | 47 | 5.1 | 185 | 19.9 | 18 | 3.9 | 70 | 15.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,691 | 186 | 817 | 665 | 21 | 10 | 0.9 | 4,432 | 377.0 | 58 | 1.3 | 24,465 | 538.6 | 30 | 1.0 | 12,837 | 438.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 386 | 101.1 |

[^2]

Figure 6. Length and cumulative length frequencies of chum salmon measured at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.

Coho Salmon. In 2000, the walking counts ranged from 0 to 766 with an AUC estimate of 1,835 fish (Table 3, Appendix G). The weir counts were less than 1000 fish annually (Table 2; Appendices B, C, and D). Coho salmon were first observed at the weir in midAugust (Figures 7 and 8). The peak daily escapement occurred in mid-September. Small numbers of coho salmon were migrating past the weir when it was removed. The sex composition for the entire season was not skewed (Table 11). Five to seven age groups were identified each year with age 2.1 and age 3.1 the dominant age classes (Table 12). In 2002, age 2.0 and 3.0 fish passed by the weir in greater numbers than in previous years. Fish lengths varied considerably between years (Figure 9). Male coho salmon lengths ranged from 308 to 690 mm and females ranged from 449 to 691 mm (Table 13). The mean length of female coho salmon was generally larger than the same aged males.

Pink Salmon. Escapement estimates ranged from 56 fish in 2000 to 1250 fish in 2002 (Table 2; Appendices B, C, and D). Pink salmon were first captured at the weir in midJuly with a peak daily escapement in mid to late August (Figures 10 and 11, Appendices B, C, and D). Pink Salmon were not observed passing the weir after mid- September. Females comprised $51 \%, 47 \%$, and $47 \%$ of the run during 2000, 2001, and 2002, respectively. Lengths of male pink salmon ranged from 362 to 540 mm and females from 382 to 527 mm . Pink salmon lengths did not show much annual variation (Figure 12).

Sockeye salmon. Escapement estimates ranged from 55 fish in 2000 to 1276 fish in 2002 (Table 2; Appendices B, C, and D). Sockeye salmon were first captured at the weir in late June to early July with a peak daily escapement in mid to late July (Figures 13 and 14 , Appendices B, C and D). The sockeye salmon migration began about a week later at the "first bridge" weir site with a peak migration occurring in late August. Females comprised $31 \%, 48 \%$, and $39 \%$ of the run during 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. Five to six age groups were identified each year and 1.2 and 1.3 were the dominant age classes (Table 14). Male sockeye salmon lengths ranged from 418 to 630 mm and females from 420 to 590 mm (Table 14). Lengths of sockeye salmon were highly variable between years (Figure 15).

Dolly Varden. Dolly Varden were the second most abundant fish species found in Frosty Creek. Escapement estimates ranged from 1321 in 2000 to 4074 in 2002 (Table 2, Appendix E). Dolly Varden were first captured at the weir near the mouth of Frosty Creek in late June to early July and the peak daily escapement occurred during mid to late July (Figure 16; Appendix E). Dolly Varden were first captured at the "first bridge" weir site in late July and the peak daily escapement occurred in late August. Dolly Varden were observed at both weirs sites until the end of the sample season. Peak downstream movement occurred from late September to mid-October (Figure 17). More Dolly Varden were counted in the upstream traps than the downstream traps. The mean length for Dolly Varden captured in the upstream trap box was $405 \mathrm{~mm}, 401 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 424 mm while, in the downstream trap, the mean length was $448 \mathrm{~mm}, 417 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 421 mm during 2000, 2001, and 2002 respectively. Lengths ranged between 180 to 600 mm and the distribution was highly variable among years (Figure 18).


Figure 7. Daily and cumulative escapements of coho salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000.

Table 11. Estimated sex composition and standard errors of Frosty Creek coho salmon escapement by stratum, 2000-2002.

| Year | Sample |  |  | Estimated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | Male | Female | Male | Female | SE | Male | Female | SE | Total |
| 2000 | 101 | 56 | 45 | 55 | 45 | 4.5 | 303 | 244 | 24.7 | 548 |
| 2001 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 47 | 53 | 8.5 | 397 | 447 | 17.8 | 844 |
| 2002 | 113 | 54 | 59 | 48 | 52 | 4.3 | 350 | 382 | 31.8 | 733 |



Figure 8. Daily and cumulative escapements of coho salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2001 and 2002.

Table 12. Estimated age composition (percent, percent standard error, estimated number, and standard error) of Frosty Creek coho salmon escapement, 2000-2002.

|  |  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $N$ | $\%$ | \%SE | No. | SE |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | 7 | 6 | 6.9 | 41 | 13.7 |  |
| 2.0 | 1 | 2 | 1.6 | 6 | 5.6 |  |
| 2.1 | 68 | 72 | 6.0 | 395 | 23.4 |  |
| 2.2 | 1 | 2 | 1.6 | 6 | 5.6 |  |
| 3.0 | 1 | 3 | 2.4 | 5 | 4.8 |  |
| 3.1 | 16 | 15 | 5.1 | 94 | 19.6 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | 3 | 8 |  | 4.1 | 63 |  |
| 2.0 | 4 | 10 |  | 4.7 | 84 |  |
| 2.1 | 26 | 65 | 7.5 | 549 | 34.7 |  |
| 2.2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2.4 | 127 |  |
| 3.1 | 6 | 15 | 5.6 | 21 | 62.9 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | 9 |  | 2.5 | 70 | 47.1 |  |
| 2.0 | 8 | 7 | 2.2 | 51 | 18.4 |  |
| 2.1 | 62 | 53 | 4.3 | 395 | 15.9 |  |
| 2.2 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 6 | 31.3 |  |
| 3.0 | 5 | 4 | 1.7 | 32 | 5.8 |  |
| 3.1 | 28 | 24 | 3.7 | 177 | 12.7 |  |
| 4.1 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 6 | 26.8 |  |



Figure 9. Length and cumulative length frequencies of coho salmon measured at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.

Table 13. Estimated length composition (mean, standard error, range, and sample size) of Frosty Creek coho salmon escapement by age and sex, 2000-2002.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 650 | --- | 608 |  | 603 |
| SE | --- | --- | 36.6 | --- | 31.9 |
| Range | --- | --- | 465-680 | --- | 480-675 |
| Sample Size | 1 | --- | 25 |  | 9 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 569 | 440 | 531 | --- | 578 |
| SE | 53.6 | --- | 58.2 | -- | 61.3 |
| Range | 485-625 | --- | 370-640 | --- | 495-690 |
| Sample Size | 5 | 1 | 38 | --- | 6 |
| All Fish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 583 | 440 | 562 |  | 583 |
| SE | 49.2 | --- | 57.2 | --- | 46.9 |
| Range | 485-650 | --- | 370-680 | --- | 435-690 |
| Sample Size | 6 | 1 | 63 |  | 16 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 541 | --- | 603 | --- | 604 |
| SE | 80.3 | --- | 63.2 | --- | 12.07 |
| Range | 449-589 | --- | 461-658 | --- | 595-622 |
| Sample Size | 3 | --- | 8 | --- | 4 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | --- | --- | 582 | --- | --- |
| SE | --- | --- | 52.5 | --- | --- |
| Range | --- | --- | 505-682 | --- | --- |
| Sample Size | --- | --- | 13 | --- | --- |
| All Fish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 541 | --- | 562 | --- | 604 |
| SE | 80.3 | --- | 65.92 | --- | 61.4 |
| Range | 449-589 | --- | 461-682 | --- | 595-622 |
| Sample Size | 3 | --- | 26 | --- | 6 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 610 | --- | 632 | --- | 627 |
| SE | 15.1 | --- | 31.7 | --- | 42.5 |
| Range | 591-628 | --- | 529-685 | --- | 549-678 |
| Sample Size | 4 | --- | 39 | --- | 14 |
| Males 575 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 575 | 358 | 612 | 376 | 613 |
| SE | 63.5 | 39.7 | 44.7 | 30.7 | 38.7 |
| Range | 497-652 | 308-416 | 501-664 | 340-415 | 538-672 |
| Sample Size | 7 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 13 |
| All Fish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 588 | 351 | 621 | 378 | 621 |
| SE | 48.5 | 38.5 | 39.9 | 24.9 | 36.5 |
| Range | 497-652 | 301-416 | 480-685 | 340-415 | 538-678 |
| Sample Size | 11 | 8 | 62 | 5 | 28 |



Figure 10. Daily and cumulative escapements of pink salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000.


Figure 11. Daily and cumulative escapements of pink salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2001 and 2002.


Figure 12. Length and cumulative length frequencies of pink salmon measured at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.


Figure 13. Daily and cumulative escapements of sockeye salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000.


Figure 14. Daily and cumulative escapements of sockeye salmon counted at the Frosty Creek weir, 2001 and 2002.

Table 14. Estimated length composition (mean, standard error, range, and sample size) of Frosty Creek sockeye salmon escapement by age and sex, 2000-2002. All lengths are mid-eye-to-fork of tail (mm).

| Age | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  | All Fish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range | $N$ | Mean | SE | Range |
| $2000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3 | 2 | $585$ | $7.1$ | 580-598 | 1 | $580$ | --- | --- | $3$ | $583$ | $4.3$ | $580-590$ |
| $1.1$ | 1 | $385$ | --- | --- | $0$ | --- | --- | --- | $1$ | $385$ | --- | --- |
| 1.2 | 1 | $555$ | --- | --- | $0$ | --- | --- | .-- | $1$ | $555$ | --- | --- |
| $1.3$ | 4 | $596$ | $26.9$ | $565-630$ | $5$ | 551 | $27.4$ | $505-590$ | $9$ | $571$ | $28.9$ | $505-630$ |
| $2.2$ | 1 | $445$ | --- | --- | $0$ | --- | --- | --- | $1$ | $445$ | --- | --- |
| 2.3 | 7 | 558 | $60.6$ | $430-610$ | 3 | $548$ | 26.7 | 525-590 | 10 | 555 | 18.4 | 430-610 |
| ALL | 22 | 558 | $13.4$ | 430-630 | 10 | 561 | $12.6$ | 505-635 | 32 | 559 | 9.9 | 385-635 |
| $2001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.2$ | 1 | $600$ | --- | --- | $3$ | $528$ | $37.4$ | 487-561 | $8$ | $509$ | $57.3$ | $412-600$ |
| $1.3$ | 4 | $574$ | $32.3$ | 528-604 | $3$ | $545$ | $26.3$ | 524-575 | 8 | 564 | 29.2 | 524-604 |
| 2.1 | 0 | --- | .-- | --- | 0 | --- | .-- | --- | 1 | 451 | --- | --- |
| $2.2$ | $1$ | $515$ | --- | --- | $0$ | --- | --- | --- | $2$ | $515$ | $0.7$ | 514-515 |
| $2.3$ | $0$ | --- | --- | --- | $1$ | $520$ | --- | --- | $1$ | $520$ | --- | --- |
| ALL | $13$ | $554$ | $41.4$ | 470-604 | $12$ | $496$ | $27.9$ | 487-580 | $32$ | $518$ | $47.6$ | 412-604 |
| $2002^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.2$ | 29 | $506$ | $30.0$ | 418-592 | 29 | $497$ | $23.0$ | $420-568$ | 63 | 501 | $23.7$ | 418-592 |
| $1.3$ | $12$ | $587$ | $15.1$ | 531-614 | $8$ | $555$ | $23.4$ | $520-580$ | $20$ | $573$ | $22.3$ | $520-614$ |
| 2.2 | 3 | $434$ | --- | 418-485 | 3 | $468$ | $6.0$ | 462-480 | 6 | 445 | 6.9 | 418-485 |
| 2.3 | 2 | 573 | 7.8 | 567-578 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2 | 573 | $7.7$ | 567-578 |
| ALL | 49 | 518 | 9.5 | 418-614 | 40 | 495 | 6.4 | 420-580 | 95 | 505 | 5.9 | 418-614 |

[^3]

Figure 15. Length and cumulative length frequencies of sockeye salmon measured at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.


Figure 16. Daily and cumulative counts of Dolly Varden captured in the upstream migrant trap box at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.


Figure 17. Daily and cumulative escapement for Dolly Varden captured in the downstream migrant box at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.


Figure 18. Length and cumulative length frequencies of Dolly Varden measured at the Frosty Creek weir, 2000-2002.

## Public Use Information

In 2000, 36 groups visiting the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge were interviewed at the Frosty Creek weir from 8 July to 22 October (Table 15; Appendix F). The primary purpose for visiting the area was fishing for 15 groups, hunting for 11 groups, and sightseeing for 5 groups. Only seven groups indicated a secondary purpose for visiting the Frosty Creek area. Dolly Varden were the primary target species for fishermen at Frosty Creek. Anglers reported a harvest of 67 Dolly Varden, 1 coho and 2 sockeye salmon. Eight groups of fishermen interviewed on Frosty Creek were targeting more that one species of fish (Dolly Varden and coho salmon, $N=6$ ) or also hunting (ptarmigan, $N=2$ ). The target species for all groups hunting in the Frosty Creek area were ptarmigan and waterfowl. The known harvest for two groups was 31 ptarmigan. Twenty-nine groups visiting the area were not guided, one group was guided, and one group had unknown guide status. The residence of nine groups was the contiguous 48 states, four were from the Cold Bay, two were from other locations in Alaska, one group was from Holland, and 15 groups were of unknown residence.

Interviewed fishing groups spent 97 hours at Frosty Creek, with an average of 7.5 hours per group (Table 16). Interviewed hunting groups spent 99 hours in the Frosty Creek area, with an average of 9.9 hours per group. Three interviewed groups spent a total of 9 hours sight seeing in the area. The total expanded time (hours*group size) was 215 hours for people fishing on Frosty Creek and 245 hours for people hunting in the Frosty Creek area. The total time spent in Frosty Creek area by all visitors was 475 hours.

## Discussion

## Escapement

Salmon runs in Frosty Creek began in late June and continued into October. Chum salmon, the most abundant species in the drainage, were the first fish to enter the creek in June and continued into early October. The chum salmon run was bimodal. The early run began in late June to early July and ended in late July to early August. The late run began mid-August and continued through early to mid-October. Based on the run timing observed in 2000, it appears that fish in the early run may spawn higher in the drainage. A bimodal chum salmon run was also observed in the Joshua Green River and has been documented in other systems (Salo 1991; Whitton and Eaton 2001).

The combined number of other Pacific salmon species made up less than $10 \%$ of the total salmon run. The pink and sockeye salmon run began slightly later than the chum salmon run in early July but continued about the same duration and exhibited a single peak in mid-August. The pink salmon run in Frosty Creek exhibited strong even year run strength similar to other Alaska Peninsula stocks (Heard 1991). Information on run abundance for pink salmon in the Joshua Green River shows a similar pattern (Whitton and Eaton 2001). The sockeye salmon run in Frosty Creek began slightly later than the Mortensens Creek run which began late June and continued through early September (Whitton 2002). Coho salmon were the last fish to begin their migration in mid-August

Table 15. Summary of the 2000 public use information collected at the Frosty Creek weir. Some groups had multiple targets species $(N=8)$ and/or residence $(N=1)$.

| Category | Number of Groups |
| :---: | :---: |
| Primary Purpose |  |
| Hunting | 11 |
| Fishing | 15 |
| Site seeing | 5 |
| Secondary Purpose |  |
| Hunting | 2 |
| Fishing | 3 |
| Site seeing | 2 |
| Hunting Target Species |  |
| Ptarmigan | 10 |
| Waterfowl | 3 |
| Fishing Target Species |  |
| Dolly Varden | 9 |
| Coho Salmon | 6 |
| Unknown or Other | 6 |
| Guide Status |  |
| Guided | 1 |
| Not Guided | 29 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Reason for Visit |  |
| Sport | 27 |
| Subsistence | 0 |
| Other | 4 |
| Residence |  |
| Cold Bay | 4 |
| Other Alaska (King Salmon, Anchorage, Kenai) | 2 |
| Contiguous 48 States (MO, AZ, NV, NC, FL, |  |
| NJ, CA, WI, and PA) | 9 |
| International (Holland) | 1 |
| Unknown | 15 |

Table 16. Summary of the 2000 public use information collected at the Frosty Creek weir by primary purpose.

|  | Primary Purpose for Visit |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunting | Fishing | Other | All |
| Groups Interviewed (N) | 11 | 15 | 5 | 31 |
| Complete Surveys | 4 | 7 | 3 | 14 |
| Incomplete Surveys | 7 | 8 | 2 | 17 |
| Time Spent in Activity $^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total Time for all Groups (hrs) | 99 | 97 | 9 | 205 |
| Average Time Per Group (hrs) | 9.9 | 7.5 | 3 | 7.9 |
| SD | 13.9 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 8.1 |
| Range (hrs) | $1-36$ | $1-48$ | $2-4$ | $1-48$ |
| $N$ | 10 | 13 | 3 | 26 |
| Group Information |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of People) | 25 | 47 | 11 | 83 |
| Average Group Size (hrs) | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| SD | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Range (hrs) | $1-4$ | $1-6$ | $1-4$ | $1-6$ |
| $N$ | 10 | 15 | 5 | 30 |
| Expanded Time (time *group size) |  |  |  |  |
| (hrs) | 245 | 215 | 15 | 475 |

and continued past weir removal in mid-October. Coho salmon run timing was similar to other creeks and rivers in the area (Sandercock 1991; Whitton and Eaton 2001).

Dolly Varden were the second most abundant fish species in Frosty Creek. The upstream migration coincided with the salmon runs. Peak migration of Dolly Varden varied from mid-July to late August, which is similar to both the Joshua Green River and to Moffet Springs Creek (Whitton and Eaton 2001). While Dolly Varden were still being captured in the upstream weir in October, downstream migration dominated the weir counts toward the end of the sampling season. In September and October, some of the downstream migrant Dolly Varden appeared to be spawned out based on coloration and body condition. Dolly Varden redds were observed while conducting walking surveys. It appears there may be two distinct spawning locations for Dolly Varden in Frosty Creek. The first location is in the headwaters where substrate consists of coarse gravel to large cobble, and the second location is downstream of the bridge where the substrate is primarily gravel.

Overall, it appears that the weir provided accurate estimates of Pacific salmon and Dolly Varden escapement in Frosty Creek. Chum salmon were observed at the weir the first few days after the weir was installed, thus we may not have counted some fish.
However, because it was early in the run it is unlikely that a large number of fish were not counted. A small number of fish migrated through the weir a couple of days before it was removed; therefore, we may have missed some coho salmon. In 2001, the weir was not fish tight during the first 2 weeks of operation because high winds prevented the transport of additional weir materials by boat. In 2000, the weir preformed well until high water and debris damaged it in mid-October. Based on salmon run timing, the weir still provided complete estimates of salmon escapement above the first bridge. The weir was designed to capture fish greater than 200 mm ; therefore, Dolly Varden should have been captured at the weir if they were present.

Area under the curve estimates of adult chum salmon and coho salmon on Frosty Creek in 2000 should be considered minimum estimates. Peak salmon abundance coincides with peak bear abundance. On some surveys, bears prevented the sampling crews from counting fish in dense vegetation areas. In addition, sampling was concluded before the end of the coho salmon run. The survey frequency should be adequate to provide good AUC estimates. Hetrick and Nemeth (2003) recommended survey intervals equal to the expected residence time specific to the species and survey period (i.e., early or late in the season) for maximum logistical efficiency. Surveys were planned at 7 d intervals for Frosty Creek in 2000 based on a mean chum salmon residence time of 11.7 d and mean coho salmon residence time of 13.8 d (Hetrick and Nemeth 2003). To improve AUC estimates, residence time for chum and coho salmon should be estimated specifically for Frosty Creek.

In most years, aerial counts appear to provide reasonable estimates of chum salmon escapement in Frosty Creek. Aerial surveys conducted by ADFG in 2001 estimated a total escapement for chum salmon of 38,600 compared to the weir count of 35,482 and
the aerial count flown in 2002 was 37,500 compared to the weir count of 42,194 (Arnie Shaul, ADFG, personal communication). The aerial surveys flown in 2000 under estimated the total escapement by about 20,000 chum salmon. The aerial survey estimate was 9,000 chum salmon, while the AUC estimate was 29,875 . The aerial estimate was not comparable to weir counts from 2000 since the surveys ended at the weir. The weir counts showed that approximately $10 \%$ of the chum salmon run spawns above the aerial survey area.

Frosty Creek is a unique system given that it is only about 16 km in length and had a chum salmon escapement greater than 35,000 fish for two of the three years the weir was operated. Overall, it appears that the escapement numbers for chum salmon have increased since counts began in 1960. Historical chum salmon escapement data from the ADFG indicate that the average estimated total escapement was 18,570 for the previous 40 years (Arnie Shaul, ADFG personal communication). In 2002, the total escapement was the third highest since 1960. However, it is also possible, that the methods used to estimate escapement from aerial counts underestimates the actual escapement. There is limited escapement data for sockeye salmon in Frosty Creek; however, the average yearly estimated escapement since 1987 was 77 fish per season. In 2001 and 2002 escapement at the weir was greater than 230 sockeye salmon. There is no historical escapement data for pink or coho salmon in Frosty Creek. Surveys are not conducted late enough in the season to get a complete count for coho salmon (Arnie Shaul, ADFG personal communication).

Based on the weir counts and AUC estimates during 2000-2002, it appears that Frosty Creek supports a fairly small population of coho salmon; therefore, an increase in sport fishing on Frosty Creek could impact the population. At current use levels, the coho population is sustainable. However, either the population abundance or the sport fishery should be monitored to prevent over-harvest.

## Biological Data

The age composition of salmon sampled in Frosty Creek was similar to other populations but did exhibit some interesting differences. For coho salmon, age 2.1 was the most abundant age class for all 3 years, followed by age 3.1. In the Joshua Green River, age 1.1 or 2.1 would be the most abundant age-class. There was a higher percentage of age 3.1 coho salmon in Frosty Creek ( $15-25 \%$ ) than other Alaskan systems, where age 3.1 is usually less than $5 \%$ of the run (Sandercock et al. 1991). Although not abundant, age classes 2.0 and 3.0 were observed at the Frosty Creek weir. Both age classes were found in the Joshua Green River, but in fewer numbers (Whitton and Eaton 2001). Scale patterns indicate slow growth during the first freshwater year, increased growth during the second year, and in some cases a delay in migration until the third year in fresh water (Matt Foster, ADFG, personal communication).

The most abundant age-class for sockeye salmon was 1.2 followed by age 1.3, which is similar to what was found in the McLees Lake and the Joshua Green River sockeye salmon (Palmer 2003; Whitton and Eaton 2001). Age 0.3 and 0.4 chum salmon were the most abundant age classes at Frosty Creek. Age 0.3 and 0.4 chum salmon also dominated
the run in Joshua Green River (Whitton and Eaton 2001), as well as other chum salmon runs within Alaska (Salo 1991).

Public Use
A survey was conducted in 2000 to characterize public use in the Frosty Creek area. Sport fishing on Izembek Refuge occurs mainly in road accessibly streams and lakes in the Cold Bay area. Hunting and fishing were the primary activities with anglers targeting Dolly Varden and coho salmon. Compared to Russell and Mortensens creeks, the harvest of coho salmon was minor (Whitton 2002). Hunters were primarily targeting ptarmigan. Waterfowl hunters parked their vehicle and walked to Izembek Lagoon to hunt.

The survey only covered a portion of the total use for the area because people exited the survey area before they could be interviewed. During the ptarmigan season, a number of vehicles passed by this area to hunt further up the road. This area would provide a good site to stop and interview hunters for future surveys.
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Appendix A. - Strata (time periods) used for analysis of Frosty Creek chum, sockeye and coho salmon biological data, 2000-2002.

| Strata | Chum | Sockeye | Coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |
| 1 | July 9 to July 22 | July 19 to October 13 | August 26 to September 23 |
| 2 | July 23 to July 29 | ---- | September 24 to October 24 |
| 3 | July 30 to August 5 | ---- | --- |
| 4 | August 6 to August 26 | ---- | --- |
| 5 | August 27 to September 20 | ---- | --- |

July 1 to July 7
July 8 to July 14
July 15 to July 21
July 22 to July 28
July 29 to August 4
August 5 to August 11
August 12 to August 18
August 19 to August 25
August 26 to September 1
September 2 to September 8
September 9 to September 16
September 17 to October 17

## 2002

June 29 to July 6
June 20 to July 27
July 7 to July 13
July 14 to July 20
July 21 to July 27
July 28 to August 3
August 4 to August 10
August 11 to August 17
August 18 to August 24
August 25 to August 31
September 1 to September 7
July 28 to August 3
August 4 to October 7

September 8 to September 14
September 15 to September 21
13
September 22 to October 7
2001
July 4 to September 23 August 11 to October 17
----
----
------------
---- ---------
---- ----
----
$\qquad$
--

Appendix B. - Daily counts, cumulative counts (Cum.), and cumulative percent (Cum. \%) of sockeye, coho, pink, and chum salmon escapement through the Frosty Creek weir, 2000.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Jul 9 | 1 | 1 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 10 | 0 | 1 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 11 | 1 | 2 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 12 | 6 | 2 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 13 | 2 | 10 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 14 | 38 | 48 | 1.75 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 15 | 59 | 107 | 3.91 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 16 | 44 | 151 | 5.51 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 17 | 59 | 210 | 7.67 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 18 | 3 | 213 | 7.78 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 19 | 11 | 224 | 8.18 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 1.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 20 | 75 | 299 | 10.92 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 21 | 42 | 341 | 12.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 22 | 164 | 505 | 18.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 1 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 23 | 289 | 794 | 28.99 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 24 | 89 | 883 | 32.24 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 25 | 63 | 946 | 34.54 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 26 | 117 | 1,063 | 38.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 27 | 139 | 1,202 | 43.88 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 28 | 44 | 1,246 | 45.49 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 29 | 67 | 1,313 | 47.94 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 30 | 49 | 1,362 | 49.73 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.79 |
| Jul 31 | 70 | 1,432 | 52.28 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 3.63 | 0 | 1 | 1.78 |

Appendix B. - Continued.


Appendix B. - Continued.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Aug 26 | 90 | 2,448 | 89.37 | 4 | 4 | 0.73 | 2 | 28 | 50.90 | 4 | 35 | 62.50 |
| Aug 27 | 26 | 2,474 | 90.32 | 0 | 4 | 0.73 | 1 | 29 | 52.72 | 4 | 39 | 69.64 |
| Aug 28 | 41 | 2,515 | 91.82 | 0 | 4 | 0.73 | 1 | 30 | 54.55 | 5 | 44 | 78.57 |
| Aug 29 | 38 | 2,553 | 93.21 | 0 | 4 | 0.73 | 1 | 31 | 56.36 | 0 | 44 | 78.57 |
| Aug 30 | 13 | 2,566 | 93.68 | 1 | 5 | 0.91 | 0 | 31 | 56.36 | 2 | 46 | 82.14 |
| Aug 31 | 46 | 2,612 | 95.36 | 6 | 11 | 2.01 | 3 | 34 | 61.82 | 4 | 50 | 89.29 |
| Sep 1 | 13 | 2,625 | 95.84 | 0 | 11 | 2.01 | 2 | 36 | 65.45 | 2 | 52 | 92.85 |
| Sep 2 | 12 | 2,637 | 96.28 | 0 | 11 | 2.01 | 3 | 39 | 70.90 | 1 | 53 | 94.64 |
| Sep 3 | 20 | 2,657 | 97.01 | 1 | 12 | 2.19 | 0 | 39 | 70.90 | 0 | 53 | 94.64 |
| Sep 4 | 18 | 2,675 | 97.66 | 0 | 12 | 2.19 | 1 | 40 | 72.73 | 0 | 53 | 94.64 |
| Sep 5 | 8 | 2,683 | 97.96 | 1 | 13 | 2.37 | 0 | 40 | 72.73 | 0 | 53 | 94.64 |
| Sep 6 | 14 | 2,697 | 98.47 | 0 | 13 | 2.37 | 0 | 40 | 72.73 | 1 | 54 | 96.42 |
| Sep 7 | 18 | 2,715 | 99.12 | 3 | 16 | 2.92 | 2 | 42 | 76.36 | 2 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 8 | 5 | 2,720 | 99.31 | 2 | 18 | 3.28 | 0 | 42 | 76.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 9 | 3 | 2,723 | 99.42 | 0 | 18 | 3.28 | 0 | 42 | 76.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 10 | 3 | 2,726 | 99.52 | 3 | 21 | 3.83 | 2 | 44 | 80.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 11 | 5 | 2,731 | 99.71 | 5 | 26 | 4.74 | 2 | 46 | 83.64 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 12 | 3 | 2,734 | 99.81 | 4 | 30 | 5.47 | 0 | 46 | 83.64 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 13 | 1 | 2,735 | 99.85 | 0 | 30 | 5.47 | 2 | 48 | 87.27 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 14 | 3 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 2 | 32 | 5.84 | 1 | 49 | 89.10 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 15 | 0 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 0 | 32 | 5.84 | 1 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 16 | 0 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 0 | 32 | 5.84 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 17 | 0 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 0 | 32 | 5.84 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 18 | 0 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 0 | 32 | 5.84 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 19 | 0 | 2,738 | 99.96 | 0 | 32 | 5.84 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |

Appendix B. - Continued.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Sep 20 | 1 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 6 | 38 | 6.93 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 21 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 102 | 140 | 25.55 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 22 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 50 | 190 | 34.67 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 23 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 7 | 197 | 35.95 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 24 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 2 | 199 | 36.31 | 0 | 50 | 90.90 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 25 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 11 | 210 | 38.32 | 1 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 26 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 11 | 221 | 40.33 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 27 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 2 | 223 | 40.69 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 28 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 0 | 223 | 40.69 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 29 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 0 | 223 | 40.69 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Sep 30 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 3 | 226 | 41.24 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 1 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 0 | 226 | 41.24 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 2 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 0 | 226 | 41.24 | 0 | 51 | 92.73 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 3 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 13 | 239 | 43.61 | 1 | 52 | 94.55 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 4 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 3 | 242 | 44.16 | 1 | 53 | 96.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 5 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 3 | 245 | 44.71 | 0 | 53 | 96.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 6 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 13 | 258 | 47.08 | 0 | 53 | 96.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 7 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 3 | 261 | 47.62 | 0 | 53 | 96.36 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 8 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 8 | 269 | 49.08 | 1 | 54 | 98.18 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 9 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 25 | 294 | 53.64 | 0 | 54 | 98.18 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 10 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 16 | 310 | 56.57 | 0 | 54 | 98.18 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 11 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 27 | 337 | 61.49 | 0 | 54 | 98.18 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 12 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 57 | 394 | 71.89 | 0 | 54 | 98.18 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 13 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 76 | 470 | 85.77 | 1 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| Oct 14 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 30 | 500 | 91.24 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |

Appendix B. - Continued.

|  | Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
|  | Oct 15 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 11 | 511 | 93.25 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 16 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 13 | 524 | 95.62 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 17 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 4 | 528 | 96.35 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 18 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 2 | 530 | 96.71 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 19 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 0 | 530 | 96.71 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 20 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 5 | 535 | 97.62 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 21 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 3 | 538 | 98.18 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 22 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 5 | 543 | 99.09 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 23 | 0 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 5 | 548 | 100.00 | 0 | 55 | 100.00 | 0 | 56 | 100.00 |
| u | Total | 2,739 | 2,739 | 100.00 | 548 | 548 | 100.00 | 55 | 55 | 100.00 | 56 | 56 | 100.00 |

Appendix C. - Daily counts, cumulative counts (Cum.), and cumulative percent (Cum. \%) of chum, coho, sockeye, and pink salmon escapement through the Frosty Creek weir, 2001.


Appendix C. - Continued.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Jul 24 | 1,601 | 10,004 | 28.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 110 | 47.41 | 1 | 4 | 0.99 |
| Jul 25 | 620 | 10,624 | 29.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 116 | 50.00 | 1 | 5 | 1.23 |
| Jul 26 | 1,733 | 12,357 | 34.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 | 103 | 56.03 | 4 | 9 | 2.22 |
| Jul 27 | 3,170 | 15,527 | 43.75 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 18 | 148 | 63.79 | 4 | 13 | 3.21 |
| Jul 28 | 376 | 15,903 | 44.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 156 | 67.24 | 5 | 18 | 4.44 |
| Jul 29 | 0 | 15,903 | 44.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 156 | 67.24 | 0 | 18 | 4.44 |
| Jul 30 | 233 | 16,136 | 45.47 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 158 | 68.10 | 2 | 20 | 4.94 |
| Jul 31 | 155 | 16,291 | 45.90 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 170 | 73.28 | 6 | 26 | 6.42 |
| Aug 1 | 219 | 16,510 | 46.52 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 170 | 73.28 | 0 | 26 | 6.42 |
| Aug 2 | 52 | 16,562 | 46.66 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 171 | 73.71 | 3 | 29 | 7.16 |
| Aug 3 | 141 | 16,703 | 47.06 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 174 | 75.00 | 2 | 31 | 7.65 |
| Aug 4 | 285 | 16,988 | 47.86 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 175 | 75.43 | 0 | 31 | 7.65 |
| Aug 5 | 94 | 17,082 | 48.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 175 | 75.43 | 0 | 31 | 7.65 |
| Aug 6 | 113 | 17,194 | 48.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 175 | 75.43 | 8 | 39 | 9.63 |
| Aug 7 | 142 | 17,336 | 48.84 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 183 | 78.88 | 2 | 41 | 10.12 |
| Aug 8 | 227 | 17,563 | 49.49 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 191 | 82.33 | 11 | 52 | 12.94 |
| Aug 9 | 360 | 17,923 | 50.50 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 202 | 87.07 | 23 | 75 | 18.52 |
| Aug 10 | 47 | 17,970 | 50.63 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 202 | 87.07 | 11 | 86 | 21.23 |
| Aug 11 | 51 | 18,021 | 50.78 | 1 | 1 | 0.12 | 2 | 204 | 87.93 | 5 | 91 | 22.47 |
| Aug 12 | 96 | 18,117 | 51.04 | 0 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 204 | 87.93 | 6 | 97 | 23.95 |
| Aug 13 | 952 | 19,069 | 53.73 | 0 | 1 | 0.12 | 6 | 210 | 90.52 | 21 | 118 | 29.14 |
| Aug 14 | 640 | 19,709 | 55.53 | 2 | 3 | 0.36 | 2 | 212 | 91.38 | 14 | 132 | 32.59 |
| Aug 15 | 634 | 20,343 | 57.32 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 0 | 212 | 91.38 | 19 | 151 | 37.29 |
| Aug 16 | 50 | 20,393 | 57.46 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 1 | 213 | 91.81 | 2 | 153 | 37.77 |
| Aug 17 | 242 | 20,635 | 58.14 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 5 | 218 | 93.97 | 9 | 162 | 40.00 |

Appendix C. - Continued.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Aug 18 | 137 | 20,772 | 58.53 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 2 | 220 | 94.83 | 15 | 177 | 43.70 |
| Aug 19 | 3,469 | 24,241 | 63.30 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 5 | 225 | 96.98 | 34 | 211 | 52.09 |
| Aug 20 | 61 | 24,302 | 68.47 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 0 | 225 | 96.98 | 9 | 220 | 54.32 |
| Aug 21 | 20 | 24,322 | 68.53 | 0 | 3 | 0.36 | 0 | 225 | 96.98 | 4 | 224 | 55.31 |
| Aug 22 | 552 | 24,874 | 70.09 | 8 | 11 | 1.30 | 1 | 226 | 97.41 | 29 | 253 | 62.46 |
| Aug 23 | 476 | 25,350 | 71.43 | 3 | 14 | 1.65 | 0 | 226 | 97.41 | 15 | 268 | 66.17 |
| Aug 24 | 18 | 25,368 | 71.48 | 0 | 14 | 1.65 | 0 | 226 | 97.41 | 1 | 269 | 66.42 |
| Aug 25 | 232 | 25,600 | 72.13 | 3 | 17 | 2.01 | 0 | 226 | 97.41 | 10 | 279 | 68.88 |
| Aug 26 | 752 | 26,352 | 74.25 | 1 | 18 | 2.13 | 1 | 227 | 97.84 | 15 | 294 | 72.59 |
| Aug 27 | 1,260 | 27,612 | 77.80 | 16 | 34 | 4.03 | 1 | 228 | 98.28 | 19 | 313 | 77.28 |
| Aug 28 | 294 | 27,906 | 78.63 | 4 | 38 | 4.50 | 0 | 228 | 98.28 | 7 | 320 | 79.01 |
| Aug 29 | 649 | 28,555 | 80.46 | 21 | 59 | 6.99 | 0 | 228 | 98.28 | 18 | 338 | 83.46 |
| Aug 30 | 1,925 | 30,480 | 85.88 | 21 | 80 | 9.48 | 0 | 228 | 98.28 | 23 | 361 | 89.14 |
| Aug 31 | 107 | 30,587 | 86.18 | 2 | 82 | 9.71 | 0 | 228 | 98.28 | 1 | 362 | 89.38 |
| Sep 1 | 75 | 30,662 | 86.39 | 1 | 83 | 9.83 | 1 | 229 | 98.71 | 15 | 377 | 93.09 |
| Sep 2 | 137 | 30,799 | 86.78 | 3 | 86 | 10.19 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 0 | 377 | 93.09 |
| Sep 3 | 1 | 30,800 | 86.78 | 0 | 86 | 10.19 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 0 | 377 | 93.09 |
| Sep 4 | 1,398 | 32,198 | 90.72 | 1 | 87 | 10.31 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 2 | 379 | 93.58 |
| Sep 5 | 186 | 32,384 | 91.25 | 4 | 91 | 10.78 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 5 | 384 | 94.81 |
| Sep 6 | 478 | 32,862 | 92.59 | 13 | 104 | 12.32 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 6 | 390 | 96.29 |
| Sep 7 | 621 | 33,483 | 94.34 | 11 | 115 | 13.63 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 6 | 396 | 97.77 |
| Sep 8 | 161 | 33,644 | 94.80 | 0 | 115 | 13.63 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 0 | 396 | 97.77 |
| Sep 9 | 218 | 33,862 | 95.41 | 4 | 119 | 14.09 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 1 | 397 | 98.02 |
| Sep 10 | 192 | 34,054 | 95.95 | 6 | 125 | 14.81 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 2 | 399 | 98.52 |
| Sep 11 | 6 | 34,060 | 95.97 | 1 | 126 | 14.93 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 0 | 399 | 98.52 |

Appendix C. - Continued.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Sep 12 | 129 | 34,189 | 96.33 | 12 | 138 | 16.35 | 0 | 229 | 98.71 | 0 | 399 | 98.52 |
| Sep 13 | 106 | 34,292 | 96.63 | 5 | 143 | 16.94 | 1 | 230 | 99.14 | 2 | 401 | 99.01 |
| Sep 14 | 96 | 34,391 | 96.90 | 8 | 151 | 17.89 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 0 | 401 | 99.01 |
| Sep 15 | 64 | 34,455 | 97.08 | 0 | 151 | 17.89 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 0 | 401 | 99.01 |
| Sep 16 | 85 | 34,540 | 97.32 | 2 | 153 | 18.13 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 0 | 401 | 99.01 |
| Sep 17 | 186 | 34,726 | 97.84 | 3 | 156 | 18.48 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 2 | 403 | 99.51 |
| Sep 18 | 64 | 34,790 | 98.02 | 0 | 156 | 18.48 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 0 | 403 | 99.51 |
| Sep 19 | 103 | 34,893 | 98.32 | 2 | 158 | 18.72 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 0 | 403 | 99.51 |
| Sep 20 | 379 | 35,272 | 99.38 | 504 | 662 | 78.43 | 0 | 230 | 99.14 | 1 | 404 | 99.75 |
| Sep 21 | 69 | 35,341 | 99.57 | 60 | 722 | 85.55 | 1 | 231 | 99.57 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 22 | 52 | 35,393 | 99.72 | 34 | 756 | 89.57 | 0 | 231 | 99.57 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 23 | 17 | 35,410 | 99.77 | 22 | 778 | 92.18 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 24 | 1 | 35,411 | 99.77 | 0 | 778 | 92.18 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 25 | 1 | 35,412 | 99.77 | 0 | 778 | 92.18 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 26 | 16 | 35,428 | 99.82 | 5 | 783 | 92.77 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 27 | 16 | 35,444 | 99.86 | 2 | 785 | 93.01 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 28 | 4 | 35,448 | 99.88 | 2 | 787 | 93.25 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 29 | 6 | 35,454 | 99.89 | 1 | 788 | 93.36 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Sep 30 | 1 | 35,455 | 99.89 | 0 | 788 | 93.36 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 1 | 8 | 35,463 | 99.92 | 2 | 790 | 93.60 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 2 | 2 | 35,465 | 99.93 | 0 | 790 | 93.60 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 3 | 20 | 35,485 | 99.98 | 8 | 798 | 94.55 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 4 | 0 | 35,485 | 99.98 | 3 | 801 | 94.91 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 5 | 0 | 35,485 | 99.98 | 1 | 802 | 95.02 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| Oct 6 | 0 | 35,485 | 99.98 | 6 | 808 | 95.73 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |

Appendix C. - Continued.

|  | Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
|  | Oct 7 | 1 | 35,486 | 99.99 | 2 | 810 | 95.97 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 8 | 4 | 35,490 | 99.99 | 30 | 840 | 99.52 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 9 | 0 | 35,490 | 99.99 | 1 | 841 | 99.65 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 10 | 0 | 35,490 | 99.99 | 1 | 842 | 99.76 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 11 | 0 | 35,490 | 99.99 | 0 | 842 | 99.76 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 12 | 0 | 35,490 | 99.99 | 0 | 842 | 99.76 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 13 | 0 | 35,491 | 100.00 | 1 | 843 | 99.88 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 14 | 0 | 35,491 | 100.00 | 0 | 843 | 99.88 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Oct 15 | 0 | 35,491 | 100.00 | 0 | 843 | 99.88 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| u | Oct 16 | 0 | 35,491 | 100.00 | 1 | 844 | 100.00 | 0 | 232 | 100.00 | 0 | 405 | 100.00 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Total | 35,491 | 35.491 | 100.00 | 844 | 844 | 100.00 | 232 | 232 | 100.00 | 405 | 405 | 100.00 |

Appendix D. - Daily counts, cumulative counts (Cum.), and cumulative percent (Cum. \%) of chum, coho, sockeye, and pink salmon escapement through the Frosty Creek weir, 2002.

| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Jun 29 | 96 | 96 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jun 30 | 91 | 187 | 0.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 6 | 0.47 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 1 | 35 | 222 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 7 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 2 | 100 | 322 | 0.76 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 8 | 0.63 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 3 | 100 | 422 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 14 | 1.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 4 | 139 | 561 | 1.33 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 17 | 1.33 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 5 | 28 | 589 | 1.39 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 18 | 1.41 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 6 | 70 | 569 | 1.56 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 20 | 1.57 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 7 | 174 | 833 | 1.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 22 | 1.72 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 8 | 66 | 899 | 2.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 22 | 1.72 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 9 | 284 | 1,183 | 2.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 24 | 1.88 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 10 | 661 | 1,844 | 4.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 26 | 2.04 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 11 | 656 | 2,500 | 5.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 31 | 2.43 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 12 | 1,636 | 4,136 | 9.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 66 | 97 | 7.60 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 13 | 245 | 4,381 | 10.38 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 45 | 142 | 11.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 14 | 592 | 4,973 | 11.79 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 82 | 224 | 17.55 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul 15 | 200 | 5,173 | 12.26 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 36 | 260 | 20.38 | 1 | 1 | 0.08 |
| Jul 16 | 2 | 5,175 | 12.26 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 262 | 20.53 | 0 | 1 | 0.08 |
| Jul 17 | 275 | 5,450 | 12.92 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 15 | 277 | 21.71 | 0 | 1 | 0.08 |
| Jul 18 | 154 | 5,604 | 13.28 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 285 | 22.34 | 0 | 1 | 0.08 |
| Jul 19 | 1,634 | 7,238 | 17.15 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 140 | 425 | 33.30 | 3 | 4 | 0.32 |
| Jul 20 | 626 | 7,864 | 18.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 114 | 539 | 42.24 | 3 | 7 | 0.56 |

Appendix D. - Continued.

|  | Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
|  | Jul 21 | 848 | 8,712 | 20.65 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 158 | 697 | 54.63 | 5 | 12 | 0.96 |
|  | Jul 22 | 51 | 8,763 | 20.77 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 701 | 54.94 | 1 | 13 | 1.04 |
|  | Jul 23 | 69 | 8,832 | 20.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 42 | 743 | 58.23 | 5 | 18 | 1.44 |
|  | Jul 24 | 72 | 8,904 | 21.10 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 27 | 770 | 60.35 | 0 | 18 | 1.44 |
|  | Jul 25 | 250 | 9,154 | 21.70 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 19 | 789 | 61.83 | 1 | 19 | 1.52 |
|  | Jul 26 | 47 | 9,201 | 21.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 25 | 814 | 63.79 | 3 | 22 | 1.76 |
|  | Jul 27 | 50 | 9,251 | 21.92 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 18 | 832 | 65.20 | 1 | 23 | 1.84 |
|  | Jul 28 | 278 | 9,529 | 22.58 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 86 | 918 | 71.94 | 4 | 27 | 2.16 |
|  | Jul 29 | 0 | 9,529 | 22.58 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 918 | 71.94 | 0 | 27 | 2.16 |
| $\infty$ | Jul 30 | 13 | 9,542 | 22.61 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 918 | 71.94 | 0 | 27 | 2.16 |
|  | Jul 31 | 54 | 9,596 | 22.74 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 920 | 72.10 | 1 | 28 | 2.24 |
|  | Aug 1 | 78 | 9,674 | 22.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 931 | 72.96 | 5 | 33 | 2.64 |
|  | Aug 2 | 71 | 9,745 | 23.09 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 23 | 954 | 74.76 | 4 | 37 | 2.98 |
|  | Aug 3 | 401 | 10,146 | 24.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 102 | 1,056 | 82.76 | 35 | 72 | 5.76 |
|  | Aug 4 | 438 | 10,584 | 25.08 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 41 | 1,097 | 85.97 | 54 | 126 | 10.08 |
|  | Aug 5 | 88 | 10,672 | 25.29 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 1,110 | 86.99 | 16 | 142 | 11.36 |
|  | Aug 6 | 2 | 10,674 | 25.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1,111 | 87.07 | 4 | 146 | 11.68 |
|  | Aug 7 | 132 | 10,806 | 25.61 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 17 | 1,128 | 88.40 | 6 | 152 | 12.16 |
|  | Aug 8 | 498 | 11,304 | 26.79 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 36 | 1,164 | 91.22 | 32 | 184 | 14.72 |
|  | Aug 9 | 51 | 11,355 | 26.91 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 1,167 | 91.46 | 6 | 190 | 15.20 |
|  | Aug 10 | 2,840 | 14,095 | 33.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 42 | 1,209 | 94.75 | 120 | 310 | 24.80 |
|  | Aug 11 | 172 | 14,367 | 34.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 1,218 | 95.45 | 14 | 324 | 25.92 |
|  | Aug 12 | 105 | 14,472 | 34.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 1,221 | 95.77 | 30 | 354 | 28.32 |
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|  | Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
|  | Aug 13 | 913 | 15,385 | 36.46 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 1,235 | 96.79 | 29 | 383 | 30.64 |
|  | Aug 14 | 2,250 | 17,635 | 41.79 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 1,248 | 97.81 | 97 | 480 | 38.40 |
|  | Aug 15 | 606 | 18,241 | 43.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,248 | 97.81 | 25 | 505 | 40.40 |
|  | Aug 16 | 64 | 18,305 | 43.38 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1,249 | 97.88 | 9 | 514 | 41.12 |
|  | Aug 17 | 328 | 18,633 | 44.16 | 2 | 2 | 0.27 | 5 | 1,254 | 98.28 | 22 | 536 | 42.88 |
|  | Aug 18 | 1,174 | 19,807 | 46.94 | 0 | 2 | 0.27 | 1 | 1,255 | 98.35 | 50 | 586 | 46.88 |
|  | Aug 19 | 961 | 20,768 | 49.22 | 3 | 5 | 0.68 | 3 | 1,258 | 98.59 | 48 | 634 | 50.72 |
|  | Aug 20 | 2,582 | 23,350 | 55.34 | 2 | 7 | 0.95 | 4 | 1,262 | 98.90 | 52 | 686 | 54.88 |
| 0 | Aug 21 | 1,360 | 24,710 | 58.56 | 3 | 10 | 1.36 | 1 | 1,263 | 98.98 | 59 | 745 | 59.60 |
| 0 | Aug 22 | 256 | 24,966 | 59.17 | 2 | 12 | 1.63 | 2 | 1,265 | 99.14 | 30 | 775 | 62.00 |
|  | Aug 23 | 293 | 25,259 | 59.86 | 7 | 19 | 2.59 | 1 | 1,266 | 99.22 | 14 | 789 | 63.12 |
|  | Aug 24 | 618 | 25,877 | 61.33 | 2 | 21 | 2.86 | 1 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 36 | 825 | 66.00 |
|  | Aug 25 | 1,068 | 26,845 | 63.86 | 0 | 21 | 2.86 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 56 | 881 | 70.48 |
|  | Aug 26 | 1,151 | 28,096 | 66.59 | 0 | 21 | 2.86 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 39 | 920 | 73.60 |
|  | Aug 27 | 1,522 | 29,618 | 70.19 | 6 | 27 | 3.68 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 39 | 959 | 76.72 |
|  | Aug 28 | 446 | 30,064 | 71.25 | 2 | 29 | 3.96 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 20 | 979 | 78.32 |
|  | Aug 29 | 2,747 | 32,811 | 77.76 | 14 | 43 | 5.87 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 50 | 1,029 | 82.32 |
|  | Aug 30 | 2,477 | 35,288 | 83.63 | 7 | 50 | 6.82 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 31 | 1,060 | 84.80 |
|  | Aug 31 | 19 | 35,307 | 83.68 | 2 | 52 | 7.09 | 0 | 1,297 | 99.29 | 2 | 1,062 | 84.96 |
|  | Sep 1 | 41 | 35,348 | 83.77 | 0 | 52 | 7.09 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 2 | 1,064 | 85.12 |
|  | Sep 2 | 6 | 35,354 | 83.79 | 1 | 53 | 7.23 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 0 | 1,064 | 85.12 |
|  | Sep 3 | 1,123 | 36,477 | 86.45 | 11 | 64 | 8.73 | 0 | 1,267 | 99.29 | 35 | 1,099 | 87.92 |
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| Date | Chum Salmon |  |  | Coho Salmon |  |  | Sockeye Salmon |  |  | Pink Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% | Daily | Cum. | Cum. \% |
| Sep 26 | 23 | 42,165 | 99.93 | 33 | 554 | 75.58 | 1 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 2 | 1,248 | 99.84 |
| Sep 27 | 5 | 42,170 | 99.94 | 8 | 562 | 76.67 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,248 | 99.84 |
| Sep 28 | 3 | 42,173 | 99.50 | 7 | 569 | 77.62 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 1 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Sep 29 | 0 | 42,173 | 99.50 | 0 | 569 | 77.62 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Sep 30 | 0 | 42,173 | 99.50 | 0 | 569 | 77.62 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 1 | 2 | 42,175 | 99.95 | 2 | 571 | 77.90 | 0 | 1276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 2 | 0 | 42,175 | 99.95 | 0 | 571 | 77.90 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 3 | 1 | 42,176 | 99.96 | 0 | 571 | 77.90 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 4 | 8 | 41,184 | 99.98 | 13 | 584 | 79.67 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 5 | 9 | 42,193 | 99.99 | 138 | 722 | 98.50 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 6 | 0 | 42,193 | 99.99 | 0 | 722 | 98.50 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,249 | 99.92 |
| Oct 7 | 1 | 42,194 | 100.00 | 11 | 733 | 100.00 | 0 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 1 | 1,250 | 100.00 |
| Total | 42,194 | 42,194 | 100.00 | 733 | 733 | 100.00 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 100.00 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 100.00 |

Appendix E. - Daily counts, cumulative counts (Cum.), and cumulative percent (Cum. \%) of Dolly Varden escapement through the Frosty Creek weir, 2000, 2001 and 2002.
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| Date | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream |  |  | Downstream $^{\text {a }}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  |
| Jul 18 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 20 | 89 | 3.66 | 0 | 33 | 1,750 | 42.95 | 0 |
| Jul 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 56 | 145 | 5.97 | 0 | 193 | 1,943 | 47.69 | 0 |
| Jul 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 63 | 208 | 8.57 | 0 | 89 | 2,032 | 49.87 | 0 |
| Jul 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 44 | 252 | 10.38 | 0 | 214 | 2,246 | 55.13 | 0 |
| Jul 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 34 | 286 | 11.78 | 0 | 191 | 2,437 | 59.81 | 0 |
| Jul 23 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 18 | 304 | 12.52 | 0 | 122 | 2,559 | 62.81 | 0 |
| Jul 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 80 | 384 | 15.82 | 0 | 96 | 2,655 | 65.16 | 0 |
| Jul 25 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 36 | 420 | 17.29 | 0 | 101 | 2,756 | 67.64 | 0 |
| Jul 26 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 154 | 574 | 23.64 | 0 | 28 | 2,784 | 68.34 | 0 |
| Jul 27 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 171 | 745 | 30.68 | 0 | 51 | 2,835 | 69.58 | 0 |
| Jul 28 | 3 | 3 | 0.29 | 0 | 238 | 979 | 40.32 | 0 | 57 | 2,892 | 70.98 | 0 |
| Jul 29 | 2 | 5 | 0.48 | 0 | 21 | 1,000 | 41.19 | 0 | 9 | 2,901 | 71.20 | 0 |
| Jul 30 | 4 | 9 | 0.86 | 0 | 160 | 1,160 | 47.78 | 0 | 6 | 2,907 | 71.35 | 0 |
| Jul 31 | 2 | 11 | 1.05 | 0 | 104 | 1,264 | 52.06 | 0 | 18 | 2,925 | 71.79 | 0 |
| Aug 1 | 3 | 14 | 1.33 | 0 | 100 | 1,364 | 56.18 | 0 | 25 | 2,950 | 72.41 | 0 |
| Aug 2 | 1 | 15 | 1.42 | 0 | 58 | 1,422 | 58.56 | 0 | 45 | 2,995 | 73.51 | 0 |
| Aug 3 | 5 | 20 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 1,425 | 58.69 | 0 | 101 | 3,096 | 75.99 | 1 |
| Aug 4 | 0 | 20 | 1.90 | 0 | 53 | 1,478 | 60.87 | 0 | 128 | 3,224 | 79.14 | 1 |
| Aug 5 | 1 | 21 | 1.99 | 0 | 29 | 1,507 | 62.07 | 0 | 56 | 3,280 | 80.51 | 1 |
| Aug 6 | 0 | 21 | 1.99 | 0 | 30 | 1,537 | 63.30 | 0 | 38 | 3,318 | 81.44 | 0 |
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| Date | $2000$ |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Downstream }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  |
| Aug 7 | 2 | 23 | 2.19 | 0 | 36 | 1,573 | 64.78 | 0 | 69 | 3,387 | 83.14 | 0 |
| Aug 8 | 1 | 24 | 2.28 | 0 | 29 | 1,602 | 65.98 | 0 | 97 | 3,484 | 85.51 | 0 |
| Aug 9 | 7 | 31 | 2.95 | 0 | 73 | 1,675 | 68.98 | 0 | 23 | 3,507 | 86.08 | 0 |
| Aug 10 | 12 | 43 | 4.09 | 0 | 56 | 1,731 | 71.29 | 0 | 64 | 3,571 | 87.65 | 0 |
| Aug 11 | 8 | 51 | 4.85 | 0 | 124 | 1,855 | 76.40 | 0 | 37 | 3,608 | 88.56 | 1 |
| Aug 12 | 16 | 67 | 6.37 | 0 | 11 | 1,866 | 76.85 | 0 | 26 | 3,634 | 89.20 | 2 |
| Aug 13 | 9 | 76 | 7.22 | 0 | 113 | 1,979 | 81.51 | 0 | 29 | 3,663 | 89.91 | 1 |
| Aug 14 | 23 | 99 | 9.41 | 0 | 104 | 2,083 | 85.79 | 0 | 25 | 3,688 | 90.52 | 3 |
| Aug 15 | 12 | 111 | 10.55 | 0 | 95 | 2,178 | 89.70 | 0 | 20 | 3,708 | 91.01 | 1 |
| Aug 16 | 5 | 116 | 11.03 | 0 | 15 | 2,193 | 90.32 | 0 | 13 | 3,721 | 91.33 | 0 |
| Aug 17 | 37 | 153 | 14.54 | 0 | 23 | 2,216 | 91.27 | 0 | 14 | 3,735 | 91.68 | 1 |
| Aug 18 | 19 | 172 | 16.35 | 0 | 5 | 2,221 | 91.47 | 0 | 29 | 3,764 | 92.39 | 5 |
| Aug 19 | 11 | 183 | 17.40 | 0 | 36 | 2,257 | 92.96 | 0 | 20 | 3,784 | 92.88 | 3 |
| Aug 20 | 8 | 191 | 18.16 | 0 | 4 | 2,261 | 93.12 | 0 | 22 | 3,806 | 93.42 | 10 |
| Aug 21 | 1 | 192 | 18.25 | 0 | 0 | 2,261 | 93.12 | 0 | 25 | 3,831 | 94.03 | 8 |
| Aug 22 | 2 | 194 | 18.44 | 0 | 7 | 2,268 | 93.41 | 1 | 8 | 3,839 | 94.23 | 4 |
| Aug 23 | 1 | 195 | 18.54 | 0 | 7 | 2,275 | 93.70 | 1 | 11 | 3,850 | 94.50 | 1 |
| Aug 24 | 31 | 226 | 21.48 | 0 | 10 | 2,285 | 94.11 | 0 | 6 | 3,856 | 94.64 | 2 |
| Aug 25 | 23 | 249 | 23.67 | 0 | 8 | 2,293 | 94.44 | 2 | 8 | 3,864 | 94.84 | 2 |
| Aug 26 | 303 | 552 | 52.47 | 1 | 7 | 2,300 | 94.73 | 1 | 13 | 3,877 | 95.16 | 12 |
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| Date | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Downstream }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  |
| Aug 27 | 28 | 580 | 55.13 | 0 | 18 | 2,318 | 95.47 | 0 | 7 | 3,884 | 95.33 | 3 |
| Aug 28 | 54 | 634 | 60.27 | 0 | 6 | 2,324 | 95.72 | 0 | 2 | 3,886 | 95.38 | 6 |
| Aug 29 | 8 | 642 | 61.03 | 1 | 11 | 2,335 | 96.17 | 0 | 6 | 3,892 | 95.53 | 6 |
| Aug 30 | 8 | 650 | 61.78 | 0 | 11 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 0 | 9 | 3,901 | 95.75 | 6 |
| Aug 31 | 62 | 712 | 67.68 | 1 | 0 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 0 | 2 | 3,903 | 95.80 | 0 |
| Sep 1 | 28 | 740 | 70.34 | 0 | 0 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 0 | 0 | 3,903 | 95.80 | 0 |
| Sep 2 | 14 | 754 | 71.67 | 0 | 0 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 0 | 0 | 3,903 | 95.80 | 0 |
| Sep 3 | 13 | 767 | 72.91 | 0 | 0 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 0 | 2 | 3,905 | 95.85 | 8 |
| Sep 4 | 26 | 793 | 75.38 | 0 | 0 | 2,346 | 96.62 | 1 | 1 | 3,906 | 95.87 | 1 |
| Sep 5 | 10 | 803 | 76.33 | 0 | 2 | 2,348 | 96.71 | 0 | 3 | 3,909 | 95.94 | 0 |
| Sep 6 | 35 | 838 | 79.66 | 3 | 2 | 2,350 | 96.78 | 0 | 2 | 3,911 | 95.99 | 0 |
| Sep 7 | 23 | 861 | 81.84 | 0 | 1 | 2,351 | 96.82 | 0 | 10 | 3,921 | 96.24 | 0 |
| Sep 8 | 9 | 870 | 82.70 | 1 | 0 | 2,351 | 96.82 | 0 | 11 | 3,932 | 96.51 | 3 |
| Sep 9 | 6 | 876 | 83.27 | 0 | 1 | 2,352 | 96.86 | 0 | 1 | 3,933 | 96.53 | 1 |
| Sep 10 | 11 | 887 | 84.31 | 0 | 1 | 2,353 | 96.91 | 0 | 5 | 3,938 | 96.66 | 2 |
| Sep 11 | 2 | 889 | 84.50 | 0 | 0 | 2,353 | 96.91 | 0 | 4 | 3,942 | 96.76 | 2 |
| Sep 12 | 3 | 892 | 84.79 | 0 | 1 | 2,354 | 96.95 | 0 | 8 | 3,950 | 96.96 | 1 |
| Sep 13 | 5 | 897 | 85.27 | 0 | 1 | 2,355 | 96.99 | 0 | 3 | 3,953 | 97.03 | 3 |
| Sep 14 | 2 | 899 | 85.45 | 0 | 4 | 2,359 | 97.16 | 0 | 4 | 3,957 | 97.13 | 1 |
| Sep 15 | 0 | 899 | 85.45 | 0 | 1 | 2,360 | 97.19 | 0 | 1 | 3,958 | 97.15 | 2 |
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| Date | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  |
| Sep 16 | 0 | 899 | 85.45 | 1 | 0 | 2,360 | 97.19 | 0 | 0 | 3,958 | 97.15 | 1 |
| Sep 17 | 1 | 900 | 85.55 | 2 | 0 | 2,360 | 97.19 | 0 | 9 | 3,967 | 97.37 | 3 |
| Sep 18 | 4 | 904 | 85.93 | 0 | 0 | 2,360 | 97.19 | 0 | 4 | 3,971 | 97.47 | 10 |
| Sep 19 | 3 | 907 | 86.22 | 0 | 1 | 2,361 | 97.24 | 0 | 1 | 3,972 | 97.50 | 1 |
| Sep 20 | 71 | 978 | 92.97 | 1 | 11 | 2,372 | 97.69 | 0 | 0 | 3,972 | 97.50 | 0 |
| Sep 21 | 29 | 1,007 | 95.72 | 0 | 1 | 2,373 | 97.73 | 0 | 4 | 3,976 | 97.59 | 0 |
| Sep 22 | 0 | 1,007 | 95.72 | 0 | 1 | 2,374 | 97.77 | 0 | 0 | 3,976 | 97.59 | 4 |
| Sep 23 | 1 | 1,008 | 95.81 | 0 | 3 | 2,377 | 97.90 | 0 | 5 | 3,981 | 97.72 | 2 |
| Sep 24 | 0 | 1,008 | 95.81 | 0 | 3 | 2,377 | 97.90 | 0 | 1 | 3,982 | 97.74 | 0 |
| Sep 25 | 2 | 1,010 | 96.01 | 0 | 3 | 2,377 | 97.90 | 0 | 0 | 3,982 | 97.74 | 116 |
| Sep 26 | 5 | 1,015 | 96.48 | 0 | 16 | 2,393 | 98.56 | 0 | 6 | 3,988 | 97.89 | 2 |
| Sep 27 | 6 | 1,021 | 97.05 | 0 | 16 | 2,393 | 98.56 | 0 | 9 | 3,997 | 98.11 | 1 |
| Sep 28 | 0 | 1,021 | 97.05 | 0 | 16 | 2,393 | 98.56 | 0 | 8 | 4,005 | 98.30 | 1 |
| Sep 29 | 0 | 1,021 | 97.05 | 1 | 16 | 2,393 | 98.56 | 0 | 7 | 4,012 | 98.47 | 0 |
| Sep 30 | 2 | 1,023 | 97.24 | 0 | 2 | 2,395 | 98.64 | 0 | 5 | 4,017 | 98.60 | 1 |
| Oct 1 | 6 | 1,029 | 97.81 | 0 | 3 | 2,398 | 98.76 | 1 | 3 | 4,020 | 98.67 | 1 |
| Oct 2 | 1 | 1,030 | 97.91 | 0 | 3 | 2,398 | 98.76 | 0 | 0 | 4,020 | 98.67 | 0 |
| Oct 3 | 1 | 1,031 | 98.00 | 1 | 4 | 2,402 | 98.93 | 4 | 9 | 4,029 | 98.89 | 0 |
| Oct 4 | 2 | 1,033 | 98.19 | 0 | 1 | 2,403 | 98.97 | 0 | 6 | 4,035 | 99.04 | 3 |
| Oct 5 | 2 | 1,035 | 98.38 | 2 | 2 | 2,405 | 99.05 | 0 | 14 | 4,049 | 99.38 | 2 |
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| Date | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Downstream }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Upstream |  |  | DownstreamDaily | Upstream |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Downstream } \\ \hline \text { Daily } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  | Daily | Cum | Cum\% |  |
| Oct 6 | 3 | 1,038 | 98.67 | 0 | 2 | 2,407 | 99.14 | 1 | 14 | 4,063 | 99.73 | 2 |
| Oct 7 | 0 | 1,038 | 98.67 | 2 | 15 | 2,422 | 99.75 | 2 | 11 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 2 |
| Oct 8 | 0 | 1,038 | 98.67 | 0 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 9 | 2 | 1,040 | 98.85 | 34 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 10 | 3 | 1,043 | 99.14 | 7 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 11 | 0 | 1,043 | 99.14 | 15 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 12 | 0 | 1,043 | 99.14 | 14 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 13 | 1 | 1,044 | 99.14 | 68 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 14 | 2 | 1,046 | 99.43 | 23 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 15 | 2 | 1,048 | 99.62 | 3 | 5 | 2,427 | 99.96 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 16 | 0 | 1,048 | 99.62 | 1 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 2 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 17 | 2 | 1,050 | 99.80 | 2 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 18 | 0 | 1,050 | 99.80 | 0 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 19 | 0 | 1,050 | 99.80 | 10 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 20 | 1 | 1,051 | 99.90 | 42 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 21 | 0 | 1,051 | 99.90 | 32 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Oct 22 | 1 | 1,052 | 100.00 | 1 | 0 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Total | 1,052 | 1,052 | 100.00 | 269 | 2,428 | 2,428 | 100.00 | 17 | 4,074 | 4,074 | 100.00 | 256 |

${ }^{a}$ Dolly Varden migrating downstream appeared to be spawned out.

Appendix F. - Public use information near the Frosty Creek weir, including date, primary and secondary activity, reason (sport or subsistence), guide status (yes or no), time (hrs/days), target species, and residence, 2000.

| Date | Primary | Secondary | Reason | Guided | Group <br> Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { (hrs/days) } \end{gathered}$ | Target Sp. | Residence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul 8 | Fishing | Unknown | Sport | N | 2 | 1.0 hr | ? (chum) | Unknown |
| Aug 19 | Sight See. | None | N/A | N | 2 | - | Bears | Cold Bay, AK |
| Aug 19 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 2 | 3.0 | Ptarmigan | Cold Bay/MO |
| Aug 26 | Sight See. | None | Photo | N | 2 | 2.0 | Frosty/Weir | Unknown |
| Aug 27 | Sight See. | None | N/A | N | 1 | 3.0 | N/A | Cold Bay, AK |
| Aug 28 | Sight See. | Fishing | Sport | N | 4 | - | - | Phoenix, AZ |
| Aug 31 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 4 | 2 | Dolly Varden | Reno, NV |
| Aug 31 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 1 | 1 | ? | ? |
| Sep 4 | Fishing | Hunting | Sport | N | 6 | ? | Dolly/Ptarm. | ? |
| Sep 4 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 3 | 3 | Dolly Varden | Holland |
| Sep 7 | Fishing | Hunting | Sport | N? | 6 | ? | Fish/Ptarm. | NC, FL, NJ, CA |
| Sep 9 | Fishing | None | Sport | Y | 4 | 3 | Dolly Varden | ? |
| Sep 11 | Sight See. | Other | None | N | 2 | 4 | None | Cold Bay, AK |
| Sep 15 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 2 | 2 | Dolly/Coho | EauClaire, WI |
| Sep 17 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 2 | 3 | Dolly/Coho | EauClaire, WI |
| Sep 18 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 2 | 3 | Dolly/Coho | EauClaire, WI |
| Sep 19 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 2 | 3 | Dolly/Coho | EauClaire, WI |
| Sep 21 | Hunting | Fishing | Sport | N | 2 | 8 | Ptarmigan | California |
| Sep 23 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 5 | 1 | - | Pennsylvania |
| Sep 25 | Fishing | None | Sport | N | 4 | 3 | Dolly Varden | ? |
| Sep 29 | Hunting | Fishing | Sport | N | 2 | 3 days | Waterfowl | Anchorage, AK |
| Sep 29 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 3 | 3 days | Waterfowl | King/Kenai |

Appendix F. - Continued.

| Date | Primary | Secondary | Reason | Guided | Group <br> Size | Time <br> (hrs/days) | Target Sp. | Residence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 3 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 2 | 1 | Ptarmigan | $?$ |
| Oct 3 | Fishing | $?$ | Sport | N | 2 | 1day | Coho/Other | $?$ |
| Oct 3 | Fishing | $?$ | Sport | N | 2 | 2 days | Coho/Other | $?$ |
| Oct 9 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 4 | 4 | Waterfowl | $?$ |
| Oct 10 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 1 | 2 | Ptarmigan | $?$ |
| Oct 12 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | $?$ | $?$ | Ptarmigan | $?$ |
| Oct 15 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 4 | 2 | Ptarmigan | $?$ |
| Oct 15 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 2 | 6 | Parmigan | $?$ |
| Oct 22 | Hunting | None | Sport | N | 3 | 1.0 | Ptarmigan | $?$ |
| $\boldsymbol{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $?$ |

Appendix G. - Numbers of chum, sockeye, pink and coho salmon observed during walking surveys from the weir downstream to the mouth of Frosty Creek, 2000.

| Date | Chum | Sockeye | Pink | Coho |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| July 8, 2000 | 694 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July 16, 2000 | 2,322 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| July 22, 2000 | 3,396 | 11 | 146 | 0 |
| July 31, 2000 | 2,004 | 44 | 17 | 0 |
| Aug. 9, 2000 | 3,030 | 76 | 27 | 0 |
| Aug. 17, 2000 | 3,060 | 44 | 93 | 0 |
| Aug. 24, 2000 | 5,459 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep. 4, 2000 | 6,223 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Sep. 14, 2000 | 2,863 | 0 | 0 | 520 |
| Sep. 24, 2000 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 766 |
| Oct. 2, 2000 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 502 |
| Oct. 19, 2000 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 544 |
| TOTALS | 29,551 | 176 | 283 | 2,416 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ All fish includes fish that were not identified as male or female ( $N=4$ ).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All fish includes fish that were not identified as male or female ( $N=10$ ).

[^1]:    

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Sample sizes for listed age classes do not equal the total because ages 0.1 and $1.3(N=2)$ were not included since they were $<1 \%$ of the total sample.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All fish includes fish which were not identified as male or female.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ages 0.3 and 1.1 were not included as they were $<3 \%(N=4)$ of the total sample.

