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#### Abstract

A bidirectional fish weir was installed and operated near the mouth of Gertrude Creek, Becharof National Wildlife Refuge from 1997 to 1999. Chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta were the most abundant species counted through the weir in 1997 ( $N=11,133$ ), $1998(N=11,821)$ and $1999(N=16,992)$, followed by Chinook O. tshawytscha ( $N=1,335, N=1,646, N=1,171$ ), pink O. gorbuscha ( $N=1,290$, $N=2,492, N=1,131$ ), coho $O$. kisutch ( $N=614, N=950, N=293$ ) and sockeye salmon O. nerka ( $N=15, N=13, N=19$ ). Chum salmon were the first fish to migrate past the weir beginning in June followed by Chinook, sockeye, pink, and coho salmon. Pink and coho salmon were still migrating past the weir when it was removed each fall. The sex composition of chum, Chinook, coho, and pink salmon was dominated by males. Predominate age classes for chum (age 0.3 and 0.4), Chinook (age 1.3), and coho (age 2.1) salmon were similar to other populations in Bristol Bay. Chinook and coho salmon lengths varied considerably between years, whereas chum salmon lengths did not show much annual variation.


## Introduction

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service conducted a study from 1997 to 1999 to assess salmon populations in Gertrude Creek. This was conducted ancillary to another study which assessed the status of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss in Gertrude Creek and determined the degree of bias in assessing rainbow trout populations using hook and line sampling techniques (Hetrick and Bromaghin, 2006). A bidirectional weir was installed and operated to intercept the up and downstream migration of resident species from ice out in April to late September. Since the weir would also intercept the salmon migration and knowledge of salmon abundance and run timing were lacking in Gertrude Creek, the weir provided an opportunity to gather valuable salmon migration and life history information. Previous population assessment information for Pacific salmon (Russell 1983) and resident fish in Gertrude Creek is limited (Russell 1977 and 1983; Adams 1999). The Alaska Department of Fish and Game performs aerial surveys on the major tributaries of the King Salmon River drainage in early August each year to monitor abundance of Chinook O. tshawytscha, coho O. kisutch, and chum O. keta salmon. These counts are only an index of abundance based on counts of fish sighted, not escapement estimates.

Pacific salmon populations from Gertrude Creek are harvested in the commercial fishery near Egegik. The commercial fishery primarily targets sockeye salmon O. nerka, other Pacific salmon species are caught incidentally, yet in some years coho salmon are targeted. From 1990 to 1999, the average annual harvest from the Egegik district was 76,495 chum, 36,592 coho, 2,626 pink O. gorbuscha, and 1,033 Chinook salmon (Sands et al. 2002). Based on aerial survey data from the King Salmon and Egegik rivers, about 35\% of the Chinook salmon and 39\% of the chum salmon spawn in Gertrude Creek (Browning et al. 2002). To maintain healthy populations, stocks that are exploited need to be assessed and monitored to ensure effective management. In addition, Section 302 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA)

[^0]mandates that Becharof National Wildlife Refuge be managed to "conserve fish and wildlife populations and habitats in their natural diversity" (USFWS, 1994). To conserve Pacific salmon stocks in Gertrude Creek, managers need escapement and run timing data along with sex and age composition throughout the migratory period. Data generated from this study will be used as a benchmark to compare future monitoring efforts and determine trends in population size and structure. Specific objectives of the project were to (1) estimate the abundance, run timing, length composition, and sex composition of Chinook, sockeye, coho, chum, and pink salmon in Gertrude Creek; and (2) estimate the age compositions of Chinook, coho and chum salmon in Gertrude Creek.

## Study Area

Gertrude Creek flows through Becharof National Wildlife Refuge in southwest Alaska (Figure 1). This third-order, clear-water stream is a tributary to the King Salmon River, a glacially-turbid river originating in the Kejulik Mountains in Katmai National Park. Gertrude Creek empties into the King Salmon River about 90 km upstream from its confluence with the Egegik River at Egegik Bay. Gertrude Creek supports resident populations of rainbow trout, Arctic grayling Thymallus arcticus, round whitefish Prosopium cylindraceum, and Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, as well as populations of Chinook, chum, coho, and pink salmon. Sockeye salmon and northern pike Esox lucius are also found in the drainage. The diversity of species in the stream coupled with its close proximity to King Salmon (about 60 km ) and accessibility by float or wheel plane, has made Gertrude Creek a popular sport fishery.

## Methods

## Weir Operations

A bidirectional weir was installed near the mouth of Gertrude Creek in mid April 1997 and 1998 and early May 1999 that captured both upstream and downstream migrant fishes. The weir was constructed from 12 mm diameter electrical metal tubing pickets separated by 19 mm lengths of polyvinyl chloride pipe. Pickets and spacers were strung together with 4 mm diameter steel cable to make 3 m long panels, measuring either 1.0 or 1.5 m high. The bottom ends of panels were pushed into the substrate, and the tops were lowered onto a 9.5 mm diameter cable spanning the channel. Weir panels were angled about 45 degrees in relation to the stream bed to shunt debris to the water surface, thereby maintaining free flow of water through the pickets and to aid in cleaning debris from the weir. The weir was installed diagonally across the channel at about a 45 degree angle to direct upstream and downstream migrant fishes to opposite sides of the stream. A fyke was installed on each side of the weir to guide and subsequently trap fish in upstream and downstream holding pens. Holding pens were positioned as close to the stream banks on opposite sides of the channel as adequate depth and velocity would allow. Depth in the holding pens was greater than 0.5 m to minimize escapement of fish from pens. At a minimum, the entire weir was inspected, cleaned, and maintained daily to insure integrity.

A 0.2 to 1.0 m wide adjustable gate was installed on the weir near the upstream holding pen to expedite upstream passage and minimize the need for trapping and holding salmon. A contrasting substrate was placed on the stream bottom in front of the gate to enhance visibility of fish and to facilitate species identification as they passed through the counting panel. The door of the gate, when open, was positioned to help block downstream movement of resident fishes through the opening in the weir.


Figure 1. Gertrude Creek weir location, Becharof National Wildlife Refuge, 1997-1999.

## Water Temperature

An electronic temperature recorder was installed near the weir to monitor water temperatures in Gertrude Creek. The recorder was programmed to record temperatures ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) hourly, which was later summarized to daily maximum, mean, and minimum temperatures.

## Biological Data

Length and sex data were collected from all five species of Pacific salmon and age data were collected from Chinook, chum, and coho salmon. Biological data were collected using a temporally stratified sampling design (Cochran 1977), with statistical weeks defining strata. Salmon species were sampled weekly for biological information, and to the extent logistically feasible, samples were collected uniformly throughout the week (Monday through Sunday). When collections occurred, all target species within the pen were sampled, even if the weekly sample size goal for a species was exceeded. This was done to avoid potential bias that may result from selective netting of individual fish from the pen. During weeks of low passage when the maximum sample size goal could not be obtained, about $10-20 \%$ of the weekly escapement was sampled. Salmon passing the weir in excess of the weekly sample size goals were not sampled and instead, counted as they passed over the contrasting substrate panel positioned in front of the adjustable gate.

Samples for biological data were collected using a dip net to remove fish from the holding pen. Fish were sampled at least twice a day or more frequently as the number of fish moving through the weir increased. Salmon were sampled for length (mid-eye to tail fork), identified to sex using secondary sexual characteristics, and had scales collected for age analysis. The number of scales extracted varied per species, one scale was sampled from chum salmon and three scales were sampled from Chinook and coho salmon. Scales were extracted from the preferred area on the left side of adult salmon (Jearld 1983). Scale samples were cleaned and mounted on gummed scale cards. The cards were later pressed and aged. Scales were read by two independent readers and discrepancies were resolved in conference or discarded if they were not resolved. Salmon ages were reported according to the European method (Koo 1962), where the number on the left side of the decimal indicates the number of winters spent in fresh water and the number on the right side indicates the number of winters spent in salt water. Fish that could not be aged were not included in age analyses and fish where sex could not be determined were not included in sex analyses. Salmon that were collected in the downstream trap ( $n=6$ ) were dropped from all analyses.

## Data Analysis

Although sample collection was stratified by statistical week a priori, age data were analyzed post season to calculate age composition estimates for the entire escapement, rather than for individual strata. Weekly passage totals for each salmon species were used to derive weekly sub-sample sizes necessary to estimate age compositions within a specified level of precision (0.05). A common stratum sample size was selected so that the maximum width of a confidence interval for a single age class would not exceed a specified value, assuming the worst case scenario that the age class might comprise $50 \%$ of the escapement (Cochran 1977, Bromaghin 1993). Starting with a sample size of 1 from each stratum, the sample size was increased by 1 in each stratum, unless the result would exceed the number of scales available in a particular stratum, until the confidence interval width became less than the specified maximum. A random sample of the identified size was taken from the available scales within each stratum and the selected scales were aged.

Characteristics of Chinook, chum, and coho salmon passing through the weir were estimated using standard stratified random sampling estimators (Cochran 1977). Within a given stratum $m$, the proportion of species $i$ passing the weir that are of sex $j$ and age $k\left(p_{i j k m}\right)$ was estimated as

$$
\hat{p}_{i j k m}=\frac{n_{i j k m}}{n_{i++m}}
$$

where $n_{i j k m}$ denotes the number of fish of species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k$ sampled during stratum $m$ and a subscript of "+" represents summation over all possible values of the corresponding variable, e.g., $n_{i++m}$ denotes the total number of fish of species $i$ sampled in stratum $m$. The variance of $\hat{p}_{i j k m}$ was estimated as

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right)=\left(1-\frac{n_{i++m}}{N_{i++m}}\right) \frac{\hat{p}_{i j k m}\left(1-\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right)}{n_{i++m}-1},
$$

where $N_{i++m}$ denotes the total number of species $i$ fish passing the weir in stratum $m$. The estimated number of fish of species $i$, sex $j$, age $k$ passing the weir in stratum $m\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\right)$ was

$$
\hat{N}_{i j k m}=N_{i++m} \hat{p}_{i j k m},
$$

with estimated variance

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\right)=N_{i++m}^{2} \hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right) .
$$

Estimates of proportions for the entire period of weir operation were computed as weighted sums of the stratum estimates, where

$$
\hat{p}_{i j k}=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{N_{i++m}}{N_{i+++}}\right) \hat{p}_{i j k m},
$$

and

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k}\right)=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{N_{i++m}}{N_{i+++}}\right)^{2} \hat{v}\left(\hat{p}_{i j k m}\right) .
$$

The total number of fish in a species, sex, and age category passing the weir during the entire period of operation was estimated as

$$
\hat{N}_{i j k}=\sum_{m} \hat{N}_{i j k m},
$$

with estimated variance

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k}\right)=\sum_{m} \hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\right) .
$$

If the length of fish of species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k$ sampled in stratum $m$ is denoted $x_{i j k m}$, the sample mean length of fish of species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k$ within stratum $m$ was calculated as

$$
\bar{x}_{i j k m}=\frac{\sum x_{i j k m}}{n_{i j k m}}
$$

with corresponding sample variance $s_{i j k m}^{2}$

$$
s_{i j k m}^{2}=\left(1-\frac{n_{i j k m}}{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}\right) \frac{\sum\left(x_{i j k m}-\bar{x}_{i j k m}\right)^{2}}{n_{i j k m}-1} .
$$

The mean length of all fish of species $i$, sex $j$, and age $k\left(\hat{\bar{x}}_{i j k}\right)$ was estimated as a weighted sum of the stratum means, where

$$
\hat{\bar{X}}_{i j k}=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}{\hat{N}_{i j k}}\right) \bar{x}_{i j k m} .
$$

An approximate estimator of the variance of $\hat{\bar{X}}_{i j k}$ was obtained using the delta method (Seber 1982) where

$$
\hat{v}\left(\hat{\bar{X}}_{i j k}\right)=\sum_{m}\left\{\hat{v}\left(\hat{N}_{i j k m}\left[\frac{x_{i j k m}}{\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}}-\sum_{y} \frac{\hat{N}_{i j k y}}{\left(\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}\right)^{2}} x_{i j k y}\right]^{2}+\left(\frac{\hat{N}_{i j k m}}{\sum_{x} \hat{N}_{i j k x}}\right)^{2} s_{i j k m}^{2}\right\} .\right.
$$

## Results

## Weir Operations

1997-Gertrude Creek was ice-free on 18 April when the weir was installed and first operational. Water remained low and clear throughout the season due to infrequent rain and the weir did not become inoperable until it was removed on 18 September due to high water.

Chum salmon ( $N=11,133$ ) were the most abundant species counted through the weir in 1997 followed by Chinook ( $N=1,335$ ), pink ( $N=1,290$ ), coho ( $N=651$ ), and sockeye salmon ( $N=15$ ) (Appendix D). Chum salmon were counted at the weir from 1 June to 10 September, with a peak of 807 (or $7 \%$ of the run) on 30 June (Figure 2; Appendix D). Chinook salmon were counted at the weir from 1 July to 8 September, with a peak of 184 (or $14 \%$ of the run) on 20 July (Figure 3; Appendix D). Pink salmon were counted at the weir from 7 July to 17 September, with a peak of 65 (or $5 \%$ of the run) on 6 September (Figure 4; Appendix D). Pink salmon were still being counted at the weir before it was removed on 18 September; therefore, counts of pink salmon were incomplete. Coho salmon were counted at the weir from 15 August to 17 September, with a peak of 213 (or $33 \%$ of the run) on 8 September (Figure 5; Appendix D). Coho salmon were still being counted at the weir when it was removed on 18 September; therefore, counts of coho salmon were incomplete. Sockeye salmon were counted at the weir from 2 July to 9 September.

1998-Gertrude Creek was again ice-free when the weir began operation on 14 April. Frequent storms during the summer months, typical in southwest Alaska, kept the water level higher in 1998 than in 1997. The weir was down on 4 occasions ( $9-12$ and 16-18 May, 27 May - 10 June, and 26 - 27 August) due to high flows. The weir was removed on 18 September, following high flows that topped the structure.

Chum salmon ( $N=11,821$ ) were the most abundant species counted through the weir in 1998 followed by Chinook ( $N=1,646$ ), pink ( $N=2,492$ ), coho ( $N=950$ ), and sockeye salmon ( $N=13$ ) (Appendix E). Chum salmon were counted at the weir from 12 June to 6 September, with a peak of 681 (or 6\% of the run) on 15 July (Figure 2; Appendix E). Chinook salmon were counted at the weir from 20 June to 13 September, with a peak of 293 (or $18 \%$ of the run) on 26 July (Figure 3; Appendix E). Pink salmon were counted at the weir from 9 July to 17 September,


Figure 2. Daily counts of chum salmon at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.


Figure 3. Daily counts of Chinook salmon at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.
with a peak of 250 (or $10 \%$ of the run) on 29 July (Figure 4; Appendix E). Pink salmon were still being counted at the weir before it was removed on 18 September; therefore, counts of pink salmon were incomplete. Coho salmon were counted at the weir from 7 August to 17 September, with a peak of 432 (or $33 \%$ of the run) on 17 September (Figure 5; Appendix E). Coho salmon were still being counted at the weir before it was removed on 18 September; therefore, counts of coho salmon were incomplete. Sockeye salmon were counted at the weir from 18 July to 8 September.

1999- When the weir was installed on 6 May 1999, Gertrude Creek was mostly covered with ice starting about 3 km upstream of the weir. Flow remained relatively high throughout the season. The weir was down on 5 occasions (11, $20-25$, and $30-31$ May, $2-3$ and $7-11$ June) due to ice break-up and high flows. The weir was topped by high flows on 13 September and subsequently removed.

Chum salmon ( $N=16,992$ ) were the most abundant species counted through the weir in 1999 followed by Chinook ( $N=1,171$ ), pink ( $N=1,131$ ), coho ( $N=293$ ), and sockeye salmon ( $N=19$ ) (Appendix F). Chum salmon were counted at the weir from 16 June to 8 September, with a peak of 1,248 (or $7 \%$ of the run) on 8 July (Figure 2; Appendix F). Chinook salmon were counted at the weir from 6 July to 20 August, with a peak of 190 (or $16 \%$ of the run) on 28 July (Figure 3; Appendix F). Pink salmon were counted at the weir from 17 July to 12 September, with a peak of 131 (or $12 \%$ of the run) on 10 August (Figure 4; Appendix F). Pink salmon were still being counted at the weir before it was removed on 13 September; therefore, counts of pink salmon were incomplete. Coho salmon were counted at the weir from 12 August to 12 September, with a peak of 97 (or $33 \%$ of the run) on 5 September (Figure 5; Appendix F). Coho salmon were still being counted at the weir before it was removed on 13 September; therefore, counts of coho salmon were incomplete. Sockeye salmon were counted at the weir from 14 July to 9 September.

## Water Temperature

Water temperatures increased progressively over time but fluctuated greatly on a daily or weekly basis throughout the study (Figure 6). In 1997, temperatures peaked at the weir on 29 June with a maximum temperature of $19.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In 1998, water temperatures peaked on 31 July with a maximum temperature of $13.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in 1999 , water temperatures peaked on 30 July with a maximum temperature of $14.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Biological Data

1997- Age, sex, and length data were collected from 1,446 chum salmon in 1997 and 494 were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 11 fish (2\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Four age classes were identified from scales collected in 1997 (Table 1). The majority of the run consisted of age 0.3 and 0.4 fish, and females comprised $38 \%$ of the sample (Table 2). Chum salmon lengths ranged from 486 to 647 mm for females and from 489 to 700 mm for males (Table 3).

Biological data were collected from 452 Chinook salmon in 1997 and 199 scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 2 fish (1\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Five age classes were identified from scales collected in 1997 (Table 4), with the majority of the run consisting of age 1.3 fish. Females comprised $35 \%$ of the sample (Table 2). Chinook salmon lengths ranged from 573 to 924 mm for females and from 319 to 942 mm for males (Table 5).


Figure 4. Daily counts of pink salmon at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.
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Figure 5. Daily counts of coho salmon at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.


Figure 6. Maximum, mean, and minimum daily water temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in Gertrude Creek, 1997-1999.
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Table 1. Estimated age composition and standard error of chum salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | -- | 2 | 37 | 60 | 1 |
| SE | -- | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| $N$ | -- | 12 | 217 | 262 | 3 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | -- | 2 | 58 | 34 | 6 |
| SE | -- | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| $N$ | -- | 34 | 409 | 221 | 34 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | $<1$ | $<1$ | 72 | 27 | 1 |
| SE | 0.01 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| $N$ | 1 | 2 | 561 | 164 | 5 |

Table 2. Estimated sex composition, sample size, standard error, and escapement of Pacific salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997.

| Species | $N$ | Female (\%) | Male (\%) | SE (\%) | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chum | 1,444 | 38 | 62 | 1.3 | 11,133 |
| Chinook | 431 | 35 | 65 | 2.8 | 1,335 |
| Coho | 227 | 39 | 61 | 5.3 | 651 |
| Pink | 251 | 34 | 66 | 2.9 | 1,290 |
| Sockeye | 6 | 34 | 66 | 20.9 | 15 |

Table 3. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for chum salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 527 | 560 | 589 | -- |
| SE | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.0 | -- |
| Min | 486 | 502 | 503 | 606 |
| Max | 549 | 625 | 647 | -- |
| $N$ | 5 | 89 | 111 | 1 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 532 | 585 | 616 | 587 |
| SE | 21.4 | 11.3 | 11.2 | -- |
| Min | 490 | 489 | 536 | 586 |
| Max | 570 | 660 | 700 | 596 |
| $N$ | 7 | 128 | 151 | 2 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 530 | 575 | 604 | 593 |
| SE | 15.9 | 10.9 | 11.9 | -- |
| Min | 486 | 489 | 503 | 586 |
| Max | 570 | 660 | 700 | 606 |
| $N$ | 12 | 217 | 262 | 3 |
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Table 4. Estimated age composition and standard error of Chinook salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| $\%$ | 17 | 21 | 38 | 21 | 3 | -- |
| SE | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.6 | -- |
| $N$ | 24 | 44 | 91 | 36 | 4 | -- |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 20 | 13 | 38 | 25 | 4 | -- |
| SE | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 1.5 | -- |
| $N$ | 64 | 32 | 76 | 49 | 8 | -- |
| $\%$ |  |  | 1999 |  |  |  |
| SE | 12 | 13 | 27 | 38 | 9 | $<1$ |
| $N$ | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 |

Table 5. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for Chinook salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | -- | 679 | 802 | 806 | 807 |
| SE | -- | 29.0 | 20.2 | 26.2 | -- |
| Min | 586 | 573 | 622 | 700 | 770 |
| Max | -- | 762 | 885 | 924 | 834 |
| $N$ | 1 | 9 | 44 | 26 | 4 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 438 | 622 | 737 | 849 | -- |
| SE | 28.3 | 33.9 | 27.9 | 36.2 | -- |
| Min | 319 | 419 | 526 | 763 | -- |
| Max | 624 | 800 | 873 | 942 | -- |
| $N$ | 22 | 31 | 46 | 10 | -- |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 441 | 629 | 768 | 817 | 807 |
| SE | 27.8 | 31.4 | 27.0 | 28.2 | -- |
| Min | 319 | 419 | 526 | 700 | 770 |
| Max | 624 | 800 | 885 | 942 | 834 |
| $N$ | 24 | 44 | 91 | 36 | 4 |

Biological data were collected from 227 coho salmon in 1997 and 176 scales were sampled for age analysis. Scales from 49 fish (22\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Six age classes were identified from scales collected in 1997 (Table 6) and the majority of the run consisted of age 2.1 fish. Females comprised $39 \%$ of the sample (Table 2). Coho salmon lengths ranged from 369 to 650 mm for females and from 309 to 674 mm for males (Table 7).

Length and sex data were collected from 251 pink salmon in 1997 and females comprised 34\% of the sample (Table 2). The mean length was 440 mm for females and 426 mm for males. Pink salmon lengths ranged from 373 to 538 mm for females and from 328 to 545 mm for males. One pink salmon was captured in the downstream trap.

Length and sex data were collected from 6 sockeye salmon in 1997 and females comprised 34\% of the sample (Table 2). Sockeye salmon lengths ranged from 563 to 599 mm for females and from 539 to 629 mm for males.

1998- Age, sex, and length data were collected from 1,451chum salmon in 1998 and 698 scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 11 fish (2\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Four age classes were identified from scales collected in 1998 (Table 1). The majority of the run consisted of age 0.3 and 0.4 fish, and females comprised $45 \%$ of the sample (Table 8). Chum salmon lengths ranged from 470 to 666 mm for females and from 490 to 782 mm for males (Table 9).

Biological data were collected from 385 Chinook salmon in 1998 and 229 scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 36 fish (14\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Five age classes were identified from scales collected in 1998 (Table 4). The majority of the run consisted of age 1.3 and 1.4 fish and females comprised $40 \%$ of the sample (Table 8). Chinook salmon lengths ranged from 391 to 898 mm for females and from 329 to 916 mm for males (Table 10).

Biological were collected from 379 coho salmon in 1998 and 203 coho salmon scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 12 fish (6\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Four age classes were identified from scales collected in 1998 (Table 6). The majority of the run consisted of age 2.1 fish, and females comprised $39 \%$ of the sample (Table 8). Coho salmon lengths ranged from 431 to 670 mm for females and from 426 to 678 mm for males (Table 11).

Length and sex data were collected from 503 pink salmon in 1998 and females comprised 49\% of the total sample (Table 8). The mean length was 414 mm for females and 409 mm for males. Pink salmon lengths ranged from 343 to 549 mm for females and from 310 to 549 mm for males. Four pink salmon were captured in the downstream trap.

Length and sex data were collected from 12 sockeye salmon in 1998 and females comprised 17\% of the total sample (Table 8). Sockeye salmon lengths ranged from 395 to 499 mm for females and from 323 to 432 mm for males. One sockeye salmon was captured in the downstream trap.

1999- Age, sex, and length data were collected from 1,243 chum salmon in 1999 and 732 scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 23 fish (3\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Four age classes were identified from scales collected in 1999 (Table 1). The majority of the run consisted of age 0.3 and 0.4 fish, and females comprised $45 \%$ of the sample (Table 12). Chum salmon lengths ranged from 470 to 674 mm for females and from 519 to 687 mm for males (Table 13).
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Table 6. Estimated age composition and standard error of coho salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997-1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
|  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |
| \% | 20 | 3 | -- | 71 | 5 | $<1$ |
| SE | 5.1 | 0.8 | -- | 5.3 | 1.8 | -- |
| $N$ | 28 | 8 | -- | 127 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1998 |  |  |  |
| \% | 25 | 3 | 1 | 68 | 2 | -- |
| SE | 3.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 1.0 | -- |
| $N$ | 52 | 5 | 1 | 139 | 6 | -- |
|  |  |  | 1999 |  |  |  |
| \% | 11 | 1 | -- | 81 | 1 | 4 |
| SE | 5.1 | 0.3 | -- | 6.0 | 0.4 | 3.7 |
| $N$ | 10 | 3 | -- | 59 | 3 | 2 |
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Table 7. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for coho salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 470 | 530 | 575 | 621 | -- |
| SE | 54.0 | 46.4 | 32.8 | 9.1 | -- |
| Min | 369 | 447 | 428 | 604 | 419 |
| Max | 611 | 616 | 650 | 635 | -- |
| $N$ | 10 | 4 | 56 | 6 | 1 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 462 | 496 | 574 | 640 | -- |
| SE | 64.2 | 6.6 | 34.3 | 2.0 | -- |
| Min | 309 | 460 | 391 | 566 | -- |
| Max | 592 | 520 | 674 | 663 | -- |
| $N$ | 18 | 4 | 71 | 6 | -- |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 463 | 513 | 575 | 633 | -- |
| SE | 58.1 | 30.4 | 31.9 | 5.2 | -- |
| Min | 309 | 447 | 391 | 566 | 419 |
| Max | 611 | 616 | 674 | 663 | -- |
| $N$ | 28 | 8 | 127 | 12 | 1 |
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Table 8. Estimated sex composition, sample size, standard error, and escapement of Pacific salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1998.

| Species | $N$ | Female (\%) | Male (\%) | SE (\%) | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chum | 1,447 | 45 | 55 | 1.6 | 11,821 |
| Chinook | 378 | 40 | 60 | 2.6 | 1,646 |
| Coho | 363 | 39 | 61 | 2.6 | 950 |
| Pink | 499 | 49 | 51 | 2.4 | 2,492 |
| Sockeye | 9 | 17 | 83 | 4.3 | 13 |

Table 9. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for chum salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1998.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| Mean | 553 | 562 | 581 | 599 |
| SE | 22.1 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 20.3 |
| Min | 504 | 470 | 508 | 562 |
| Max | 600 | 661 | 627 | 666 |
| $N$ | 15 | 192 | 95 | 12 |
|  |  | Male |  |  |
| Mean | 538 | 586 | 608 | 23.3 |
| SE | 5.3 | 9.7 | 14.7 | 546 |
| Min | 490 | 650 | 531 | 694 |
| Max | 618 | 216 | 782 | 22 |
| $N$ | 19 | Total | 124 |  |
|  |  | 575 | 595 | 609 |
| Mean | 545 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 22.2 |
| SE | 11.5 | 470 | 508 | 546 |
| Min | 490 | 409 | 782 | 694 |
| Max | 618 | 34 | 221 | 34 |
| $N$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for Chinook salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1998.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 483 | -- | 739 | 800 | 843 |
| SE | 50.7 | -- | 23.8 | 19.1 | 46.6 |
| Min | 391 | 640 | 557 | 595 | 781 |
| Max | 549 | -- | 854 | 898 | 882 |
| $N$ | 4 | 1 | 33 | 34 | 4 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 441 | 596 | 728 | 761 | 898 |
| SE | 40.1 | 37.0 | 37.8 | 31.8 | 9.1 |
| Min | 329 | 453 | 529 | 713 | 876 |
| Max | 586 | 732 | 859 | 873 | 916 |
| $N$ | 59 | 29 | 41 | 15 | 4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 443 | 598 | 732 | 787 | 870 |
| SE | 39.4 | 36.3 | 31.8 | 21.3 | 38.3 |
| Min | 329 | 453 | 529 | 595 | 781 |
| Max | 586 | 732 | 859 | 898 | 916 |
| $N$ | 64 | 32 | 76 | 49 | 8 |
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Table 11. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for coho salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1998.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 593 | 539 | -- | 591 | 575 |
| SE | 15.6 | 77.9 | -- | 22.0 | 27.9 |
| Min | 527 | 473 | -- | 431 | 537 |
| Max | 670 | 600 | -- | 652 | 601 |
| $N$ | 19 | 3 | -- | 54 | 3 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 538 | 508 | -- | 564 | 533 |
| SE | 44.8 | -- | -- | 30.4 | 5.8 |
| Min | 426 | 468 | -- | 444 | 517 |
| Max | 668 | 641 | -- | 678 | 563 |
| $N$ | 29 | 2 | -- | 82 | 3 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 557 | 527 | -- | 575 | 546 |
| SE | 38.1 | 62.1 | -- | 28.8 | 11.6 |
| Min | 425 | 468 | 351 | 431 | 517 |
| Max | 670 | 641 | -- | 678 | 601 |
| $N$ | 52 | 5 | 1 | 139 | 6 |
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Table 12. Estimated sex composition, sample size, standard error, and escapement of Pacific salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1999.

| Species | $N$ | Female (\%) | Male (\%) | SE (\%) | Escapement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chum | 1,238 | 45 | 55 | 1.7 | 16,992 |
| Chinook | 246 | 52 | 48 | 4.2 | 1,171 |
| Coho | 92 | 32 | 68 | 6.4 | 293 |
| Pink | 392 | 52 | 48 | 2.2 | 1,131 |
| Sockeye | 11 | 39 | 61 | 17.3 | 19 |
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Table 13. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for chum salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | -- | -- | 577 | 588 | -- |
| SE | -- | -- | 13.2 | 11.9 | -- |
| Min | -- | 603 | 470 | 523 | 566 |
| Max | -- | -- | 674 | 639 | -- |
| $N$ | -- | 1 | 262 | 65 | 1 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | -- | -- | 598 | 614 | 618 |
| SE | -- | -- | 10.9 | 12.5 | -- |
| Min | 589 | 561 | 519 | 551 | 601 |
| Max | -- | -- | 687 | 670 | 637 |
| $N$ | 1 | 1 | 296 | 98 | 4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | -- | 600 | 589 | 604 | 605 |
| SE | -- | -- | 12.6 | 13.2 | 25.3 |
| Min | 589 | 561 | 470 | 523 | 566 |
| Max | -- | 603 | 687 | 670 | 637 |
| $N$ | 1 | 2 | 561 | 164 | 5 |

Biological data were collected from 247 Chinook salmon in 1999 and 218 scales were subsampled for age analysis. Scales from 29 fish (12\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Six age classes were identified from scales collected in 1999 (Table 4). The majority of the run consisted of age 1.3, and 1.4 fish, and females comprised $52 \%$ of the sample (Table 12). Chinook salmon lengths ranged from 520 to 910 mm for females and from 300 to 895 mm for males (Table 14).

Biological data were collected from 92 coho salmon in 1999 and 77 scales were sub-sampled for age analysis. Scales from 14 fish (15\%) were either illegible or regenerated. Five age classes were identified from scales collected in 1999 (Table 6) and the majority of the run consisted of age 2.1 fish. Females comprised $32 \%$ of the sample (Table 12). Coho salmon lengths ranged from 450 to 617 mm for females and from 375 to 651 mm for males (Table 15).

Length and sex data were collected from 392 pink salmon in 1999 and females comprised 52\% of the sample (Table 12). The mean length was 407 mm for females and 403 mm for males. Pink salmon lengths ranged from 326 to 477 mm for females and from 323 to 615 mm for males.

Length and sex data were collected from 11 sockeye salmon in 1999 and females comprised 39\% of the sample (Table 12). Sockeye salmon lengths ranged from 469 to 544 mm for females and from 397 to 595 mm for males.

## Discussion

The Gertrude Creek weir was operated over a 3-year period from 1997 to 1999. Pacific salmon runs in Gertrude Creek began in June and continued through September. Chum salmon were the most abundant species and the first salmon observed at the weir. Chum salmon numbers were the lowest in 1997 and the peak run timing for that year was earlier. Peak run timing for Chinook salmon was also earlier in 1997 than the following years. However, peak run timing for pink salmon was later in 1997 and peak run timing for coho salmon was similar in 1997 and 1999, yet almost two weeks later in 1998. Pink and coho salmon passed through the weir the day before counting ceased in every year of operation. Therefore, it is likely that part of the late run was missed and escapement of these species was underestimated. We are hesitant to make generalizations about the run strength or timing of coho salmon in Gertrude Creek, because run timing has been shown to last through the month of September for other systems in Bristol Bay (Edwards and Larson 2004; Anderson 2005). Pink salmon exhibited an even year run strength, similar to other Alaska Peninsula stocks (Heard 1991). In May and June of 1998 and 1999, high flows or ice break-up occurred, making the weir inoperable. However, these events occurred prior to salmon being observed at the weir and it is unlikely that any salmon were missed during these periods. On 26 and 27 August 1998, the weir was partially down, which may have attributed to the lower salmon counts on these dates.

The salmon run timing varied each year. The earlier peaks in run timing in 1997 may be due to lower water flows and consequently warmer water temperatures. Average daily water temperatures and maximum temperatures were warmer in 1997 than in 1998 and 1999. National Weather Service records for King Salmon in 1997 indicate that record highs were recorded on 7 days between May and August. Maximum water temperatures in 1997 were the warmest recorded ( $5.1-5.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above) during the years of operation and temperatures peaked approximately one month earlier than in 1998 and 1999 (Figure 6). This may have prompted fish to migrate earlier in 1997 than the following years. Frequent storms during the summer months, typical in southwest Alaska, kept water levels higher in 1998 than in 1997 and the late

Table 14. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for Chinook salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | -- | 669 | 737 | 778 | 820 | -- |
| SE | -- | 40.9 | 41.5 | 26.9 | 19.1 | -- |
| Min | -- | 521 | 520 | 647 | 781 | 910 |
| Max | -- | 735 | 868 | 902 | 856 | -- |
| $N$ | -- | 7 | 30 | 56 | 17 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |
| Mean | 405 | 592 | 679 | 748 | 810 | -- |
| SE | 49.4 | 26.1 | 42.8 | 39.8 | 56.8 | -- |
| Min | 300 | 355 | 507 | 608 | 658 | -- |
| Max | 567 | 680 | 807 | 866 | 895 | -- |
| $N$ | 20 | 9 | 26 | 44 | 7 | -- |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Mean | 400 | 627 | 704 | 765 | 819 | -- |
| SE | 38.6 | 33.3 | 46.4 | 32.4 | 19.6 | -- |
| Min | 300 | 355 | 507 | 608 | 658 | 910 |
| Max | 567 | 735 | 868 | 902 | 895 | -- |
| $N$ | 21 | 16 | 56 | 100 | 24 | 1 |

Table 15. Mean, standard error, minimum, maximum, and sample size of length (mm) by age class for coho salmon sampled at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1999.

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 563 | -- | 550 | -- | -- |
| SE | 6.5 | -- | 39.8 | -- | -- |
| Min | 539 | 466 | 450 | 601 | 561 |
| Max | 577 | -- | 617 | -- | -- |
| $N$ | 3 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 534 | 465 | 518 | 616 | -- |
| SE | 22.2 | 31.0 | 47.3 | 17.4 | -- |
| Min | 428 | 419 | 375 | 588 | 479 |
| Max | 604 | 511 | 651 | 644 | -- |
| $N$ | 7 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 537 | 465 | 528 | 610 | 551 |
| SE | 19.5 | 21.0 | 44.5 | 10.6 | -- |
| Min | 428 | 419 | 375 | 588 | 479 |
| Max | 604 | 511 | 651 | 644 | 561 |
| $N$ | 10 | 3 | 59 | 3 | 2 |

ice breakup in 1999 probably contributed to the lower water temperatures observed compared to other years.

Data analysis revealed that a portion of the 1997 coho salmon data (i.e. strata 5) contained discrepancies. On three occasions the data recorded indicated that the number of coho salmon sampled exceeded the daily passage (Appendix D). If a fish was sampled it was released above the weir. Therefore, the minimum escapement equaled the number of fish sampled during those three occasions. The cause of the error is not known. Either the escapement number was written incorrectly or it was not entered correctly into the computer. However, we have no way to verify which error occurred because the data sheets are missing. To include the biological data from these fish for analysis, the standard errors for strata five were all set to zero (J. F. Bromaghin, USFWS, personal communication). Therefore, the standard errors for coho salmon in 1997 are underestimated.

The age composition of the three species of Pacific salmon sampled in Gertrude Creek was similar to other populations in Bristol Bay (Price and Larson 1999, Whitton 2003, Edwards and Larson 2004). Chinook salmon age composition was similar in 1997 and 1998, with age 1.3 fish dominating the run, although there was a greater proportion of age 1.1 fish (jacks) in 1998. In 1999, age composition differed from previous years, with age 1.4 dominating the run and a larger proportion of age 1.5 fish observed in the run. Chum salmon age composition was similar between years. For all years, the run was comprised primarily of age 0.3 and 0.4 fish. The proportion of age 0.4 fish was greater in 1997, although age 0.3 fish were dominant in 1998 and 1999. Coho salmon age composition was similar for all years with age 2.1 dominating the run, similar to previous age composition reported for Gertrude Creek (Russell 1996).

Sub-sample size requirements for Chinook and coho salmon age analysis were not met in all years because of illegible or regenerated scales. Chum salmon sub-sample size requirements were only slightly less than the goal each year. The lack of achieving sample size goals most likely did not affect the age and sex compositions. Standard errors would be smaller with a larger sample size but the estimates would probably be similar (Bromaghin 1993). For the worst case (coho salmon from 1997 with $22 \%$ unreadable scales), the confidence interval was only 0.009 greater than if the full sample size had been achieved.

Gertrude Creek appears to support strong and relatively consistent runs of Pacific salmon. Sockeye salmon numbers were low throughout the study; this is mostly likely due to the lack of lake access for juvenile rearing (Burgner 1991). Pacific salmon in Gertrude Creek should be monitored and assessed again in ten years to ensure the health of the populations. Gertrude Creek would provide an opportunity for remote monitoring using underwater video technology and a microwave link to send the video signal to the King Salmon Field Office.
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Appendix A. Strata (time periods) used for analysis of Gertrude Creek Pacific salmon biological data, 1997.

| Stratum | Chinook | Chum | Coho | Pink | Sockeye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30-Jun-6-Jul | 19-May - 22-Jun | 4-17 Aug | 30-Jun - 27-Jul | 23-Jun - 27 Jul |
| 2 | 7-13 Jul | 23-29 Jun | 18-24 Aug | 28-Jul - 3 Aug | 28-Jul-24 Aug |
| 3 | $14-20 \mathrm{Jul}$ | 30-Jun-6-Jul | 25-31 Aug | 4-10 Aug | 25-Aug - 17 Sep |
| 4 | 21-27 Jul | 7-13 Jul | 1-7 Sep | 11-17 Aug |  |
| 5 | 28-Jul-3-Aug | 14-20 Jul | 8-14 Sep | 18-24 Aug |  |
| 6 | 4-10 Aug | 21-27 Jul | 15-17 Sep | 25-Aug - 31 Aug |  |
| 7 | 11-Aug - 17-Sep | 28-Jul - 3-Aug |  | 1-Sep-17 Sep |  |
| 8 |  | 4-10 Aug |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 11-17 Aug |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 18-24 Aug |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 25-Aug - 17-Sep |  |  |  |

Appendix B. Strata (time periods) used for analysis of Gertrude Creek Pacific salmon biological data, 1998.

| Stratum | Chinook | Chum | Coho | Pink | Sockeye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15-Jun - 12-Jul | 8-21 Jun | 27-Jul-16-Aug | 29-Jun-19-Jul | 6-26 Jul |
| 2 | 13-19 Jul | 22-28 Jun | 17-23 Aug | 20-26 Jul | 27- Jul - 10- Aug |
| 3 | 20-26 Jul | 29-Jun - 5-Jul | 24-30 Aug | 27-Jul -2-Aug | 17-23 Aug |
| 4 | 27-Jul - 2-Aug | 6-12 Jul | 31-Aug - 6-Sep | 3-9 Aug | 24-30 Aug |
| 5 | 3-9 Aug | 13-19 Jul | 7-13 Sep | 10-16 Aug | 31-Aug - 17-Sep |
| 6 | 10-Aug - 17-Sep | 20-26 Jul | 14-17 Sep | 17-23 Aug |  |
| 7 |  | 27-Jul-2-Aug |  | 24-Aug - 17-Sep |  |
| 8 |  | 3-9 Aug |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 10-16 Aug |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 17-23 Aug |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 24-Aug - 17-Sep |  |  |  |
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| Stratum | Chinook | Chum | Coho | Pink | Sockeye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28-Jun - 18-Jul | 7-27 Jun | 9-22 Aug | 5-25 Jul | 5-Jul-8 Aug |
| 2 | 19-25 Jul | 28-Jun-4-Jul | 23-29 Aug | 26-Jul-1-Aug | 9-15 Aug |
| 3 | 26-Jul-1-Aug | 5-11 Jul | 30-Aug - 5-Sep | 2-8 Aug | 16-22 Aug |
| 4 | 2-8 Aug | 12-18 Jul | 6-12 Sep | 9-15 Aug | 23-29 Aug |
| 5 | 9-Aug - 12-Sep | 19-25 Jul |  | 16-22 Aug | 30-Aug - 12-Sep |
| 6 |  | 26-Jul-1-Aug |  | 23-29 Aug |  |
| 7 |  | 2-8 Aug |  | 30-Aug-12-Sep |  |
| 8 |  | 9-15 Aug |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 16-22 Aug |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 23-Aug - 12-Sep |  |  |  |

Appendix D. Summary of Pacific salmon passage at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1997.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 1-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-Jun | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16-Jun | 0 | 0 | 12 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17-Jun | 0 | 0 | 20 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18-Jun | 0 | 0 | 26 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19-Jun | 0 | 0 | 55 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-Jun | 0 | 0 | 51 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21-Jun | 0 | 0 | 49 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22-Jun | 0 | 0 | 105 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-Jun | 0 | 0 | 93 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24-Jun | 0 | 0 | 123 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-Jun | 0 | 0 | 185 | 746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26-Jun | 0 | 0 | 219 | 965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-Jun | 0 | 0 | 269 | 1,234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28-Jun | 0 | 0 | 416 | 1,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29-Jun | 0 | 0 | 374 | 2,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-Jun | 0 | 0 | 807 | 2,831 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1-Jul | 3 | 3 | 578 | 3,409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jul | 25 | 28 | 139 | 3,548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3-Jul | 0 | 28 | 50 | 3,598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4-Jul | 8 | 36 | 130 | 3,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5-Jul | 0 | 36 | 129 | 3,857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6-Jul | 69 | 105 | 400 | 4,257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 7-Jul | 17 | 122 | 10 | 4,267 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 8-Jul | 0 | 122 | 26 | 4,293 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 9-Jul | 0 | 122 | 90 | 4,383 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10-Jul | 0 | 122 | 260 | 4,643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 11-Jul | 3 | 125 | 327 | 4,970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 12-Jul | 30 | 155 | 313 | 5,283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 13-Jul | 0 | 155 | 78 | 5,361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Appendix D. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 14-Jul | 4 | 159 | 46 | 5,407 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 15-Jul | 7 | 166 | 60 | 5,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 16-Jul | 3 | 169 | 251 | 5,718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 17-Jul | 5 | 174 | 108 | 5,826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 18-Jul | 54 | 228 | 139 | 5,965 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 19-Jul | 61 | 289 | 165 | 6,130 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| 20-Jul | 184 | 473 | 286 | 6,416 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 2 |
| 21-Jul | 104 | 577 | 223 | 6,639 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 3 |
| 22-Jul | 32 | 609 | 102 | 6,741 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 2 | 5 |
| 23-Jul | 24 | 633 | 81 | 6,822 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 6 |
| 24-Jul | 0 | 633 | 37 | 6,859 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 7 |
| 25-Jul | 40 | 673 | 227 | 7,086 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 7 |
| 26-Jul | 56 | 729 | 127 | 7,213 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 44 | 0 | 7 |
| 27-Jul | 11 | 740 | 84 | 7,297 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 57 | 0 | 7 |
| 28-Jul | 11 | 751 | 77 | 7,374 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 70 | 0 | 7 |
| 29-Jul | 38 | 789 | 94 | 7,468 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 83 | 0 | 7 |
| 30-Jul | 8 | 797 | 62 | 7,530 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 92 | 0 | 7 |
| 31-Jul | 41 | 838 | 215 | 7,745 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 114 | 0 | 7 |
| 1-Aug | 37 | 875 | 88 | 7,833 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 125 | 0 | 7 |
| 2-Aug | 2 | 877 | 33 | 7,866 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 130 | 0 | 7 |
| 3-Aug | 5 | 882 | 69 | 7,935 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 138 | 0 | 7 |
| 4-Aug | 14 | 896 | 130 | 8,065 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 157 | 0 | 7 |
| 5-Aug | 16 | 912 | 153 | 8,218 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 188 | 0 | 7 |
| 6-Aug | 58 | 970 | 138 | 8,356 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 205 | 0 | 7 |
| 7-Aug | 9 | 979 | 84 | 8,440 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 226 | 0 | 7 |
| 8-Aug | 19 | 998 | 217 | 8,657 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 261 | 0 | 7 |
| 9-Aug | 90 | 1,088 | 177 | 8,834 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 311 | 0 | 7 |
| 10-Aug | 64 | 1,152 | 39 | 8,873 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 327 | 0 | 7 |
| 11-Aug | 65 | 1,217 | 69 | 8,942 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 352 | 0 | 7 |
| 12-Aug | 34 | 1,251 | 46 | 8,988 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 365 | 0 | 7 |
| 13-Aug | 26 | 1,277 | 129 | 9,117 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 371 | 0 | 7 |
| 14-Aug | 7 | 1,284 | 116 | 9,233 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 377 | 0 | 7 |
| 15-Aug | 8 | 1,292 | 206 | 9,439 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 387 | 0 | 7 |
| 16-Aug | 16 | 1,308 | 188 | 9,627 | 16 | 23 | 9 | 396 | 0 | 7 |
| 17-Aug | 5 | 1,313 | 149 | 9,776 | 1 | 24 | 14 | 410 | 0 | 7 |
| 18-Aug | 6 | 1,319 | 122 | 9,898 | 10 | 34 | 25 | 435 | 0 | 7 |
| 19-Aug | 0 | 1,319 | 61 | 9,959 | 3 | 37 | 16 | 451 | 0 | 7 |
| 20-Aug | 3 | 1,322 | 201 | 10,160 | 5 | 42 | 34 | 485 | 0 | 7 |
| 21-Aug | 2 | 1,324 | 138 | 10,298 | 18 | 60 | 12 | 497 | 2 | 9 |
| 22-Aug | 2 | 1,326 | 105 | 10,403 | 25 | 85 | 33 | 530 | 1 | 10 |
| 23-Aug | 5 | 1,331 | 85 | 10,488 | 8 | 93 | 18 | 548 | 2 | 12 |
| 24-Aug | 1 | 1,332 | 99 | 10,587 | 4 | 97 | 18 | 566 | 0 | 12 |
| 25-Aug | 0 | 1,332 | 65 | 10,652 | 5 | 102 | 33 | 599 | 0 | 12 |

Appendix D. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 26-Aug | 0 | 1,332 | 102 | 10,754 | 8 | 110 | 56 | 655 | 0 | 12 |
| 27-Aug | 0 | 1,332 | 90 | 10,844 | 5 | 115 | 29 | 684 | 0 | 12 |
| 28-Aug | 0 | 1,332 | 50 | 10,894 | 4 | 119 | 42 | 726 | 0 | 12 |
| 29-Aug | 0 | 1,332 | 94 | 10,988 | 4 | 123 | 57 | 783 | 0 | 12 |
| 30-Aug | 1 | 1,333 | 58 | 11,046 | 2 | 125 | 40 | 823 | 0 | 12 |
| 31-Aug | 0 | 1,333 | 8 | 11,054 | 12 | 137 | 8 | 831 | 0 | 12 |
| 1-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 0 | 11,054 | $3^{\text {a }}$ | 137 | 16 | 847 | 0 | 12 |
| 2-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 9 | 11,063 | 12 | 149 | 13 | 860 | 0 | 12 |
| 3-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 0 | 11,063 | 6 | 155 | 15 | 875 | 0 | 12 |
| 4-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 0 | 11,063 | 6 | 161 | 25 | 900 | 0 | 12 |
| 5-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 4 | 11,067 | 0 | 161 | 20 | 920 | 0 | 12 |
| 6-Sep | 0 | 1,333 | 22 | 11,089 | $2^{\text {a }}$ | 161 | 65 | 985 | 1 | 13 |
| 7-Sep | 1 | 1,334 | 14 | 11,103 | $32^{\text {a }}$ | 161 | 35 | 1,020 | 0 | 13 |
| 8-Sep | 1 | 1,335 | 29 | 11,132 | 213 | 374 | 63 | 1,083 | 1 | 14 |
| 9-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,132 | 25 | 399 | 14 | 1,097 | 1 | 15 |
| 10-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 1 | 11,133 | 1 | 400 | 10 | 1,107 | 0 | 15 |
| 11-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 0 | 400 | 14 | 1,121 | 0 | 15 |
| 12-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 2 | 402 | 10 | 1,131 | 0 | 15 |
| 13-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 6 | 408 | 16 | 1,147 | 0 | 15 |
| 14-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 4 | 412 | 31 | 1,178 | 0 | 15 |
| 15-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 9 | 421 | 55 | 1,233 | 0 | 15 |
| 16-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 5 | 426 | 34 | 1,267 | 0 | 15 |
| 17-Sep | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 11,133 | 188 | 651 | 23 | 1,290 | 0 | 15 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Escapement estimates for these days are minimum counts.

Appendix E. Summary of Pacific salmon passage at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1998.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 1-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Jun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-Jun | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17-Jun | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18-Jun | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19-Jun | 0 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-Jun | 1 | 1 | 7 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21-Jun | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22-Jun | 0 | 1 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-Jun | 0 | 1 | 80 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24-Jun | 0 | 1 | 15 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-Jun | 0 | 1 | 90 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26-Jun | 0 | 1 | 136 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-Jun | 0 | 1 | 121 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28-Jun | 0 | 1 | 272 | 760 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29-Jun | 0 | 1 | 416 | 1,176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-Jun | 1 | 2 | 500 | 1,676 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1-Jul | 3 | 5 | 455 | 2,131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jul | 2 | 7 | 454 | 2,585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jul | 9 | 16 | 535 | 3,120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Jul | 7 | 23 | 473 | 3,593 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jul | 10 | 33 | 602 | 4,195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jul | 11 | 44 | 412 | 4,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Jul | 3 | 47 | 296 | 4,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jul | 0 | 47 | 204 | 5,107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jul | 1 | 48 | 233 | 5,340 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jul | 21 | 69 | 218 | 5,558 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jul | 16 | 85 | 183 | 5,741 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jul | 4 | 89 | 106 | 5,847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jul | 72 | 161 | 265 | 6,112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix E. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 14-Jul | 7 | 168 | 473 | 6,585 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-Jul | 135 | 303 | 681 | 7,266 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| 16-Jul | 54 | 357 | 289 | 7,555 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 77 | 0 | 0 |
| 17-Jul | 5 | 362 | 216 | 7,771 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 132 | 0 | 0 |
| 18-Jul | 62 | 424 | 267 | 8,038 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 193 | 1 | 1 |
| 19-Jul | 13 | 437 | 293 | 8,331 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 276 | 0 | 1 |
| 20-Jul | 17 | 454 | 235 | 8,566 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 326 | 2 | 3 |
| 21-Jul | 18 | 472 | 211 | 8,777 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 397 | 0 | 3 |
| 22-Jul | 147 | 619 | 144 | 8,921 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 483 | 0 | 3 |
| 23-Jul | 10 | 629 | 95 | 9,016 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 512 | 0 | 3 |
| 24-Jul | 9 | 638 | 88 | 9,104 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 534 | 0 | 3 |
| 25-Jul | 47 | 685 | 246 | 9,350 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 595 | 0 | 3 |
| 26-Jul | 293 | 978 | 202 | 9,552 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 685 | 2 | 5 |
| 27-Jul | 31 | 1,009 | 159 | 9,711 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 836 | 0 | 5 |
| 28-Jul | 9 | 1,018 | 126 | 9,837 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 1,018 | 0 | 5 |
| 29-Jul | 94 | 1,112 | 223 | 10,060 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 1,268 | 0 | 5 |
| 30-Jul | 65 | 1,177 | 199 | 10,259 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 1,481 | 0 | 5 |
| 31-Jul | 158 | 1,335 | 210 | 10,469 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 1,694 | 0 | 5 |
| 1-Aug | 53 | 1,388 | 120 | 10,589 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 1,850 | 0 | 5 |
| 2-Aug | 4 | 1,392 | 46 | 10,635 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 1,902 | 0 | 5 |
| 3-Aug | 28 | 1,420 | 104 | 10,739 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 1,998 | 0 | 5 |
| 4-Aug | 7 | 1,427 | 48 | 10,787 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 2,062 | 0 | 5 |
| 5-Aug | 12 | 1,439 | 62 | 10,849 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2,112 | 0 | 5 |
| 6-Aug | 9 | 1,448 | 49 | 10,898 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 2,146 | 0 | 5 |
| 7-Aug | 16 | 1,464 | 35 | 10,933 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 2,175 | 1 | 6 |
| 8-Aug | 13 | 1,477 | 40 | 10,973 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 2,207 | 0 | 6 |
| 9-Aug | 58 | 1,535 | 120 | 11,093 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 2,265 | 0 | 6 |
| 10-Aug | 13 | 1,548 | 46 | 11,139 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 2,282 | 0 | 6 |
| 11-Aug | 25 | 1,573 | 105 | 11,244 | 1 | 5 | 33 | 2,315 | 0 | 6 |
| 12-Aug | 14 | 1,587 | 52 | 11,296 | 2 | 7 | 34 | 2,349 | 0 | 6 |
| 13-Aug | 5 | 1,592 | 19 | 11,315 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 2,360 | 0 | 6 |
| 14-Aug | 3 | 1,595 | 99 | 11,414 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 2,373 | 0 | 6 |
| 15-Aug | 1 | 1,596 | 37 | 11,451 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 2,379 | 0 | 6 |
| 16-Aug | 12 | 1,608 | 105 | 11,556 | 3 | 14 | 25 | 2,404 | 0 | 6 |
| 17-Aug | 1 | 1,609 | 27 | 11,583 | 2 | 16 | 10 | 2,414 | 0 | 6 |
| 18-Aug | 0 | 1,609 | 7 | 11,590 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 2,416 | 0 | 6 |
| 19-Aug | 0 | 1,609 | 13 | 11,603 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 2,420 | 0 | 6 |
| 20-Aug | 5 | 1,614 | 48 | 11,651 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 2,442 | 0 | 6 |
| 21-Aug | 1 | 1,615 | 22 | 11,673 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 2,445 | 0 | 6 |
| 22-Aug | 4 | 1,619 | 38 | 11,711 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 2,455 | 0 | 6 |
| 23-Aug | 1 | 1,620 | 30 | 11,741 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 2,461 | 1 | 7 |
| 24-Aug | 2 | 1,622 | 20 | 11,761 | 6 | 34 | 6 | 2,467 | 2 | 9 |
| 25-Aug | 3 | 1,625 | 13 | 11,774 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 2,467 | 1 | 10 |

## Appendix E. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 26-Aug | 1 | 1,626 | 5 | 11,779 | 3 | 39 | 7 | 2,474 | 0 | 10 |
| 27-Aug | 0 | 1,626 | 1 | 11,780 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 2,477 | 0 | 10 |
| 28-Aug | 4 | 1,630 | 10 | 11,790 | 5 | 44 | 5 | 2,482 | 1 | 11 |
| 29-Aug | 2 | 1,632 | 4 | 11,794 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 2,482 | 0 | 11 |
| 30-Aug | 0 | 1,632 | 13 | 11,807 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 2,483 | 1 | 12 |
| 31-Aug | 2 | 1,634 | 5 | 11,812 | 9 | 57 | 1 | 2,484 | 0 | 12 |
| 1-Sep | 7 | 1,641 | 2 | 11,814 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 2,484 | 0 | 12 |
| 2-Sep | 0 | 1,641 | 2 | 11,816 | 42 | 106 | 1 | 2,485 | 0 | 12 |
| 3-Sep | 0 | 1,641 | 1 | 11,817 | 8 | 114 | 1 | 2,486 | 0 | 12 |
| 4-Sep | 1 | 1,642 | 1 | 11,818 | 25 | 139 | 0 | 2,486 | 0 | 12 |
| 5-Sep | 0 | 1,642 | 0 | 11,818 | 47 | 186 | 1 | 2,487 | 0 | 12 |
| 6-Sep | 0 | 1,642 | 2 | 11,820 | 17 | 203 | 1 | 2,488 | 0 | 12 |
| 7-Sep | 0 | 1,642 | 0 | 11,820 | 43 | 246 | 0 | 2,488 | 0 | 12 |
| 8-Sep | 0 | 1,642 | 0 | 11,820 | 67 | 313 | 1 | 2,489 | 1 | 13 |
| 9-Sep | 1 | 1,643 | 0 | 11,820 | 20 | 333 | 0 | 2,489 | 0 | 13 |
| 10-Sep | 0 | 1,643 | 0 | 11,820 | 8 | 341 | 0 | 2,489 | 0 | 13 |
| 11-Sep | 0 | 1,643 | 0 | 11,820 | 28 | 369 | 0 | 2,489 | 0 | 13 |
| 12-Sep | 1 | 1,644 | 0 | 11,820 | 51 | 420 | 1 | 2,490 | 0 | 13 |
| 13-Sep | 2 | 1,646 | 0 | 11,820 | 9 | 429 | 0 | 2,490 | 0 | 13 |
| 14-Sep | 0 | 1,646 | 1 | 11,821 | 26 | 455 | 0 | 2,490 | 0 | 13 |
| 15-Sep | 0 | 1,646 | 0 | 11,821 | 16 | 471 | 1 | 2,491 | 0 | 13 |
| 16-Sep | 0 | 1,646 | 0 | 11,821 | 47 | 518 | 0 | 2,491 | 0 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 0 | 1,646 | 0 | 11,821 | 432 | 950 | 1 | 2,492 | 0 | 13 |

Appendix F. Summary of Pacific salmon passage at the Gertrude Creek weir, 1999.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 1-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17-Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18-Jun | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19-Jun | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22-Jun | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24-Jun | 0 | 0 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-Jun | 0 | 0 | 25 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26-Jun | 0 | 0 | 31 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-Jun | 0 | 0 | 100 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28-Jun | 0 | 0 | 207 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29-Jun | 0 | 0 | 419 | 813 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-Jun | 0 | 0 | 228 | 1,041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1-Jul | 0 | 0 | 62 | 1,103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Jul | 0 | 0 | 246 | 1,349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Jul | 0 | 0 | 1,130 | 2,479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Jul | 0 | 0 | 472 | 2,951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-Jul | 0 | 0 | 595 | 3,546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-Jul | 1 | 1 | 1,080 | 4,626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7-Jul | 8 | 9 | 1,116 | 5,742 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8-Jul | 6 | 15 | 1,248 | 6,990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9-Jul | 5 | 20 | 560 | 7,550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-Jul | 1 | 21 | 433 | 7,983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11-Jul | 8 | 29 | 867 | 8,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12-Jul | 8 | 37 | 420 | 9,270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-Jul | 0 | 37 | 276 | 9,546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix F. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 14-Jul | 65 | 102 | 1,140 | 10,686 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15-Jul | 4 | 106 | 300 | 10,986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16-Jul | 35 | 141 | 353 | 11,339 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17-Jul | 9 | 150 | 297 | 11,636 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 18-Jul | 5 | 155 | 516 | 12,152 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 19-Jul | 165 | 320 | 385 | 12,537 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 20-Jul | 8 | 328 | 235 | 12,772 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| 21-Jul | 87 | 415 | 196 | 12,968 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 33 | 0 | 1 |
| 22-Jul | 15 | 430 | 303 | 13,271 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 1 |
| 23-Jul | 94 | 524 | 372 | 13,643 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 49 | 0 | 1 |
| 24-Jul | 93 | 617 | 281 | 13,924 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| 25-Jul | 17 | 634 | 121 | 14,045 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 65 | 0 | 1 |
| 26-Jul | 26 | 660 | 145 | 14,190 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 89 | 1 | 2 |
| 27-Jul | 20 | 680 | 78 | 14,268 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 100 | 0 | 2 |
| 28-Jul | 190 | 870 | 148 | 14,416 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 130 | 0 | 2 |
| 29-Jul | 30 | 900 | 174 | 14,590 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 157 | 0 | 2 |
| 30-Jul | 14 | 914 | 129 | 14,719 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 200 | 0 | 2 |
| 31-Jul | 75 | 989 | 200 | 14,919 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 302 | 1 | 3 |
| 1-Aug | 24 | 1,013 | 54 | 14,973 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 355 | 2 | 5 |
| 2-Aug | 21 | 1,034 | 84 | 15,057 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 379 | 0 | 5 |
| 3-Aug | 29 | 1,063 | 159 | 15,216 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 465 | 0 | 5 |
| 4-Aug | 19 | 1,082 | 59 | 15,275 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 500 | 0 | 5 |
| 5-Aug | 13 | 1,095 | 36 | 15,311 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 523 | 1 | 6 |
| 6-Aug | 1 | 1,096 | 28 | 15,339 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 537 | 0 | 6 |
| 7-Aug | 14 | 1,110 | 52 | 15,391 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 554 | 1 | 7 |
| 8-Aug | 9 | 1,119 | 124 | 15,515 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 591 | 0 | 7 |
| 9-Aug | 16 | 1,135 | 114 | 15,629 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 647 | 0 | 7 |
| 10-Aug | 6 | 1,141 | 223 | 15,852 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 778 | 1 | 8 |
| 11-Aug | 4 | 1,145 | 164 | 16,016 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 862 | 0 | 8 |
| 12-Aug | 8 | 1,153 | 125 | 16,141 | 1 | 1 | 44 | 906 | 0 | 8 |
| 13-Aug | 7 | 1,160 | 47 | 16,188 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 919 | 0 | 8 |
| 14-Aug | 2 | 1,162 | 86 | 16,274 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 952 | 0 | 8 |
| 15-Aug | 2 | 1,164 | 98 | 16,372 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 969 | 0 | 8 |
| 16-Aug | 2 | 1,166 | 68 | 16,440 | 1 | 6 | 26 | 995 | 0 | 8 |
| 17-Aug | 3 | 1,169 | 104 | 16,544 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 1,015 | 0 | 8 |
| 18-Aug | 0 | 1,169 | 94 | 16,638 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 1,025 | 0 | 8 |
| 19-Aug | 0 | 1,169 | 97 | 16,735 | 4 | 19 | 25 | 1,050 | 0 | 8 |
| 20-Aug | 1 | 1,170 | 61 | 16,796 | 7 | 26 | 20 | 1,070 | 1 | 9 |
| 21-Aug | 0 | 1,170 | 36 | 16,832 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 1,080 | 0 | 9 |
| 22-Aug | 0 | 1,170 | 32 | 16,864 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 1,082 | 0 | 9 |
| 23-Aug | 0 | 1,170 | 15 | 16,879 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 1,084 | 1 | 10 |
| 24-Aug | 0 | 1,170 | 19 | 16,898 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 1,085 | 0 | 10 |
| 25-Aug | 1 | 1,171 | 23 | 16,921 | 8 | 36 | 1 | 1,086 | 1 | 11 |

Appendix F. Continued.

| Date | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Coho |  | Pink |  | Sockeye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum |
| 26-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 11 | 16,932 | 5 | 41 | 2 | 1,088 | 1 | 12 |
| 27-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 11 | 16,943 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 1,092 | 2 | 14 |
| 28-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 17 | 16,960 | 2 | 43 | 2 | 1,094 | 0 | 14 |
| 29-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 11 | 16,971 | 5 | 48 | 5 | 1,099 | 1 | 15 |
| 30-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 6 | 16,977 | 7 | 55 | 6 | 1,105 | 0 | 15 |
| 31-Aug | 0 | 1,171 | 4 | 16,981 | 4 | 59 | 6 | 1,111 | 2 | 17 |
| 1-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 5 | 16,986 | 4 | 63 | 0 | 1,111 | 1 | 18 |
| 2-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 4 | 16,990 | 5 | 68 | 2 | 1,113 | 0 | 18 |
| 3-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 1 | 16,991 | 31 | 99 | 4 | 1,117 | 0 | 18 |
| 4-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,991 | 66 | 165 | 5 | 1,122 | 0 | 18 |
| 5-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,991 | 97 | 262 | 2 | 1,124 | 0 | 18 |
| 6-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,991 | 8 | 270 | 4 | 1,128 | 0 | 18 |
| 7-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,991 | 14 | 284 | 0 | 1,128 | 0 | 18 |
| 8-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 1 | 16,992 | 7 | 291 | 0 | 1,128 | 0 | 18 |
| 9-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,992 | 1 | 292 | 0 | 1,128 | 1 | 19 |
| 10-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,992 | 0 | 292 | 2 | 1,130 | 0 | 19 |
| 11-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,992 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 1,130 | 0 | 19 |
| 12-Sep | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 16,992 | 1 | 293 | 1 | 1,131 | 0 | 19 |


[^0]:    Authors: Cheryl A. Dion and Nicholas J. Hetrick are fisheries biologists with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The authors can be contacted through the King Salmon Fish and Wildlife Field Office, PO Box 277, King Salmon, Alaska 99613; or Cheryl_Dion @fws.gov and Nicholas_Hetrick @fws.gov.

