

2008

Breeding Productivity of Shorebirds and Colonial Waterbirds at Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Utah 2008 Annual Report



John F. Cavitt, Kyle Stone, and Lindsay Cole
Avian Ecology Laboratory,
Weber State University
12/31/2008

**Breeding Productivity of
Shorebirds and Colonial Waterbirds at
Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Utah**

2008 Annual Report



Prepared by _____

John F. Cavitt, Ph.D.
Professor of Zoology

Kyle Stone
Research Coordinator

Lindsay Cole

Avian Ecology Laboratory,
Department of Zoology
Weber State University
Ogden, UT 84408-2505

Table of Contents

Background	5
Context.....	5
Objectives.....	5
Methods	6
Study Site	6
Species	6
General Procedures	10
Productivity	10
Shorebirds	10
Colonial Waterbirds	11
Analyses	12
Results and Discussion	13
Nesting Chronology.....	13
Shorebirds	13
Colonial Waterbirds	13
Productivity	14
Shorebirds	14
Colonial Waterbirds	16
Acknowledgements.....	18
References	19

Background

Context

The Great Salt Lake (GSL) is well known as one of North America's most important inland shorebird sites. At least 22 species of shorebirds utilize the GSL during migration and another eight species nest in habitats associated with the lake. The breeding populations of American Avocets (*Recurvirostra americana*), Black-necked Stilts (*Himantopus mexicanus*), and Snowy Plover (*Charadrius alexandrinus*) are among the highest in North America (Aldrich and Paul 2002). Consequently, the GSL is recognized as a site of hemispheric importance within the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network (Andres et al. 2006). In addition the GSL is also one of the most important breeding and migratory sites for waterbirds within the western hemisphere. The world's largest breeding populations of White-faced Ibis (*Plegadis chihi*) and California Gulls (*Larus californicus*) occur within the GSL ecosystem (Paul and Manning 2002). Despite the importance of the GSL to North American aquatic bird populations, little effort has focused on determining the factors that support

healthy, self-sustaining populations. This knowledge is essential for the successful conservation and management of these populations.

For the past five years the Avian Ecology Laboratory has monitored the breeding productivity of shorebirds at the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge. This information is critical for estimating population health and predicting the vulnerability of species to habitat alteration. This information is also important for assessing the effects of land management activities, including predator removal programs.

Objectives

This project monitored the breeding productivity of American Avocet, Black-necked Stilt, Snowy Plover, Long-billed Curlew (*Numenius americanus*), White-faced Ibis, Franklin's Gull (*Larus pipixcan*), Caspian Tern (*Sterna caspia*) and California Gull using a standardized sampling protocol. This methodology allows for 1) assessment of current population health based on breeding productivity, and 2) projection of species vulnerability.

Methods

Study Site

This study was conducted at the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge (BEAR). BEAR is located 15 miles west of Brigham City, Utah. The refuge covers nearly 30,000 ha and consists of impounded wetlands, marshes, uplands, and open water. Productivity data was collected from April 22 – July 6, 2008. This site has an active predator management program.

Mammalian nest predators such as raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*) and fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) are removed.

Species

This study focused on four species of shorebirds breeding at Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge (BEAR), American Avocet (AMAV), Black-necked Stilt (BNST), Snowy Plover (SNPL) and Long-billed Curlew (LBCU). In addition, this study examined productivity of four colonial waterbird species, White-faced Ibis (WFIB), Franklin's Gull (FRGU), Caspian Tern (CATE), and California Gull (CAGU). A small number of Black-crowned Night-Heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*, BCNH), and Snowy Egret (*Egretta thula*, SNEG) nests were also monitored as they were encountered within the WFIB colony.

The AMAV is a semi-colonial shorebird with a distinctive appearance (Figure 1). This species has a long recurved bill, bluish legs, and a black-and-white chevron pattern on its back.

Breeding adults have a rusty to salmon colored head and neck which is replaced by white to light gray plumage during the pre-basic molt. AMAV are common summer residents of the GSL. Local breeders arrive in middle to late March with first eggs laid in April. Pairs select nest sites in areas with little or no vegetation,



Figure 1. American Avocet.

thus providing an unobstructed view by the attending adult (Cavitt 2005). Consequently nests are frequently located in shallow emergent wetlands, vegetated mudflats, sparsely vegetated islands or along dikes. The modal clutch size of AMAV is 4 eggs and incubation commences following laying of the penultimate egg (Cavitt 2004, 2005). Both sexes alternate incubation for 23 days. Young are precocial and remain in the nest for only 24 hr. after hatching. At nest-leaving, adults lead young to brooding/nursery sites which contain shallow water and dense vegetation for cover (Cavitt 2005).

BNSTs are a loosely colonial shorebird that can be found breeding throughout western North America. Its black and white patterning and long reddish colored legs readily distinguish this bird from any other (Figure 2). BNSTs are also a common summer resident within the GSL. Adults begin arriving in early April with first eggs laid in late April to early May. There is some overlap in nest site selection with AMAV, but BNST tend to select sites with slightly taller and denser vegetation. Both shallow emergent wetlands and vegetated mudflats are used



Figure 2. Black-necked Stilt. Photo by Tom Grey.

frequently for nesting. Modal clutch size is 4 eggs and incubation commences following laying of the penultimate egg. Both sexes alternate incubation for 23 days. Young are precocial and remain in the nest for only 24 hr. after hatching. At nest-leaving, adults lead young to brooding/nursery sites which contain shallow water and dense vegetation for cover (Cavitt 2005).

The SNPL is a small shorebird found breeding along the Pacific and Gulf coasts and within the western interior of North America (Figure 3). This species is fairly cryptic in coloration as the pale brown upperparts and white underparts match the colors found on the beaches, sparsely vegetated mudflats and salt-evaporation ponds where this species commonly nests. Arrival in Utah begins in early April with first nests initiated in mid to late April (Behle and Perry 1975, Paton 1995). The modal clutch size is 3 eggs which are incubated for approximately 27 days. Both parents alternate incubation duties but females will often desert the brood shortly after hatching to begin another nest (Page et al. 1995). Following



Figure 3. Snowy Plover.

hatching, the young remain in the nest for only a few hours. The young are able to feed themselves after hatching but are brooded by parents for several days.

The LBCU is the largest North American shorebird, and yet it is perhaps one of the least studied (Figure 4). This species measures 500 – 600 mm in length but its most impressive feature is a very long decurved bill ranging 113 – 219 mm (Dugger and Dugger 2002). LBCU have a buffy brown to cinnamon body coloration and the head is heavily streaked with dark brown to black. This species breeds within the western Great Plains, Great Basin and mountain valleys of the US and southwestern Canada (Dugger and Dugger 2002). The intermountain west is perhaps the most important area in North America for breeding populations (Brown et al. 2001). LBCUs generally nest in mixed-grass and short-grass communities. Breeding birds at GSL seem to arrive paired and are on territories by mid April. Nest construction begins within several days of pairing. Modal clutch size is 4 eggs. Both adults alternate incubation for approximately 27 days. Young leave the nest within a few hours of hatching but are brooded by parents for several days.



Figure 4. Long-billed Curlew. Photo by Bill Ferensen.

The WFIB is a medium sized wading bird with long legs and a long decurved bill (Figure 5). This species measures 460 – 560 mm in length. The head, neck, upper back, wing coverts, and undersides are a dark chestnut-maroon with a metallic green and bronze sheen.



Figure 5. White-faced Ibis. Photo by Michael J. Hopiak.

WFIB breeds within the marshes of the western United States, and throughout the Great Basin (Ryder and Manry 1994). Within the Great Basin, WFIB are a “category 2 candidate” for listing as a Threatened or Endangered species by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Birds arrive at GSL in early April, and begin forming pairs and selecting nest sites. Nest construction can be rapid, beginning as little as two days before the first egg is laid. Modal clutch size ranges from 3-4 eggs. Both adults alternate incubation for 21-22 days. Young are brooded by both adults for up to two weeks, and will remain in the nest for 10-12 days. Young in the nest are prone to disturbance and display variable responses based on age. Young less than 5 days old will try to hide in the nest, 5 – 8 days old young will scramble out of the nest, while young 10 days old will stand in a threatening position (Ryder and Manry 1994).

The FRGU is a small, black hooded gull with a bold partial white eye-ring (Figure 6). This species measures 320 – 360 mm in length and has a pigeon-like appearance with rounded head and body, short tail, and buoyant flight (Burger and Gochfeld 1994). FRGU are found breeding in the interior of North America in colonies of various sizes. Colony sites typically change from year to year due to fluctuating water levels and or disturbance. This expression of low site fidelity is unusual for gulls (Burger and Gochfeld 1994). Drought and large-scale drainage projects over the years have threatened FRGU habitat, thus the large wetlands created by many protected wildlife refuges have become very important breeding sites for FRGU (Burger and Gochfeld 1994). Breeding birds arrive in mid-April with pairing taking place shortly after arrival, but before colony location. Nest building begins during territory establishment and requires continuous



Figure 6. Franklin's Gull. Photo by Brian E. Small.

maintenance throughout the nesting cycle. Modal clutch size ranges from 2-3 eggs. Both adults alternate incubation for 23-26 days. Young can swim after 3 days and are brooded by both adults for approximately ten days. When disturbed chicks will crouch at the edge of nest or hide in vegetation close to the nest and remain motionless (Burger and Gochfeld 1994).

The CATE is the largest tern measuring 470 – 540 mm in length (Figure 7). It is readily distinguished from other terns by its large size and massive, dagger-shaped, blood red bill. CATEs have a black cap that extends distinctly below the eye and have pale gray upperparts with white underparts, rump and tail. Most nest in colonies near other birds, such as gulls and terns. This species breeds along the Pacific, Atlantic, and Gulf Coasts, inland in the western interior and along the Great Lakes. Birds arrive at breeding grounds in late March, usually paired, with nest building beginning as early as four days after arrival (Cuthbert and Wires 1999). Modal clutch size ranges from 1-3 eggs. Both adults alternate incubation for 26-28 days. Young are able to leave the nest cup within 3-6 hours but will remain in the nest and be brooded by adults for several days (Cuthbert and Wires 1999).



Figure 7. Caspian Tern.

The CAGU is a medium-sized white-headed gull with black and red spots on the lower mandible (Figure 8). This species measures approximately 540 mm in length. Breeding locations are scattered throughout the interior of North America and along the west coast. Birds often return to colony sites three to seven weeks before first eggs are laid. Information on pair formation is lacking and may occur during preceding wintering and migration periods, or immediately after arrival at colony site (Winkler 1996). Nest building usually occurs about a week before eggs are laid. Modal clutch size ranges from 2-3 eggs. Both adults alternate incubation for approximately 24 days. No quantitative information is available, but brooding behavior is rarely seen after chicks reach a few days in age. Chicks remain in the nest for first three days, crouching motionless if disturbed. After three days in age chicks will wander short distances from the nest (Winkler 1996).



Figure 9. California Gull.

General Procedures

Each study site utilized for breeding productivity consists of replicated plots that were visited every three to four days from late April until early August 2008.

Productivity Shorebirds

Nests were located by either systematic searches of potential nesting sites or by observing the behavior of adults. Systematic searches for LBCU nests utilized two ATVs dragging a 25 meter section of 2.5 centimeter diameter rope. We recorded the location of each nest with a Magellan Explorist 100 Global Positioning System (GPS) unit. To facilitate relocating nests in dense colonies, each nest was marked with a 10cm wooden tag, placed in the ground at the edge of the nest so only the top 3-4cm was visible (Figure 9). A unique nest identification number was written on each tag with permanent marker.

Because shorebirds lay only 1 egg/day, the laying date of the first eggs (clutch initiation date) was determined by back dating when nests were found prior to clutch completion.



Figure 8. American Avocet nest illustrating nest marker used to uniquely identify nests.

Clutch size was only assigned for a nesting attempt when the same number of eggs was recorded on two consecutive visits and there was evidence that incubation had commenced (i.e. adult behavior and egg temperature). Clutch initiation dates were also estimated for nests located after clutch completion and in which young successfully hatched. The incubation stages of nests found with complete clutches were estimated by egg floatation, which allowed for the prediction of hatching date.

The status of extant nests was determined by visitations every three to four days until either eggs hatched or the nest failed. Nests were defined as successful if at least one young hatched and survived to nest leaving. Nests were presumed successful if eggs disappeared near the expected date of hatching and there was evidence of a successful hatching. This evidence included the presence of young, the presence of eggshell tops and bottoms near the nest, egg shell fragments $\approx 1 - 5$ mm in size and detached egg membrane within the nest lining (Mabee 1997, Mabee et al. 2006). A failed nest was classified as depredated if all eggs disappeared prior to the expected date of nest-leaving and there was no basis for weather or flood induced mortality. Further evidence of egg depredation included eggshell pieces in the

nest (>5 mm in size), and yolk within the nest material.

For each nest we recorded the following information – date of clutch initiation (i.e. nest initiation), maximum number of eggs, clutch size, date of hatching, number of eggs hatched, number of young produced and nest fate. From this data we were able to calculate hatchability, daily nest survival rate and nesting success. Hatchability of eggs is defined as the proportion of eggs present at hatching time that produce young (Koenig 1982). Consequently, eggs taken by nest predators or those flooded are not included in the calculation.

Colonial Waterbirds *CATE and CAGU*

An island colony of CATE and CAGU was located during a survey of the 5B unit (Figure 10). The colony was sub-divided into three sections to facilitate nest monitoring. In order to minimize disturbance to the colony, 10 cm wooden tags

were labeled with unique nest identification numbers prior to entering the colony. To facilitate relocating nests, a pre-labeled tag was placed in the ground as described above. In an attempt to minimize the time spent in the colony, UTM's of nests were not recorded and visitations were only made once per week. Nests were visited until the young were mobile and left the nest or the nest failed. Nest fates and measures of productivity for each nest were determined following the procedures described above.

Nestlings were captured by hand and weighed to the nearest 1 g using a Pesola scale. Each nestling was banded with a numbered aluminum U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service leg band. Bill and tarsus length of each nestling was measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using dial calipers and wing length was measured to the nearest 1 mm using a wing ruler.



Figure 10. CATE and CAGU colony located in Unit 5B at BEAR.

WFIB and FRGU

A colony of WFIB and FRGU was monitored within unit 1c. An initial visit to the colony was made prior to the beginning of nest monitoring to determine the colony boundary. Ten transects (oriented in a north-south direction) were established 100 m apart (Figure 11). In an attempt to minimize disturbance, nest monitoring began only after incubation had commenced in the colony.

Only nests located within 1 m of the transect were monitored. We recorded the location of each nest as outlined above. To facilitate relocating nests along transects the 10 cm

wooden tags were placed on the east side of the nest. Visitations were made every seven days to determine the status of extant nests. Nests were visited until young successfully left the nest or the nest failed. Nest fates and measures of productivity for each nest were determined following the procedures described above.

Analyses

We examined nesting success by estimating daily survival rates (DSR) and their associated standard errors according to Mayfield's (1961, 1975) method as modified by Johnson (1979) and Hensler and Nichols (1986).




Figure 11. Location of transects within the WFIB and FRGU colony in Unit 1C at BEAR.

Results and Discussion

Nesting Chronology

Shorebirds

AMAV and BNST

A total of 345 AMAV, and 47 BNST nests were located and monitored at BEAR during the 2008 breeding season. The first AMAV nest monitored for this project was initiated on May 8, whereas the first BNST nest monitored was initiated on May 7. The first nest initiated for AMAV at BEAR in 2008 was 27 days later than the average date of first nest initiation for the 2005 – 2007 breeding seasons. However, the first nest initiated for BNST at BEAR in 2008 was only three days later than the average date of first nest initiation for the 2005 – 2007 breeding seasons. Mean ambient temperatures for April 1-15, 2008 were more than six degrees (°F) cooler than the 20 year average of 61.24 (°F), and more than eight degrees (°F) cooler than the four year average of 62.5 (°F) for the same time period.

During the 2008 breeding season the average date of nest initiation was May 31 for AMAV and May 28 for BNST. These dates are 28 and 7 days later than the mean average date of nest initiation for the 2005 – 2007 breeding seasons respectively. The last young left AMAV nests on July 12 and BNST left on July 4.

LBCU

A total of 14 LBCU nests were located at BEAR. The first LBCU nest monitored for this study was initiated on April 22. Other nests monitored were initiated between April 23 and May 10. The last young left a LBCU nest on June 10.

SNPL

Only one SNPL nest was monitored at BEAR during the 2008 breeding season. It was found with a full clutch on May 15. After two subsequent visits, we were unable to relocate the nest. Consequently, a fate could not be assigned.

Colonial Waterbirds

CATE and CAGU

A total of 42 CATE, and 48 CAGU nests were located and monitored in Unit 5B at BEAR during the 2008 breeding season. The initial visit to the CATE and CAGU colony took place on May 20. Because several nests already had young during this initial visit, we did not calculate nest initiation dates for either CATE or CAGU. The last CATE young left its nest on July 2 and the last CAGU young left on June 12.

WFIB and FRGU

A total of 216 WFIB, 74 FRGU, 4 BCNH and 3 SNEG nests were located and monitored in Unit 1C at BEAR during the 2008 breeding season. The first visit to the WFIB and FRGU colony took place on June 12. Four BCNH and three SNEG nests were found within the WFIB and FRGU colony. Nest monitoring methods did not allow for us to calculate nest initiation dates for all four species. The last young left both WFIB and FRGU nests on July 6. The last BCNH young left its nest on June 28 and the last SNEG young left on July 10.

Productivity

Shorebirds

AMAV and BNST

The modal clutch size of both AMAV and BNST nests was 4 eggs. Measures of productivity are listed in Table 1 by species. Thirty percent of all AMAV eggs laid at BEAR produced young to nest-leaving and 25% of all BNST eggs laid produced young to nest-leaving.

Hatchability rates for AMAV and BNST at BEAR are 0.94 and 0.97, respectively. Hatchability of BNST eggs at BEAR during the 1980s was 0.95 for 24 nests (Sordahl 1996). In contrast, Ohlendorf et al. (1989) reported hatchability rates of 0.876 for BNST breeding at Kesterson Reservoir, a selenium contaminated site in

California. BNST breeding at this site had high rates of embryo mortality and deformity attributable to the contamination. The hatchability for uncontaminated populations of aquatic birds average ≈ 0.91 (Ohlendorf 1989).

The DSR for all AMAV and BNST nests are found in Table 2. Management units differed in DSR during the 2008 breeding season. AMAV and BNST nests located on the 3E islands had the highest DSR for 2008. AMAV and BNST nests located in the 5D unit had the lowest DSR. Table 3 lists the DSR and nesting success estimates for each management unit. The Mayfield nesting success estimate for both AMAV and BNST has continued to decline since the peak observed in 2006 (Figure 12).




Figure 12. Mayfield estimates of nesting success for AMAV and BNST at BEAR from 2003 - 2008. The total number of nests used for calculations are included next to each point.

LBCU

A total of 14 LBCU nests were monitored in 2008 at BEAR. During the 2008 breeding season, 12 nests monitored successfully produced young to nest-leaving. One nest was deserted and the other nest did not have

sufficient information to assign a fate. Thirteen nests were located in unit 5A and one nest was located along the dike separating Units 1C and 10. Measures of productivity are listed in Table 1. DSR for all LBCU nests is found in Table 2.

Table 1. Measures of shorebird productivity at BEAR during 2008. Mean clutch size, hatchability and number of young produced to nest leaving (\pm standard error) for successful nests. *There were no successful SNPL nests monitored at BEAR in 2008.

Year	Species	Total Eggs Laid (total nests)	Mean Clutch Size (n)	Hatchability (n)	Total Young Produced (average # eggs hatched/nest)	# Young Leaving/Successful Nest (n)
2008	AMAV	1211 (370)	3.85 \pm 0.46 (162)	0.94 \pm 0.12 (82)	312 (0.84)	3.67 \pm 0.66 (85)
	BNST	128 (47)	3.66 \pm 0.49 (12)	0.97 \pm 0.08 (9)	32 (0.68)	3.55 \pm 0.52 (9)
	LBCU	55 (14)	3.92 \pm 0.27 (13)	0.91 \pm 0.22 (12)	43 (3.07)	3.58 \pm 0.9 (12)

Table 2. Nest daily survival rate (DSR \pm standard error) of AMAV, BNST, and LBCU for the 2008 breeding season. Mayfield estimates and apparent nesting success are located below each DSR.

	AMAV (n)	BNST (n)	LBCU (n)
DSR	0.95 \pm 0.004 (243)	0.92 \pm 0.02 (30)	1 \pm 0 (12)
Mayfield	0.24 (243)	0.12 (30)	1 (12)
Apparent	0.31 (275)	0.21 (42)	0.92 (13)

Table 3. Daily survival (\pm standard error) and nesting success by management unit (Mayfield Nesting Success / Apparent Nesting Success; number of nests located below each estimate) for 2008.

Plot		AMAV	BNST	LBCU
3E Islands	DSR	0.96 \pm 0.004	0.99 \pm 0.006	-
	Mayfield	0.30	0.83	-
	Apparent	0.36	0.5	-
	# of Nests	247	12	-
Unit 10	DSR	0.85 \pm 0.04	0.87 \pm 0.03	1 \pm 0
	Mayfield	0.015	0.03	1
	Apparent	0.07	0.1	1
	# of Nests	18	28	1
Unit 5D	DSR	0.72 \pm 0.05	0.72 \pm 0.1	-
	Mayfield	0.0001	0.0001	-
	Apparent	0.04	0	-
	# of Nests	39	6	-
Unit 5A	DSR	-	-	1 \pm 0
	Mayfield	-	-	1
	Apparent	-	-	0.92
	# of Nests	-	-	13

Colonial Waterbirds

CATE and CAGU

The modal clutch size was 2 for CATE and 3 for CAGU. Measures of productivity for this colony are listed in Table 4 by species. In 2008, 91% of all CATE eggs laid in the colony produced young to nest-leaving. Cuthbert and Wires (1999) report CATE nesting success ranging from 70 – 85% for 5 well studied colonies throughout North America. For CAGU in the colony 80% of all eggs laid produced young to nest-leaving. Winkler (1983) reports CAGU nesting success for one year at GSL to be 67%. The DSR for all CATE and CAGU nests for 2008 is found in Table 5. A total of 23 CAGU and 14 CATE young were banded from this colony. Average measurements taken from banded chicks are listed in Table 6.

WFIB and FRGU

The modal clutch size was 3 for WFIB, BCNH and SNEG, and 2 for FRGU. Measures of

productivity for this colony are listed in Table 4 by species. Due to the limited sample size for BCNH and SNEG as well as all nests being successful, measures of productivity listed in Table 4 may not be an accurate depiction for these species within the colony. In 2008 96% of the eggs from selected WFIB nests along transects produced young to nest-leaving. Capen (1978) reports WFIB nesting success as 55.8% for six colonies on the Bear River Club marsh in 1973 and 1974. Our estimate of apparent nesting success for WFIB in 2008 is much higher at 94%. For FRGU 86% of the eggs from selected nests along transects produced young to nest-leaving. Burger and Gochfeld (1994) report 0.6 – 1.6 young were produced per nest for 5 plots with 20-25 FRGU nests each during 1969 – 1971 at Agassiz NWR, MN. The production of young FRGU at Bear during this study was also much higher at 2.09 young/nest. The DSR for all WFIB and FRGU nests are found in Table 5.

Table 4. Measures of colonial waterbird productivity at BEAR during 2008. Mean clutch size, hatchability and number of young produced to nest leaving (\pm standard error) for successful nests.

Year	Species	Total Eggs Laid (total nests)	Mean Clutch Size (n)	Hatchability (n)	Total Young Produced (average # eggs hatched/nest)	# Young Leaving/Successful Nest (n)
2008	CATE	74 (42)	1.82 \pm 0.39 (39)	1 \pm 0 (37)	68 (1.62)	1.84 \pm 0.37 (37)
	CAGU	116 (48)	2.62 \pm 0.59 (21)	0.96 \pm 0.16 (17)	93 (1.94)	2.45 \pm 0.72 (38)
	WFIB	653 (216)	2.98 \pm 0.58 (130)	0.98 \pm 0.13 (128)	625 (2.89)	2.93 \pm 0.79 (209)
	FRGU	162 (74)	2.18 \pm 0.65 (45)	0.98 \pm 0.15 (44)	140 (1.89)	2.09 \pm 0.85 (63)
	BCNH	12 (4)	2.66 \pm 0.57 (3)	-	11 (2.75)	2.75 \pm 0.5 (4)
	SNEG	10 (3)	3.33 \pm 0.57 (3)	-	10 (3.33)	3.33 \pm 0.57 (3)

Table 5. Nest daily survival rate (DSR \pm standard error) of CATE, CAGU, WFIB, and FRGU for the 2008 breeding season. Mayfield estimates and apparent nesting success are located below each DSR.

	CATE (n)	CAGU (n)	WFIB (n)	FRGU (n)
DSR	0.99 \pm 0.003 (42)	1 \pm 0 (38)	0.99 \pm 0.0009 (214)	0.99 \pm 0.003 (67)
Mayfield	0.83 (42)	1 (38)	0.92 (214)	0.84 (67)
Apparent	0.88 (42)	1 (38)	0.94 (215)	0.91 (69)

Table 6. Linear measurements and mass (mean \pm standard error) of banded CATE and CAGU chicks at BEAR.

Species	Bill (mm)	Tarsus (mm)	Wing (mm)	Mass (g)
CATE (n=15)	9.48 \pm 0.89	22.2 \pm 1.85	49 \pm 5.5	53 \pm 9.5
CAGU (n=23)	7.9 \pm 0.91	28.27 \pm 4.44	29 \pm 7.4	83 \pm 35.4

Acknowledgements

This research would not have been possible without the cooperation and assistance of Bridget Olson, and the rest of the staff from the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge. A debt of gratitude is owed to the many students who endured the rigors of field research at Great Salt Lake including, Jen Cary, Ed Parker, Kristen Gurr, Lucas Hall, Nik Pietsch, Nick Despain, Shantra Jeske, Aloha Morris, and Monica Linfoord.

References

- Aldrich, T.W., and D.S. Paul. 2002. Avian ecology of Great Salt Lake. Great Salt Lake: an overview of change. Utah Department of Natural Resources.
- Andres, B., R. Clay, and C. Duncan. 2006. Shorebird species of conservation concern in the western hemisphere. Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network.
- Behle, W.H., and M.L. Perry. 1975. Utah Birds Guide, Check-List and Occurrence Charts. Salt Lake City, Utah Museum of Natural History.
- Brown, S., C. Hickey, B. Harrington, and R. Gill. 2001. US Shorebird Conservation Plan, 2nd edition. Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences, Manomet, MA.
- Burger, J. and M. Gochfeld. 1994. Franklin's Gull (*Larus pipixcan*). In The Birds of North America, No. 116 (A. Poole, ed.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Capen, D.E. 1978. Evaluating the impact of pesticides on the White-faced Ibis in Utah. Proceedings of the Colonial Waterbird Group, Vol. 1. pp. 196-201.
- Cavitt, J.F. 2004. 2003 Shorebird Productivity Report. Report to US Fish and Wildlife Service, Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Brigham City, UT.
- Cavitt, J.F. 2005. 2004 Shorebird Productivity Report. Report to US Fish and Wildlife Service, Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Brigham City, UT.
- Cuthbert, F.J., and L.R. Wires. 1999. Caspian Tern (*Sterna caspia*). In The Birds of North America, No. 403 (A. Poole, ed.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Dugger, B.D. and K.M. 2002. Long-billed Curlew (*Numenius americanus*). In The Birds of North America, No. 628 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Hensler, G.L., and J.D. Nichols. 1981. The Mayfield method of estimating nesting success: a model, estimators and simulation results. *Wilson Bulletin* 93:42-53.
- Johnson, D.H. 1979. Estimating nesting success: the Mayfield method and an alternative. *Auk* 96:651-661.
- King, K.A., B.J. Zaun, H.M. Schotborgh, and C. Hurt. 2003. DDE-Induced eggshell thinning in White-faced Ibis: a continuing problem in the western United States. *Southwestern Naturalist* 48(3):356-364.
- Koenig, W.D. 1982. Ecological and social factors affecting hatchability of eggs. *Auk* 99:526-536.
- Mabee, T.J. 1997. Using eggshell evidence to determine nest fate of shorebirds. *Wilson Bulletin* 109:307-313.

- Mabee, T.J., A.M. Wildman, and C.B. Johnson. 2006. Using egg flotation and eggshell evidence to determine age and fate of Arctic shorebird nests. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 77(2):163-172.
- Mayfield, H. 1961. Nesting success calculated from exposure. *Wilson Bulletin* 73:255-261.
- Mayfield, H. 1975. Suggestions for calculating nest success. *Wilson Bulletin* 87:456-466.
- Ohlendorf, H., R. Hothem, and D. Welsh. 1989. Nest success, and hatchability of aquatic birds at selenium contaminated Keterson Reservoir. *Condor* 91:787-796.
- Page, G.W., J.S. Warriner, J.C. Warriner, and P.W. Paton. 1995. Snowy Plover (*Charadrius alexandrinus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 154 (A. Poole, ed.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Paton, P.W.C. 1995. Breeding biology of Snowy Plovers at Great Salt Lake, Utah. *Wilson Bulletin* 107:275-288.
- Paul, D.S., and A.E. Manning. 2002. Great Salt Lake Waterbird Survey Five-Year Report (1997-2001). Great Salt Lake Ecosystem Program, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, Salt Lake City.
- Ryder, R.A., and D.E. Manry. 1994. White-faced Ibis (*Plegadis chihi*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 130 (A. Poole, ed.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.
- Winkler, D. W. 1983. Ecological and behavioral determinants of clutch size: the California Gull (*Larus californicus*) in the Great Basin. Ph.D. diss., Univ. of California, Berkeley.
- Winkler, D.W. 1996. California Gull (*Larus californicus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 259 (A. Poole, ed.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.