

DATE April 24, 1974Fact Sheet--Wilderness Proposal

Name of Area

LAKE WOODRUFF NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

- | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Authority creating Federal unit (cite U.S. Code, Statute numbers, E.O. number, Proclamation date, etc.) | 45 Stat. 1222 16 U.S.C. 715 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 2. | a. Area of authorized Federal unit (acres) | 19,640 |
| | b. Present land ownership (acres)--Federal | 18,416.79 |
| | State/local | 0 |
| | Private | 1.223 |
| | Total | 19,639.79 |
| | c. Cost of acquiring remaining private lands | est. \$487,000 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 3. | a. Area of wilderness proposal (acres) | 1,106 |
| | b. Present land ownership (acres)--Federal | 1,106 |
| | State/local | 0 |
| | Private | 0 |
| | Total | 1,106 |
| | c. Cost of acquiring remaining private lands | N/A |
| <hr/> | | |
| 4. | a. Area of "potential" wilderness (acres) | 40 |
| | b. Present land ownership (acres)--Federal | 0 |
| | State/local | 0 |
| | Private | 40 |
| | Total | 40 |
| | c. Cost of acquiring remaining private lands | est. \$24,000 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 5. | a. Does the wilderness proposal include outstanding mineral claims? | No |
| | b. Cost of purchasing these rights. | N/A |
| <hr/> | | |
| 6. | a. Does the "potential" wilderness include outstanding mineral rights | Yes |
| | b. Cost of purchasing these rights. | Unknown |
| <hr/> | | |
| 7. | a. Does the proposed wilderness or "potential" wilderness area include any outstanding grazing, water, or access rights? | No |
| | b. Cost of purchasing each of these rights? | N/A |
| <hr/> | | |

8. a. Has a GS, or other, mineral survey been conducted? None Known
 b. Date of survey. N/A
 c. Findings.
9. Is there pending or currently needed legislation to increase acquisition ceiling or increase the area of the total Federal management unit? NO
10. Will a wilderness designation necessitate changes in any present laws, executive orders, etc.? Give details. No
11. Are there any unresolved legal problems involving NEPA statements, condemnations, or other in any part of the total management unit? Provide details. None known
12. What is official position of the State and local governments?
- | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| <u>State</u> - Favor | - Governor | <u>X</u> |
| | Oppose | <u> </u> |
| | Indifferent | <u> </u> |
| | Unknown | <u> </u> |
| <u>Local</u> - Favor | - City of DeLand | <u>X</u> |
| | Oppose | <u> </u> |
| | Indifferent | <u> </u> |
| | Unknown - Counties | <u>X</u> |
13. If the proposal involves any Indian rights, what is the position of the Indians? N/A

Favor

Oppose
Indifferent
Unknown

14. Views of other Interior Bureaus:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Geological Survey | No Position stated. |
| Bureau of Mines | Unknown |
| Bureau of Indian Affairs | Unknown |
| Bureau of Land Management | No Position stated. |
| Bureau of Sport Fish/Wildlife | Favor |
| National Park Service | Unknown |
| Bureau of Reclamation | Unknown |
| Solicitor's Office | Unknown |

15. Private organizations favoring wilderness. - The West Volusia Audubon Soc
DeBary Public Affairs Council, Lake Co. Conservation Council, The Wilderness
Society, The Florida League of Anglers, Halifax River Audubon Society, Florida
Audubon Society, Environmental Legal Action, Shenandoah Garden Club, Wildlife
Management Institute, The So.Oregon-No.Calif.Wilderness Coalition, (Cont.below)

16. Private organizations opposing wilderness.

Save Our Hunting Association.

17. What impact will the proposal have on other
Federal agencies?

None known.

18. List any other specific resource tradeoffs
(not cited above) are associated with this
proposal?

None known.

15. Private organizations favoring wilderness (continued) - Garden Club of Deltona, Inc.,
Pelican Island Audubon Society, Sawmill Slough Conservation Club, Southwest
River Study Group, Sussex Woodlands, Inc., Florida Chapter of The Wildlife
Society, Tropical Audubon Society, & West Palm Beach Garden Club.