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#### Abstract

TacE＝ここ you who were present at this metics jesu Hugest will recall－ to severe Enough in the major breeding areas． out tres E三 E result of drought in prior years the zeeeaing population at the $\equiv$ 上ミー，of the 1961 season was below the level－at the previous 12 years．न＝hurting regulations which were devenorきミ in response to this stiaE＝ion were based or a stated objective of＝it further reducing the size $=z^{\circ}$ the duck breeding population in 1969 ．


## DUCK POE－ご・ION OVERHARVESTGD

In $\because \because_{n}^{*}$ of the lesser member of ducks expected 00 come South last fall the 三＝ooting regulations were considerably reswicted for the fur－ pose $0^{\wedge}$ rミ̇̈ucing the kill．Our kill surveys have rereslea that these restrict：－ッ regulations were successful to the extern＝：that the estimated duck ki＝－$=$ the United States was reduced from 9， $5=3,000$ during the 1960－61 ミミミson to $0,350,000$ last season，a saving こご Ebb out $3 \stackrel{1}{2}$ million birds．I－spite of this saving，I regret to report fiat the total duck breeding jowalation index this past spring，as recopied by the aerial survey criers（excluding scoter，eider，merganser，Ene ola squaw），was
 now that ：－view of our objective to return as mene birds to the breed－ ing areas $:=1962$ as we did in 1961 we overharvestes the duck population last ia－．

DROUGHT cONDITION STILL PERSISTS IN IMPORTANT BREE E AREAS
I ar ミごニased to report there are signs that ごさ三 year the drought condition 三こeecting important breeding areas may te breaking．Water conditions were much improved in the southern ara $\equiv$ astern portion of the patron＝breeding range，mich is similar to the general pattern of recovery＝ここ－owing the drought in the late forties：．I hasten to add， however，Es habitat conditions have improved comenstively little in the imomeni mia－continent pothole breeding habited as compared to last year．
(S1ide No. 1 - Graph No. 1):
On this graph is plotted the number of water areas estimated to be present in the southern portions of the three Prairie Provinces during May and July each year since 1951. This graph must be qualified in one respect. For the years 1951, 1952, and 1953 pond data were not collected during the July survey in Manitoba. Therefore, the number of July ponds shown is in part an estimation for the first three years. Also, it is not possible to include the estimated number of water areas in the Dakotas and western Minnesota, since July surveys were not conducted in these States before 1957, and the number of water areas in May was not estimated in a manner comparable to that used in Canada.

The data in this graph represent total ponds regardless of size or type. Only temporary field puddles and some road ditches have been excluded from the count.

It can be seen at a glance that the number of ponds increased this year both in May and July ( +22 percent and +49 percent, respectively), although the increase was not nearly as much as in 1960 following the very dry summer in 1959. Unfortunately, the improvement in some areas came after the bulk of the birds arrived in late April and early May. Other areas received sufficient moisture from snow melt and rainfall to benefit crops and to hold water levels constant, but not to improve them. Many areas continued to deteriorate and went dry this year for the first time since the drought began. Also, the distribution of rainfall was poor with a few locations receiving a great deal of water while most areas remained dry. Many of the ponds this year were of poor quality due to low water levels, while others were deluged with rain to the extent that nesting was disrupted. Wet, chilly weather at the end of May coincided with the period when early nests normally hatch, accounting in part for the near "bust" in first hatching attempts as evidenced by the absence of early hatched broods over much of the breeding grounds. Hay mowing also was reported to have caused heavy nest losses in some places.

In parts of the Dakotas the drought condition was completely reversed this year with the pond count more than doubling in both May and July. The number of ponds this year in the Dakotas is above the average of the past 12 years.

BREEDING POPULATION DECREASES
(Slide No. 2 - Graph No. 2):
On this graph is plotted the total duck population indexes for all breeding areas combined corrected for the different visibility rates that either have been determined by field measurement or were estimated to exist in each of the major habitat types. The trend in

number of water areas recorded in the southern porions of the Prairie Provinces is repeated once again to illustrate the importance of pothole habitat in controlling continental duck popilations.

The breeding population index decreased approximately 17 percent from last year and is now 38 percent below the average of the past 12 years and is 47 percent below the peak level reached in 1956 。
(Slide No. 3 - Graph No. 3):
-- To illustrate the situation further, this is a graph showing the trend in mallard breeding population indexes for the period 1954 through 1962. The index for 1962 is 38 percent below average, 57 percent below the peak level reached in 1958 , and 30 percent below last year.
(Slide No. 4 - Graph No. 3):
This graph presents the breeding population trend for pintail. The breeding index for pintail this year is 42 percent below average, 60 percent below the peak year reached in 1956 , and 21 percent below last year. Most important species show trends reasonably similar to mallard and pintail. For example, as compared to the average of the past 8 years the blue-winged teal index this year is -43 percent, baldpaie -43 percent, canvasback -52 percent, and green-winged teal -56 percent. For scaup the 1962 index is 6 percent below average, 24 percent below the peak year reached in 1959 , and 12 percent below last year. Although some scaup nest in pothole habitat and are affected by drought, the bulk of the population nests in the North where lack of water is not a problem.

## Āge ratio as a measure of production success

I expect that most of you are familiar with the Bureau's duck wing collection program. Based on techniques developed in 1958 it is possible to differentiate between immatures and adults by examining wings collected from hunters through the mail. After a small-scale experiment in 1958 the wing collection survey was expanded throughout the Mississippi Flyway in 1959. It was further expanded in 1960 to the Atlantic Flyway, and last season the survey inciuded all four flyways. Data' from this survey during the past three years have provided us with much valuable information. With regard to age ratios and using mallards as an illustration, in 1959 the mallard age ratio in the Mississippi Flyway kill was . 77 immatures per adult. During the 1960-61 season the ratio increased to 1.76 and then decreased during the season just past to 1.08 immatures per adult. Nationwide during the past season the ratio for mallards was 1.05 immatures per adult. Based on banding data we know that immatures are more vulnerable to the gun than are adults. Using banding data as a measure of differential vulnerability, the ratio of immatures to adults in the Mississippi Flyway mallard population before the past three hunting seasons has been $.53,1.31$, and .70 , respectively. These rates of production have been too low to prevent the mallard population from going downhill under the shooting pressures that have prevailed.

Graph 2 - Tread in Duck Breeding Population Inde:e:



Sowe of you in this room have just retumes Erom Canada where you partictoaced in a＂show me＂trip with Fred Glover：For those of you who too：part，there is no need for me to descrioe conditions．However， for the jenefit of those no have not visized the Prairies in the last two or Eiree years I would iike to show a few piccures．
（Sliむ̇ ：io． 5 －Pothole near 马oissevair，July 1962）：
－This picture was taken about midminy near Boissevain in south－
$\rightarrow$ westerr Vanitoba，A great deal of rain feil in tris area from mid－May througa july and as you can see the water extens through the vegetation into the Eleld beyond．This pothole is located on one of our air－ground comparison etudy areas ant it is perhaps significent to note that although there $\because E r e$ over 200 potholas with water on the transect in July，neither the ground crew nor the air crew recorded a singie brood．
（Slide ： 6 －Pothole near Fertiie，Saskatchewen，July 1962）：

I Entioned earlier that a few scactered arés received a great deal oz rain this year whila the bulk of the Canacian pothoie breeding range nas still dry．Boissevain is centered in one of the locations receivi̇ミ abundant rainfall while Fertile，Sasketchewan，only 85 miles west，wes still very dry This picture was taken aear Fertile during July are it shows a famer cutting hay in a pothole that produced broods in 1960：On the Fertile air－ground comparison transect only 4 ponds held weter out of a potential of over 200 and these were all dugouts．
（Slides 7，8，and 9－Pothoie near Moose Valley，Saskatchewan taken in May 1961，May 1962，and July 1962）：

Ponss went dry in a number of locations this summer that held water last yeer．
（Slide 10 －Pothole near Grayson，Saskatchewan，Yay 1962）：
As I mentioned earlier，the aerial crews count ponds without regard to size or type with a certain few exceptions．Sany of the ponds counted during the last 4 years have been shaliow and oz low quality．Alhough they have built up the pond index they have procuced littie in the way of young cicklings．

Many more pictures could be shown and there is a wide variety of conditions to choose from．However，I believe that these more or less typify the situation this year．overall，I woulc say that conditions－ have improved somewhat in perhaps one quarter of the pothole breeding range $\min ^{\prime} 1 e$ about three quatters of this important breeding area is still in poor condition．

Graph 3 - Mallard and Pintail 3reeding Population Indexes, 1954-1962


Pintails


## STATUS OF CANVASBACK AND REDHEAD DUCKS

You will recell that we have been quite concerneă about the status of canvasback and redhead during recent years.- These birds nest successfully only in emergent vegetation such as cattail or bulrush. When water levels recede leaving the emergent vegetation of the previous years stranded on shore, the over-water nesting species either mare no attempt to nest, or have very poor success. The very dry conditions that existed last year eliminated mosi overwater vegetation that might have provided nesting cover this year. Although shallow reflooding of many potholes this spring caused a luxuriant growth of emergent vegetation, tinis vegetation did not attain sufficient-growth to provide nesting habitat until late in the season. Some nesting seems to have occurred in this new vegetation but it is not believed that production will be more than sufficient to balance natural losses.

## FALL FLIGHT FORECASTS

(Slide No. Il):
This slide presents the fall flight forecast for ducks Irom each of the major breeding areas. In Alaska the spring breakup was late and when it occurred there was considerable rlooding of nesting habitat. Although the breeding population remained about the same as-in lǵol, it is estimated that production will be oif about 50 percent. In northern Alberia and the Northwest Territories the spring was retarded, breeding population was reduced by 36 percent from 1961, and population sumveys during July indicated that a decrease below the low level of last year could be expected. In the southern portions of the Provinces of Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba the breeding populations of ducks decreased 23 percent, 47 percent, and 37 percent, respectively. Aerial survey crews in these areas were in agreement that production this summer was less than at any time since breeding ground surveys were initiated. In northern Saskatchewan, northern Manitoba, and Ontario spring was late but conditions thereafter were quite favorable. The breeding population increased 26 percent and it was estimated that productionwas considerably better than last year.

In the States, and beginning in the west, droughi conditions still prevail in Washington, Oregon, and Calī̂ornia, although therewas some improvement this year. Both breeding population and production are expected to be down somewhat in each of these three States. In Idaho and Nevada water conditions were somewhat improved. In Idaho production was judged to be near normal while in Nevada a small reduction in breeding population was more than made up for by an increase in production, and an $\underset{\text { increased fiall flight is expected. }}{ }$ Utah has somewhat more water this year but a decrease in breeding population is expected to be balanced by an increase in production, and no change in fall flight is expected. In Wyoming and Colorado

conditions improved markedly and major increasjs in breeding population－ and profuction were recorded．In Montana ther $\begin{gathered}\text { anee both improvements }\end{gathered}$ and deこミrioration in water conditions in various parts of the State． The brミミiing population decraased somewhat but $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { is expected that this }\end{array}\right.$ will be aade up for by an inorease in production：

In Eastern North Dakota and in South Dako：a Erought conditions were compl三t三ly reversed and the pond index more tian coubled both in May and Julr，The number of ponds is now above the Everage of the past 12 years：Although breeding population increase $\therefore$ percent，surveys during July revealed some increase in production but the Encrease did not measure up to the increase in breeding population．In Minnesota there was cansderably more water this year but it is expected that the flight $\rightarrow$ this Eall fron Minnesota will be about the sane as iast year．Michigan had the $\therefore$ ighest breeding duck index in 14 years and production was correspaningly high．Iowa found duck populations and production levels equal bo or silghtly better than last year．In liejraska there was a 51 percent reduction in breeding population an the fall flight is expecté to be reduced considerably as comparaj＝0 last year．Wood duck prajuction in Missouri and Indiana is expezこed to be somewhat improve be an increase in the fall Elight from Maine，mile no change is expected from the remaining States，collectively，as compared to last year．

PACIEIC ZLYWAY
（S1ide ：io，12）：
Ducks：
In the breeding range supplying the Pacific Flyway there was a marked raduction in the number of ducks observec during the May－June surver．Production surveys during July reveaié moderate reduction in numbes of young in all important areas．Only in Nevada is an increas in fall flight expected．It is concluciae therefore，that there -11 be at least a moderate decrease in the 1962 fall flight of ducks in the Pacific Flyway：

## Geese：

According to the annual winter survey，populations of Canada geese and white－fronted geese did not change appreciably from last year（ $-\bar{\delta}^{7}$ ．and $+7 \%$ ，respectively）．The population index for snow geese increased 17 percent and cackling geese increased 14 percent． Since p＝oduction data for arctic nesting geese are lacking，average production must be assumed．If production is average then the fall flight will not change as compared to the previous year，However， it is possible to predict probable change this year in comparison to the flight two years ago using change in the winter survey index as a basis：In effect，when production data are lacking we must manage on the assis of population trend data one year in arrears．With this as expination，it is estimated that the fali fligit of all geese will be the same as last year，but as compared to 1960 the flights of snow ind cackling geese will increase somewhe while the flights of Canȧミs and white－fronts from the North will remain about the same．


Surveys of the Great Basin Canada goose nesting areas revealed that breeding populations were down somewhat in Idaio, California, and Moncana, and up somewhat in Wyoming, Colorado, and Nevada. Production was.judged to be better than last year in Edaho, Colorado, Wyoming, Utah, and Nevada, while decreases were recorded in California, Washington, and Montana. Overall, it is estimates that the Great Basin Camada goose population will remain unchanged as compared to last year.

The number of wintering brant decreased slignty as compared to 1961 but the population remained at a relatively fizh level. Limited furveys on the brant breeding areas in Alaska revealed that production is good. Therefore, a small increase in the fall fight of brant is expected.

## Coot:

Production of coot in all important breeding areas is expected to be markedly reduced by drought conditions. Therefore, a major reduction in the fall flight of coots is expected.

CENTRAT ELYWAY
(Slide No. 13):

Ducks:
We expect that the decrease in duck breeding populations combined with the poor prospects for production in critical areas will result in at least a moderate decrease in the fall flight of ducks in the Central Flyway as compared to 1961.

## Geese:

The total wintering population of geese in the Central Flyway decreasec 11 percent from last year. However, the decrease was made up entirely of blue geese. Populations of snow geese and Canada geese remained unchanged, while a number of white-fronts increased considerably.

Since goose production data are lacking, average production is assumed. Therefore, it is expected that the fall flight of all species of geese will be the same as last year. Compared with 1960 , it is expected that the fall flight of snow geese and Canadas will be about the same, while the flight of white-fronts may increase somewhat and blue geese will decrease.


The production of coot in all important breeaing areas is expectec to be markedly rec̃iced by drought conditions. Therefore, a major reduction of this species in the fail fligit to the Central Flynay is expected.

## MISSISEIPFI FLYWAY


Ducks:
Conaitions affecting the Mississippi Flyway tiis year are quite similer to those in the Central. Breeding populations of ducks have decreased and prospects for production are poor in critical areas. Thereiore, it is expected that there will be at lesst a moderate decrease in the fall flight of ducks in the Mississippi Flyway as comparea to 1961.

## Geese:

The wintering population of geese in the Mississippi Flyway decreased 20 percent as compared to 1961. The popalation of Canadas remained about the same ( $-3^{\prime} \%$ ), while blue geese decreased 32 percent, snow geese decreased 24 percent, and white-fronted geese decreased 19 percent. Assuming average production, it is expected that the fall flight of all geese will be the same as last year. Compared with 1960, it is expected that the fall flight of Canadas will be about the same while the fllght of snows, blues, and white-fronts will decrease somewhat.

Coot:
The production of coot in all important areas is expected to be markediy reduced by drought conditions. Therefore, a major reduction of this species in the fall flight to the Mississippi Flyway is expected.

AITANIIC FLYWAY
(Slide Ro. 15):
Ducks:
In forecasting changes in fall flight of ducks in the Atlantic Flyway it is not possible to use breeding ground survey data to nearly the same extent as in the three flyways to the west. This is due primarily to lack of adequate techniques for conduciing surveys in the important quebec-Labracor breeding area. Research was resumed in Quebec and Labrador this year to develop a duck survey technique. If we can successfully develop a reliable survey methoi in this vast country, we will have corrected a deficiency in our breeding ground.

## ATLANTIC FLYWAY DUCK FLIGHT FORECAST 1962


survey cata. However, since re lack breeding ground data from this area this year it is necessary to depend to a leree extent on the results of the annual winter surveys for determining trends in the breeding population of some species of ducks for the Flyway.

The number of wintering ducks in the Flywaw, based on the January 1962 survey, decreased slightly ( $-7 \%$ ), For the fifth consecutive year the winter population index has remained at a level of somemat less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ million ducks, in contrast with the five year period, 1952-1956, when the duck index averased nearly $4 \frac{1}{4}$ million. Host of the important species of ducks, with the exception of the wood
Tduck, are involved in the lower population levels during recent years. It is perhaps significant to note that the black fuck maintained its level as compared to $1961(+37 \%)$, while the pincail and mallard decreased due to drought in the West. $(-19 \%$ and $-34 ?$, respectively.

This year for those species of ducks in the Flyway coming primarily from western breeding areas we expect that the population will decrease. Since we lack duck production information from the Northeast, we must assume average procuction and no change as compared to last year in the fall flight from this region. When all information is combinei it is expected that there will be a small decrease in ducks in the Atlantic Flyway this year.

## Geese:

Weather appears to have been unfavorable during the summer of 1961 in northern breeding areas, since most arctic nesting species decrease last fall. In the Atlantic Flyway the Canada goose, brant, and swan decreased 24 percent, 54 percent, and 37 percent, respectively, according to the winter survey last January. Lacking production data and assuming average production, it is estimated that the fall flight of Canada geese and brant will be the same as last vear but compared to 1960 a moderate decrease is expected in the flignt of Canadas and a marked decrease in the fligint of brant.

## Coot:

The production of coot in all important breecing areas is expected to be markedly reduced by crought conditions. Therefore, a major reduction in the fall flight of this species to the Atlantic Flyway is expected.


