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## SECTION I

## Project Overview

This annual report summarizes the results of the 2006 water quality sampling conducted at the Alviso Salt Ponds in Santa Clara County, California, which are part of the South San Francisco Bay Low Salinity Salt Ponds. Operations occurred from May through October 2006. Sampling was performed on a continuous, weekly, monthly, or bimonthly schedule as required by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Final Order (No. R2-2004-0018). Sampling was performed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) on behalf of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in accordance with the waste discharge requirements.

The Final Order for the South San Francisco Bay Low Salinity Salt Ponds concerned 15,100 acres of ponds in Alameda, Santa Clara, and San Mateo Counties. The area encompasses the Alviso Pond Complex (Figure 1-1). This report covers the following pond systems within the complex: A2W, A3W, A7, A14, and A16. The Island Ponds (A19, A20, A21) water quality will be provided to the RWQCB in a separate document. The systems are operated by the Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge in Santa Clara County. The California Department of Fish and Game will submit a report for the Eden Landing (Baumberg) Ponds under a separate cover.

The ponds are generally being operated as flow-through systems with Bay or slough waters entering an intake pond within each pond system at high tides through a tide gate, passing through one or more ponds, and exiting the particular system's discharge pond to either a tidal slough or the Bay at low tides. The ponds only discharge at low tides for about 6 or 8 hours per day. Two ponds in the A3W and A7 systems, Ponds A3N and A8, respectively, were operated as seasonal ponds during 2006 and were not connected to this flow-through system. Also, Ponds A12, A13, and A15, part of the A14 pond system, are designed as batch ponds. Discharge occurs from Pond A15 to Pond A16 when salinity reaches 100-120 ppt, it was discharged on a batch basis in 2006 one time in attempt to raise salinity levels in Pond A16.


Figure 1-1: Alviso Pond Complex

## I.I Final Order Number R2-2004-00 18

The Final Order recognized two periods of discharges from the ponds. The first covered the Initial Release Period (IRP) when salinity levels would decrease from the initial levels in the ponds. The second period is the Continuous Circulation Monitoring (CCM) period after salinities went below the 44 parts per thousand (ppt) salinity discharge limit. Different monitoring plans were identified in the Final Order by RWQCB and revised in 2005 (March 25, 2005 letter) for each specific period and are reiterated below.

## I.I.I RWQCB Initial Release Period Monitoring Plan

Receiving water samples from the slough and Bay were collected at discrete locations near the water surface and bottom from downstream and upstream of the discharge point. This occurred one week before initiating discharge, one day after the initial discharge, three days later, and then seven days later. Sampling continued on a weekly basis until the FWS was able to document that the discharge salinity levels were below 44 ppt . Once discharge began, discharge pond samples were collected prior to pond water mixing with receiving water using a continuous monitoring device. Dissolved oxygen ( DO ) levels in the pond water during discharge, calculated on a weekly basis, were required to remain above a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. If the dissolved oxygen levels fell below this requirement, the FWS was required to accelerate receiving water monitoring in the slough and/or Bay to weekly, notify RWQCB Staff, and implement best management practices to increase dissolved oxygen levels in discharged water, including within pond monitoring. For days it collects receiving water samples, the FWS also reported standard observations and documented what tidal phase samples were collected in. Additionally, the FWS made daily estimates of the quantity and timeperiod of discharge from the ponds and the strength of tides. All notes were recorded on standard monitoring sheets.

Samples for benthos were collected from discrete locations at the convenient stage of the tide at the following frequency: One week before initiating discharge; fourteen days after the initial discharge; 28 days following the second sampling; once in the late summer (August); and finally once in the late summer of the following year. Salinity
samples were collected within ponds at least twice per month for at least the previous two months before discharges commenced. Dissolved oxygen samples were collected between 8:00 am and 10:00 am, with the times being recorded on the standard monitoring sheets.

## I.I. 2 RWQCB Continuous Circulation Period Monitoring Plan

Receiving water samples from the slough and Bay were collected at discrete locations near the water surface and bottom from downstream and upstream of the discharge point. Samples were collected on a monthly basis between May and October 2006 as close to low tide as practicable. Discharge pond samples were collected before pond water mixed with receiving water using a continuous monitoring device. Dissolved oxygen levels in the pond water, calculated on a weekly basis, were required to remain above a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. If the dissolved oxygen levels fell below this requirement, the FWS was required to accelerate receiving water monitoring in the slough and/or Bay to weekly, notify RWQCB Staff, and implement best management practices to increase dissolved oxygen levels in discharged water, including within pond monitoring. For days it collects receiving water samples, the FWS also reported standard observations and documented what tidal phase samples were collected in. Additionally, the FWS made daily estimates of the quantity and time-period of discharge from the ponds and the strength of tides. All notes were recorded on standard monitoring sheets.

## Section 2 AnNuALSUMMARY

This section summarizes the monitoring activities conducted by FWS during the 2006 calendar year at the Alviso Pond Complex to comply with the Final Order.

### 2.1 Water Qualty Monitoring Meihodology Continuous Pond Discharge Sampling (Initial Release and Continuous Circ ulation Phases):

USGS installed continuous monitoring Datasondes (Hydrolab-Hach Company, Loveland, Colorado) in Alviso ponds A14 and A16 on 25 April 2006, which were initially released on 31 March 2005. Ponds A2W, A3W, and A7 were initially released during 2004 and were to be monitored under CCM monitoring beginning 1 May 2005; thus, meters were installed and began logging on 25 April for the 2006 season. Datasondes were installed on the water control structures at the outflow of the discharge into the slough and/or San Francisco Bay using a PVC holder attached to a ground-mounted pole to allow for free water circulation around the sensors. The
 devices were installed at a depth of at least 25 cm to ensure that all sensors were submerged, and these depths were monitored and adjusted to maintain constant submersion as the pond water level fluctuated (Figure 2-1).

Salinity, pH , temperature, and dissolved oxygen were collected at 15-minute intervals with a sensor and circulator warm-up

Figure 2-1: Datasonde within Weir Structure
period of 2 minutes. Data were downloaded weekly and sondes were serviced to check battery voltage and data consistency. A recently calibrated Hydrolab Minisonde was placed next to the Datasonde in the pond at the same depth, and readings of the two instruments were compared. Any problems detected with the Datasonde were corrected through calibration or replacement of parts or instruments. The sensors on the Datasonde were calibrated prior to deployment into the salt pond and were calibrated and cleaned on a biweekly schedule unless otherwise noted in service records. During the cleaning and calibration procedure, simultaneous readings were collected with a recently calibrated Hydrolab Minisonde to confirm data consistency throughout the procedure (initial, de-fouled, post cleaned, and post calibration). The initial and defouled readings were also used to detect shifts in the data due to accumulation of biomaterials and sediment on the sensors.

In April, May, September and November 2006, discrete water samples were collected concurrent with some meter calibrations to perform Winkler titration samples. Samples were fixed in the field and analyzed at the USGS Menlo Park facility. Results were compared to direct meter readouts of DO to check for meter accuracy.

At the request of RWQCB and USFWS, the USGS collected discrete water samples at the discharge gate of Alviso pond A16 for analysis of ammonium and free ammonia, on 18 May, 26 May and 21 June 2006. Samples were filtered, fixed and frozen in the field and analyzed by ANR Analytical Lab, UC Davis. The Ammonia In-pond A16 sampling on $5 / 18 / 2006$, mean ammonium was $0.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, mean free ammonia was $0.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. On $5 / 26 / 2006$, mean ammonium was $0.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, mean free ammonia was $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Samples from June 21 are in the process of being tested and will be provided to the RWQCB when the results are available.

### 2.1.1 Alviso Receiving Water (IRP/CCM):

Receiving waters were measured outside pond discharge locations one week prior to discharge, one, three and seven days after initial discharge, and then weekly by USGS at sites along Artesian Slough adjacent to Alviso pond A16 (5 sites). Beginning 1 May 2006, samples were collected at least monthly from A3W receiving water (Guadalupe Slough, 8 sites) and A7 receiving water (Alviso Slough, 7 sites) through October 2006. Samples were collected weekly when water quality objectives in discharge samples were not met. Additionally, water quality measurements were collected monthly in Coyote Creek outside the water control structure of pond A14 (3 sites) from May through October 2006. In the letter dated May 16, 2006 from the RWQCB to the FWS, receiving water sampling for Pond A2W was eliminated due to a vast mud flat exposed during discharge times. Sampling locations were marked using a GPS waypoint. We accessed slough sampling sites via boat from San Francisco Bay and used a GPS to navigate to sampling locations. When the boat was approximately $50-25$ meters from the site, the engine would be cut or reduced to allow for drifting caused by current and wind to the site location. Every effort was made to ensure that the sample readings were collected from the center of the slough. A recently calibrated Hydrolab Minisonde (Hydrolab-Hach Company, Loveland, Colorado) was used to measure salinity, pH , turbidity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen at each location. Samples were collected
from the near-bottom of the water column in addition to the near-surface at each sampling location. Depth readings of sample locations were collected at the completion of each Minisonde measurement to account for drift during the reading equilibration period. The specific gravity of each site was additionally measured with a hydrometer (Ertco, West Paterson, New Jersey) scaled for the appropriate range. This sample was collected concurrently with the near-surface Minisonde measurement. The majority of the samples were collected on the rising or high tide in order to gain access to the sampling sites, which were not accessible at tides less than 3.5 ft MLLW. Standard observations were collected at each site. These were:
A. Observance of floating and suspended materials of waste origin.
B. Description of water condition including discoloration and turbidity.
C. Odor - presence or absence, characterization, source and wind direction.
D. Evidence of beneficial use, presence of wildlife, fisher people and other recreational activities
E. Hydrographic conditions - time and height of tides, and depth of water column and sampling depths.
F. Weather conditions - air temp, wind direction and velocity, and precipitation.

Sections A, B, C, D and E were recorded at each sampling location. Section F was recorded at the beginning and ending of each slough, unless it had changed significantly.

### 2.1.2 Calibration and Maintenance:

All the instruments used for sampling as part of the South Bay Salt Pond Initial Stewardship Plan's Self-Monitoring Program were calibrated and maintained according to the USGS standard procedures. Datasondes were calibrated pre-deployment and maintained on a biweekly cleaning and calibration schedule unless they required additional maintenance. The problem of algae and other substances interfering with the moving parts such as on the self-cleaning brush and circulator was improved with the use of nylon stockings. This allowed for maximum water flow past the sensor but stopped algae from wrapping around and binding the moving parts. Copper mesh and wire was used to inhibit growth in ponds with high concentrations of barnacles and hard algae, which could interfere with sensor function. We performed a biweekly fouling check to detect shifts in data due to the accumulation of biomaterial and sediment on the sensors. A calibration and maintenance $\log$ was maintained for each pond.

We estimated times of actual discharge as the period when the water surface elevation in the receiving waters was lower than the water surface elevation of the ponds. We converted NGVD29 pond staff gage readings to NAVD88 using Corpscon program (ACOE), and then converted NAVD88 to MLLW using estimated conversion values for the specific discharge location (G. Hovis, pers. comm.). Slough water surface
elevation was estimated using Coyote Creek Station tide estimates, provided as MLLW feet (Tides and Currents Pro software). The pond was assumed to be discharging when the water surface elevation of the slough was less than that of the pond.

### 2.2 Water Qualty Monitoring Summary

Pond systems A2W, A3W, and A7 have been opened to the Bay and slough since 2004 and are being monitored during their Continuous Circulation Phases. Pond Systems A14 and A16 were opened to the sloughs on March 31, 2005 and are also being monitored under Continuous Circulation. The results of the 2006 sampling events in the pond systems are documented below.

### 2.2.1 Sa linity

The salinity levels for Pond Systems A2W, A3W, and A7 in 2006 remained well below 44 ppt and the discharge ponds generally reflected slightly higher salinities than the intake waters from the bay and sloughs (Refer to Figures A-1 through 4 in Appendix A).

The salinity in Pond A14 was below 44 ppt during the initial discharge of the system on 31 March 2005. However, there is a long residence time associated with this system, which shows elevated salinity levels above 44 ppt for most of 2006 . The salinity was below 44 ppt until 19 June 2006, then steadily rose for the remainder of the season with a high of $56.8 \mathrm{ppt}(S e p t e m b e r ~ 13,2006) ~(R e f e r ~ t o ~ F i g u r e ~ A-5 ~ i n ~ A p p e n d i x ~ A) . ~$

In Pond System A16, the salinity was 70 ppt at the time of opening (March 31, 2005). By April 25, 2005, the salinity had fallen below 44 ppt and has remained below the limit since then (Refer to Figure A-6 and A-25 in Appendix A).

Table 2-1
Salinity Ranges

| Pond | 2006 Salinity <br> Range (ppt) | 2006 Salinity <br> Avg. (ppt) | 2005 Salinity <br> Avg. (ppt) | 2004 Salinity <br> Avg. (ppt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2W | $1.93-26.72$ | 17.2 | 28.9 | 32.0 |
| A3W | $2.33-23.28$ | 11.9 | 17.3 | 29.2 |
| A7 | $1.81-31.47$ | 16.4 | 22.2 | 33.3 |
| A14 | $36.45-56.75$ | 49.3 | 40.8 | N/A |
| A16 | $0.87-21.90$ | 14.2 | 24.5 | N/A |

### 2.2.2 Salinity Compliance

Salinity levels in all the Pond Systems were in compliance with the Final Order except for Pond System A14. Pond System A14 salinity was in compliance 90 percent of the 2005 season and only 29 percent for 2006 . The rise in salinity was caused by a long residence time, poor discharge rate, and actions taken with the observance of extremely low dissolved oxygen in Pond A14 discharge Datasonde (see Section 3, Corrective Actions Taken). The salinity levels for A2W, A3W, A7, and A16 on an average have been reduced yearly since their initial discharge.

### 2.2.3 Temperature

Temperature levels in the ponds generally matched the temperature levels in the intake and receiving waters and therefore met the discharge requirement of not exceeding
natural temperatures of the receiving waters by $20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Refer to Figures A-7 through 12 in Appendix A).

Table 2-2
Temperature Ranges

| Pond | 2006 Temp. <br> Range ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ C | 2006 Temp. <br> Avg. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | 2005 Temp. <br> Avg. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | 2004 Temp. <br> Avg. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2W | $12.7-33.6$ | 21.0 | 20.0 | 20.3 |
| A3W | $13.9-30.3$ | 21.5 | 20.9 | 20.0 |
| A7 | $13.8-29.3$ | 21.3 | 20.1 | 19.6 |
| A14 | $13.7-31.4$ | 20.4 | 19.4 | NA |
| A16 | $16.2-32.6$ | 22.9 | 22.3 | NA |

### 2.2.4 Temperature Compliance

The Alviso Complex was in compliance with the Final Order for temperature 100 percent during the 2006 season.

### 2.2.5 pH Compliance

Levels of pH varied differently in each Pond System, but were generally greater than 8.5. (Table 2-3). As stated in the Final Order: "The Discharger may determine compliance with the pH limitation at the point of discharge or in the receiving water."

- In A2W, pH in the pond increased to a high of 9.15 for two 15 minute intervals on September 15, 2006, and then oscillated throughout the year with an average of 8.2. In the letter dated May 16, 2006 from the RWQCB to the FWS, which eliminates receiving water sampling for Pond A2W. There were no receiving water samples taken for Pond A2W (Refer to Figure A-13 in Appendix A).
- Pond System A3W followed a similar pattern as Pond System A2W with an average of 8.2 and a high of 9.86. In 2006, Pond System A3W pH was similar to data collected during 2004 and 2005. There were no receiving water samples that were above 8.5. (Refer to Figure A-14 in Appendix A).
- Pond System A7 stayed generally between 8.5 and 9.0 throughout the 2006 and 2005 season, and increased to a high of 9.71 on May 28, 2006 with a season average of 8.3. There were no receiving water samples that were above 8.5. (Refer to Figure A-15 and A-16 in Appendix A).
- Pond System A14 averaged 8.5 with an initial pH release of 8.79 in 2005. There were no receiving water samples that were above 8.5 (Refer to Figure A-17 in Appendix A).
- Pond System A16 had an initial pH of 8.69 upon release in 2005. Throughout the 2006 season, the pH fluctuated from 7.2 and 10.2. The season's average was 8.9 . In 2006, there was one surface and bottom
sample taken in the receiving water outside the discharge with readings above 8.5 (Refer to Figure A-18 and A-25 in Appendix A).

Table 2-3
pH Ranges

| Pond | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6} \mathbf{~ \mathbf { H }}$ <br> Range | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6} \mathbf{~ p H}$ <br> Avg. | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5} \mathbf{~ \mathbf { H }}$ <br> Avg. | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4} \mathbf{~ p H}$ <br> Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2W | $7.51-9.15$ | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| A3W | $7.00-9.86$ | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| A7 | $7.59-9.71$ | 8.3 | 8.67 | 8.8 |
| A14 | $8.12-9.08$ | 8.5 | 8.42 | NA |
| A16 | $7.23-10.2$ | 8.9 | 8.73 | NA |

For 2006, levels of pH in receiving waters went above the 8.5 discharge limit on two occasions near the discharge point of Pond A16. On May 19, 2006 a bottom sample recorded the pH of 8.73. The other sample was on June 22, 2006 a surface and bottom sample were taken near Pond A16 discharge point in Artesian slough which recorded 8.73 and 8.76 respectively. Table $2-4$ shows the only samples taken in 2005 and 2006 that had readings above 8.5 in the receiving waters.

Table 2-4
pH in Receiving Waters

| Sample | Date | Time | Sample <br> Level | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | pH | DO <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ | Salinity <br> $(\mathrm{ppt})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A7-1 | $9 / 9 / 05$ | $12: 01$ | Surface | 20.42 | 7.98 | 5.63 | 8.68 |
| A7-1 | $9 / 9 / 05$ | $12: 03$ | Bottom | 20.52 | 8.70 | 4.54 | 24.05 |
| A16-1 | $5 / 19 / 06$ | $7: 26$ | Bottom | 22.82 | 8.73 | 3.39 | 8.73 |
| A16-1 | $6 / 22 / 06$ | $15: 32$ | Surface | 30.17 | 8.73 | 8.83 | 10.54 |
| A16-1 | $6 / 22 / 06$ | $15: 34$ | Bottom | 30.15 | 8.76 | 8.72 | 10.62 |

### 2.2.6 Dissolved Oxygen

For the 2006 season, the dissolved oxygen was once again problematic for achieving compliance with the Final Order. Dissolved oxygen levels in the pond water, calculated on a weekly basis during discharge, were required to remain above a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Significant algae growth and decomposition in these ponds appeared to cause fluctuating diurnal oxygen levels throughout the Alviso Ponds Complex during the summer months. The FWS continued to make adjustments and modifications to the Alviso Ponds Complex (see Section 3, Corrective Actions Taken) in order to improve the dissolved oxygen levels.

Pond System A2W in 2006 had a total of 28 recordable sampling weeks, which had a weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile higher then $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ for 25 of the 28 weeks. Monitoring efforts showed that dissolved oxygen levels in Pond A2W for 2006 increased greatly from 2005. Pond A2W was operated as partially muted tidal for much of the summer, which proved to alleviate most of the dissolved oxygen concerns (see Section 3, Corrective Actions

Taken). The 2004 data (Figure 2-2) shows that for 13 weeks of monitoring, based on a weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile, 10 weeks were above the $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ trigger. In 2005, Pond A2W showed 10 weeks of a higher weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile than $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

For the 2006 season, the FWS extended the existing flow diversion baffles (see Section 3, Corrective Actions Taken) in Pond A3W to move the flow of water away from algae buildup and with hopes to further increase oxygen uptake. Pond System A3W was monitored for 28 weeks in 2006. It had a weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile higher then $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ for 12 weeks, compared to 2005 data which had 22 weeks with a higher $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 2-3). Since the discharge point for Pond A3W was located near the edge of a large algal mat, water currents caused discharge waters to flow through the area of algae buildup which resulted in consistently depressed dissolved oxygen levels as seen in the data since the ponds were opened in 2004.


Figure 2-2: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A2W


Figure 2-3: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A3W

In 2006, Pond System A7 was operating as a continuous flow with the intake at Pond A5, and the discharge point in Pond A7. Seven weeks into the monitoring season, Pond A7 water control structure had a major failure which caused this structure to be inoperable. Pond A7 discharge point was completely closed for the remainder of the season, which did not intake or discharge any water. The datasonde was then removed from Pond A7 and moved to Pond A5. Pond A5 was then operated as partially muted


Figure 2-4: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A7 / Pond A5
for the rest of the 2006 monitoring season. In 2006, Pond System A7 was monitored for 27 weeks where the weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile was above $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for 25 weeks, compared to 2005 data showing a weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile above $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for 13 weeks (see Figure 2-4).

Pond System A14 had 28 weeks of monitoring (Figure 2-5). There were zero weeks which had a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile value of greater than $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, compared to 2005 which had 6 weeks of a higher $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (this was a 2005 Initial Release Pond, with no 2004 data available). Several Corrective Actions were taken during the 2005 season to try and improve the weekly percentile (see Section 3, Corrective Actions Taken). This Pond System has extremely low DO levels that did not respond to any actions taken thus far for improvement.

Pond System A16 had a continuous monitor installed at the discharge point for 28 weeks (Figure 2-6 and Figure A-25). The dissolved oxygen levels were above the 3.3 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ trigger for 18 weeks. This Pond System for the 2006 season was initially operated as muted tidal for 17 days, then because of low DO readings with high pH readings, this system was switched to continuous flow with Pond A17 as the intake and Pond A16 the discharge (see Section 3, Corrective Actions Taken).

Pond A14 DO in 10th percentile mg/L

| -2005 data |
| :--- |
| -2006 data |
| $-3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ trigger |



Figure 2-5: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A14

Pond A16 DO in 10th percentile mg/L


Figure 2-6: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A16

### 2.2.7 Dissolved Oxygen Compliance

The FWS has again struggled with DO compliance in the 2006 season. The Pond Systems produced high algal growth (Figure 2-7) that could have caused dissolved oxygen levels to vary significantly over the course of the day. This is because during daylight hours, photosynthesis will produce oxygen and consume dissolved carbon dioxide (which behaves similar to carbonic acid). During nighttime hours, respiration will produce dissolved carbon dioxide and consume oxygen. Therefore, any significant algal growth will cause dissolved oxygen to peak during the late afternoon and to be at their lowest levels in pre-dawn (Final Order). Compliance with the Final Order limits is also dependent on factors beyond the FWS control, such as strength of tides, rainfall, and temperature. Table 2-5 below indicates the percentage of weeks that the dissolved oxygen met or exceeded a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.


Figure 2-7: Algae Growth in Pond A17

Table 2-5
Alviso Complex DO Compliance with a weekly $10^{\text {th }}$ Percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

| Pond <br> System | Total No. of <br> Sampling Weeks | 2006 data | 2005 data | 2004 data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2W | 28 | $89 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| A3W | 28 | $43 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| A7 | 27 | $89 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| A14 | 28 | $0 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| A16 | 28 | $64 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| DO levels in the pond water, calculated on a weekly basis, were required to remain above a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of 3.3 mg/L. |  |  |  |  |
| Calculations were based on discharge times only. |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2.8 Water Column Sampling for Metals.

Water column sample collection for metals were eliminated for monitoring by the RWQCB in a letter dated May 16, 2006. The results of the 2005 metal collections showed that all metal concentrations were well below their respective water quality objectives. The salinity limit contained in the Final Order should act as an adequate
surrogate for metals since the mechanism for both salinity and metals to increase is by evaporation.

### 2.2.9 Invertebrates

Ecological monitoring of benthic invertebrates can be a useful tool for detecting the impacts of water quality changes over time, as they can provide consistent responses to environmental stressors. The results for benthic invertebrates are listed in Appendix G.

### 2.2.10 Receiving Water Sampling

Receiving water analyses
The receiving water data was collected for the Alviso Complex from May - October 2006 (Figure 2-8). The surface and bottom samples that were taken show close approximations of water quality parameters to Newark slough (Figure 2-9), which provides a reference of ambient conditions in sloughs. The receiving water and Newark slough data show a natural variation of DO which are assumed to not be affected from the discharge of the Salt Ponds (Table 2-7).

Samples that were taken just outside the discharge point of the Ponds which show some salinity stratification between the surface and bottom samples that are most likely caused from the Ponds discharge. Figures A1 - A25 in Appendix A, plots the receiving water samples collected and the Pond discharge for water quality parameters of salinity, temperature, pH , and DO for each system in the Alviso Complex. Therefore, it may be assumed that water entering into a Pond system may already have depreciated DO values which may result in further depressed oxygen levels due to high nutrient levels and other biotic factors functioning in the Pond systems. USGS will further evaluate the water quality in and around the Pond systems which will be reported to the RWQCB by April 1, 2006.

Table 2-7
DO of Alviso Complex Receiving Water vs. Newark Slough

| Pond System | Total No. of <br> Samples Taken | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile of all <br> Surface Samples $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile of all Bottom <br> Samples $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newark Slough | 99 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| A3W | 128 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| A7 | 42 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| A14 | 58 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| A16 | 118 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| DO levels at the ponds discharge point, calculated on a weekly basis, were required to remain above a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| Calculations were based on receiving water times only. |  |  |  |



Figure 2-8: Receiving Water Sampling Locations


Figure 2-9: Newark Sampling Locations

### 2.2.11 Additional Water Quality Sampling

To better help understand the water quality in and around the South Bay Salt Ponds, additional sampling was done by the FWS. Newark slough located south of the Dumbarton Bridge, and North of the Alviso Pond system, which is independent of any discharge coming from the Salt Ponds. In 2005 and 2006, samples were collected from nine different sites in Newark slough (Figure 2-9). On August 2, 2005, 18 samples were collected from the surface and the bottom, with seven recorded samples having an instantaneous value of less than $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Samples were collected from June October in 2006 with a total of 99 surface and bottom recordings. There were 36 bottom samples of the total 99 samples that had an instantaneous value of less than 5.0 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and 28 surface samples of the total 99 samples that had an instantaneous value of less than $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 2-10). The sampling in Newark slough shows that DO in areas completely unaffected by Salt Ponds discharge can have dissolved oxygen values of less than $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.


Figure 2-10: Newark slough DO

The pH in the Newark slough remained fairly constant throughout the monitoring period with a mean of 7.7 for both surface and bottom samples (Figure 2-11). The highest recorded pH value was on July 5, 2006 with a reading of 7.93 . The lowest reading was on July 19, 2006 with a reading of 7.50 .

During the 2006 monitoring of the Newark slough, there was a steady rise in salinity of both surface and bottom samples. The surface and bottom sample averages for salinity were very similar, indicating little stratification in the slough (Figure 2-12).

The temperature remained consistent for the 2005 and 2006 sampling period (Figure 213).


Figure 2-11: Newark slough pH


Figure 2-12: Newark slough salinity


Figure 2-13: Newark slough Temperature

### 2.3 SedimentMonitoring Summary

Sediment cores were collected for analysis of total mercury ( THg ) and methyl mercury ( meHg ) during winter 2005 from the Alviso salt ponds as part of continuing monitoring to establish baseline concentrations in the sediments of the ponds comprising the South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project. Results reported were summarized as Appendix B in the 2005 Annual Self Monitoring Report.

As per the RWQCB letter dated May 16, 2006 it states "Furthermore, while not a revision to the self-monitoring program, this letter supports USFWS efforts to direct mercury monitoring funds towards the study centered on Pond A8 and Alviso Slough". The Pond A8 and Alviso Slough sediment monitoring is still in the data collection phase. The FWS will report all information to the RWQCB when it becomes available.

## SECTION 3

## Corrective Actions Taken

This section summarizes and analyzes the effectiveness of corrective methods that were taken by the FWS in an attempt to improve water quality within each pond system, as well as when the receiving waters approached limits stated by the Final Order were appearing to be reached. The Final Order states that if summer monitoring shows that DO levels at the discharges fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will accelerate receiving water monitoring to weekly, conduct within pond monitoring, and consult with the RWQCB as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels will be implemented (see Operations Plans Appendix B through F):

- Increase the flows in the system by opening the inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, fully open the discharge gate to allow the pond to become fully muted tidal or partial muted tidal system until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the Bay or slough (see Section 3.1-Pond System A2W).
- Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake (see Section 3.2 - Pond System A3W).
- Install Solar aerators used to circulate waters.
- Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
- Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night when DO levels are typically at their lowest
- Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern. This is a daily operation of discharge gates, closing the discharge gates at night (when the DO is typically at the lowest) and then opening them in the morning when the DO levels have
reverted to higher levels. However, this is not a long term solution for resolving DO issues and the FWS will continue to consult with the RWQCB on best management practices.
- Mechanically harvest dead algae. Mechanically harvesting algae would be very difficult and expensive considering how large the ponds are. This might work on a very limited basis such as removing the dead algae from around the discharge structure, but it is difficult to find a place to dry and dispose of the harvested algae in our highly urban environment. The algae would smell and the local landfills do not want us to bring our salt laden dead algae into their green waste disposal systems.


### 3.1 POND SYSTEM A2W

This pond system discharges directly into the Bay over an exposed mud-flat at low tide that is approximately $11 / 2$ kilometers before it reaches the receiving waters. Most of the discharge water is dissipated into the mud-flat before it reaches the receiving waters. For this reason, the FWS used Pond System A2W as a control pond with few corrective actions taken. The discharge from Pond A2W would have minimal if any effect on its water quality. One action that was taken to improve the dissolved oxygen at the discharge point was to make Pond A2W partially muted tidal on May 8, 2006. The compliance of Pond system A2W increased from $36 \%$ in 2005, to $89 \%$ in 2006. The FWS believes that leaving this system to as close to full muted tidal as possible during the monitoring season will help alleviate DO issues. There are two islands within this Pond system that have nesting birds during the breeding season. The FWS did not make Pond A2W full muted tidal for fear of disturbing nesting birds with the fluctuating water levels that occur with a muted tidal system.

### 3.2 POND SYSTEM A3W

In the 2004 season, Pond A3W discharged dissolved oxygen that was in compliance with the Final Order for only one week (Figure 2-3). To evaluate why dissolved oxygen levels in Pond A3W were severely depressed on a consistent basis (i.e., below $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), the FWS performed two surveys and determined the low dissolved oxygen levels in the Pond A3W discharge were the result of a large mat of decaying algae in one area of the pond, and were not representative of the general state of the pond. Since the discharge point for Pond A3W was located near the edge of this algal mat, water currents caused discharge waters to flow through the area of algae buildup which resulted in consistently depressed dissolved oxygen levels. On April 14, 2005 the FWS installed a set of baffles to move the flow of water away from algae buildup to increase oxygen uptake (Figure 31). The FWS extended these baffles for 2006 with hopes of increasing oxygen uptake to the discharge. The compliance for Pond system A3W fell from $76 \%$ in 2005 to $43 \%$ in 2006. While the baffles have not completely solved the dissolved oxygen issues for Pond A3W, they have greatly improved the water quality being discharged into the receiving waters since the initial release in 2004.


Figure 3-1: Baffles at Pond A3W discharge

### 3.3 POND SYstem A7

In 2005, a corrective measure taken at Pond system A7 to improve DO was to install 4 SolarBee Circulators. The SolarBee's are designed to circulate water by bringing water from deeper (low oxygenated areas) and sending it passively across the surface causing a mixing action with generally higher dissolved oxygen values. At the end of the 2005 season, the SolarBees were seen to be ineffective in producing higher dissolved oxygen values, and thus removed for the 2006 season. As a result of the circulators futility in 2006, the FWS installed baffles at the discharge point for Pond system A7 with hopes of improving DO. However, on June 1, 2006, Pond A7 water control structure failed due to moving piles which hold the headwall in place. This resulted in uncontrollable discharge and intake of water flow. The culverts were then immediately plugged so that no water flow could occur through this water control structure. The continuous monitor was then moved to Pond A5 discharge point. Without the ability to have a flow through operation in this system, Pond A5 was made partially muted tidal. Using partially muted tidal as opposed to fully muted tidal which has both tide gates open 100 percent allows more control of water levels within the Pond to assure enough freeboard on the levees. The results of this corrective action raised the DO compliance to $89 \%$ in 2006, compared to only $45 \%$ in 2005.

### 3.4 POND SYstem A14

This is a four pond system that was initially released on April 31, 2005. The salinity was below the 44 ppt. limit at the time of initial discharge. Pond A14's continuous monitor at the discharge had weekly dissolved oxygen readings with a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $<2.0$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for the majority of the 2005 and 2006 season (see Figure 2-5). Many corrective actions were taken to try and improve the DO values; none of them seem to be effective. Corrective actions taken in 2005:

- June 27 - July 29: close the system down to a two pond system, an intake (Pond A9) and discharge pond (Pond A14). Both of the intake and discharge gates were open 100 percent to allow as much water flow as possible minimizing residence time.
- July 29 - August 30: make Pond A14 discharge 100\% muted tidal.
- September 6: install a set of flow diversion baffles.
- October 4 - December 7: closed discharge gates completely to protect receiving water quality.

None of the above corrective actions taken improved the water quality for Pond System A14 in 2005. The FWS believes that a limiting factor to increasing the water quality for this system is the channel that discharges water from Pond A14 to Coyote Creek (see Figure 3-2). This channel is approximately 800 feet in length. It was intentionally excavated as narrow as thought possible to reduce impacts to native species with the hopes that it would scour out naturally. This scouring has not occurred; the sides of this discharge channel have actually fallen into the channel reducing water flow to extremely minimal levels. The FWS believes that the water quality and effective corrective actions that can be taken for this Pond system relies on the ability for the system to discharge a proper amount of water volume. This channel was originally scheduled to be excavated in 2005, but due to contractors increased work load, this project will occur in early 2007. Due to the lessons learned from corrective actions taken in 2005, no new actions were taken in 2006 to improve water quality.


Figure 3-2: Pond A14 discharge channel at high tide

### 3.5 Pond System A16

This pond system was initially released on April 31, 2005 with a salinity of 70 ppt. There are two ponds in this system with an intake at Pond A17 (coming from Coyote slough) and a discharge at Pond A16 (into Artesian slough). The systems flow is reversed during the winter to prevent entrapment of migrating salmonids coming from Coyote Creek. The intake and discharge gates were open 100 percent for most of the season because of the ability to control water levels with a weir box at both water control structures. Having the gates fully open causes a short residence time for moving water through this system and the dissolved oxygen was in compliance for $64 \%$ of the 2006 season.

As part of our Corrective Actions explained in the Operations Plan, when dissolved oxygen levels fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (based on a calendar week), one option is to close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night when DO levels are typically at their lowest. This corrective action was tried in August of 2005 due to reaching non-compliance concentrations for DO. However, by closing the gates and not allowing depleted oxygenated levels from reaching the receiving waters, this action tremendously impaired the water quality with in the pond. As a result of this corrective action, the DO levels within the pond dropped below $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for a period of 11 hours. These actions are believed to have caused the pelagic fish to be stressed and were easily preyed upon by gulls and other piscivorous species. In August of 2005, Pond system A16 was then operated as muted tidal which improved DO dramatically at that time.

With lessons learned from corrective actions taken in 2005, the FWS operated Pond system A16 as muted tidal on May 1, 2006. While muted tidal systems appear to increase oxygen levels when compared to ponds operating in a continuous circulation mode, for Pond A16, the intake is mainly dominated with the effluent from the San Jose Water Pollution Control Plant which has very low levels of salinity (ppt). The salinity acts as a buffering agent for pH , so with low salinity levels, the pH in Pond A 16 became fluctuated during muted tidal operation and caused similar fish stress as seen during low DO readings (see Figure 3-3). On May 18, 2006 the system was reverted back to a continuous flow operation with the intake at Pond A17, and the discharge at Pond A16. While this stabilized the pH with in the pond, the DO was unable to remain above the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for $36 \%$ of the 2006 monitoring season.

Pond A17 of the Pond A16 system remained as partially muted tidal with gate open less than $10 \%$ for most of the season. This partial opening not only helps water quality in Pond A17, but it also keeps sediment from building up in and around the trashrack. A continuous monitor was also attached to Pond A17 discharge point which had a DO compliance of $85 \%$ during 2006.


Figure 3-3: Pond A16 pH during muted tidal and continuous flow

## Section 4 <br> Plan to Achieve compliance

Maintaining dissolved oxygen levels in the ponds has been the major water quality challenge for the ISP. A number of corrective actions were implemented in 2005 and 2006 to raise dissolved oxygen in the ponds. Some of these actions improved DO levels, and some did not. Based on the lessons learned, for the 2007 season, the FWS once again plans on changing methods of operation as the need arises to improve water quality compliance

### 3.1 POND SYsTEM A2W

Starting in May of 2007, the FWS plan to again open Pond A2W discharge to a partially muted tidal system. Having the system partially muted will allow better control of the pond water levels. This will decrease the risk of flooding nesting birds located on several islands within the system during breeding season. With the Bay waters able to enter the discharge pond, it should improve the water quality within the system. Through trial and error, the gates will have to be adjusted to find equilibrium of water in-flow at Pond A1, and the water intake / discharge at Pond A2W and also account for evaporation during the summer. By operating Pond A2W as partial muted tidal, the compliance went from $36 \%$ in 2005 to $89 \%$ in 2006.

### 3.2 POND SYSTEM A3W

Flow diversion baffles will once again remain in place during the 2007 season. The baffles have proven to raise the DO concentrations at the discharge in 2005. At the discharge point in Pond A3W, one of the three gates has the ability to allow water inflow as a partial muted tidal operation. Although Pond A3W was being operated minimally as partially muted tidal, the FWS will attempt to increase the muted tidal capabilities by further opening the intake at Pond A3W.

Water levels in Pond AB1 and Pond AB2 of Pond A3W system will be lowered during the summer to improve shorebird nesting and foraging habitat.

### 3.3 Pond System A7

For the 2007 season, Pond A7 water control structure will again be functional after having necessary repairs made to the pilings and headwall. The in-flow gates at Pond A5 and Pond A7 will remain partially open to allow for a muted tidal operation. The gates and weir boards will be adjusted through the season to find the optimum balance of water level and levee freeboard. A set of flow diversion baffles will remain in place at the discharge point in Pond A7. They extend approximately 150 feet into the pond on either side of the discharge gates, and should provide maximum oxygen discharge from shallow areas of the pond. A continuous monitor will be attached to Pond A5 and Pond A7 discharge points in order to record water quality parameters.

### 3.4 Pond System Al4

Pond A14 system will once again be a challenge to stay in compliance with the Final Order. The FWS believes that the outflow discharge channel is a limiting factor to achieve higher water quality concentrations. This discharge channel is planned to be widened before the May 2007 water quality season, which should improve DO and salinity compliance.

### 3.5 POND SYSTEM Al 6

Due to observations and lessons learned in 2006, it will be recommended to open this system to continuous flow mode year round. The intake will be from Pond A17 and the discharge point at Pond A16. Pond A17 will remain partially muted tidal to increase DO, and reduce sediment buildup around the trashrack. The water levels will be set by adjusting weir boards at the water control structures. Due to fish distress in August 2005 and May 2006 in Pond A16, it is recommended to not shut this system gates completely or operate as muted tidal at Pond A16 which could cause detrimental impact to the pelagic and benthic organisms.

## SECTION 5 <br> Monitiring plan modircations

During the 2005 monitoring period the FWS was asked by the RWQCB to provide the time period each day that ponds discharge as well as an estimate for the quantity of discharge into the sloughs. This will provide context of the amount of pond waters entering sloughs and the Bay relative to ambient flows, intermittent nature of the discharges, and to document the effect of manipulating flow rates on receiving water quality. USGS has produced a model for discharge volumes that is still under internal review. This information will be provided to the RWQCB when it becomes available to the FWS.

The FWS has also contracted USGS to evaluate the discharges and receiving waters that is affected by the Alviso Salt Ponds since the ISP was implemented. An analysis will be provided to the RWQCB by April 1, 2007.

## Appendix A Additional Figures



Figure A-1: Salinity of Pond A2W


Figure A-2: Salinity of Pond A3W vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-3: Salinity of Pond A5 vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-4: Salinity of Pond A7 vs. Alviso Slough


Figure A-5: Salinity of Pond A14 vs. Coyote Creek


Figure A-6: Salinity of Pond A16 vs. Artesian Slough


Figure A-7: Temperature of Pond A2W


Figure A-8: Temperature of Pond A3W vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-9: Temperature of Pond A5 vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-10: Temperature of Pond A7 vs. Alviso Slough


Figure A-11: Temperature of Pond A14 vs. Coyote Creek


Figure A-12: Temperature of Pond A16 vs. Artesian Slough


Figure A-13: pH of Pond A2W


Figure A-14: pH of Pond A3W vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-15: pH of Pond A5 vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-16: pH of Pond A7 vs. Alviso Slough


Figure A-17: pH of Pond A14 vs. Coyote Creek


Figure A-18: pH of Pond A16 vs. Artesian Slough


Figure A-19: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A2W


Figure A-20: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A3W vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-21: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A5 vs. Guadalupe Slough


Figure A-22: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A7 vs. Alviso Slough


Figure A-23: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A14 vs. Coyote Creek


Figure A-24: Dissolved Oxygen of Pond A16 vs. Artesian Slough


Figure A-25: Mean Values of Pond A16 for All Water Quality Parameters

Appendix B Water Management Operation Plan POND SYstem A2W
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## Objectives

Maintain full tidal circulation through ponds A1 and A2W while maintaining discharge salinities to the Bay at less than 40 ppt and meet the other water quality requirements in the Water Board's Waste Discharge Permit. This program will also include monitoring for pH , dissolved oxygen, temperature, avian botulism, and potential for inorganic mobilization.

## Structures

The A2W system includes the following structures needed for water circulation in the ponds:

- Existing 48" gate intake at A1 from lower Charleston Slough
- New NGVD gauge at A1
- Existing 72" siphon under Mountain View Slough between A1 and A2W
- Existing staff gauge (no datum) at A1
- New 48" gate outlet structure with 24 ' weir box at A2W to the Bay
- New NGVD gauge at A2W
- Note that existing siphon to A2E should be closed


## System Description

The intake for the A2W system is located at the northwest end of pond A1 and includes one 48" gate from lower Charleston Slough near the Bay. The system outlet is located at the north end of pond A2W, with one 48 " gate to the Bay. The flow through the system proceeds from the intake at A1 though the 72 " siphon under Mountain View Slough to A2W. An existing siphon under Stevens Creek to Pond A2E was used for salt pond operations. It should remain closed for normal operations, though it is available for unforeseen circumstances.

Operations of the A2W system should require little active management of gate openings to maintain appropriate flows. Summer and winter operations are described below to indicate predicted operating levels during the dry and wet seasons. The system will discharge when the tide is below 3.6 ft . MLLW.

## Summer Operation

The summer operation is intended to provide circulation flow to makeup for evaporation during the summer season. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 19 cfs , or 38 acrefeet/day, with an outlet flow of about 14 cfs ( 28 acre-feet/day). The summer operation would normally extend from May through October.

Summer Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 277 | -1.8 | -0.4 | 2.0 |
| A2W | 429 | -2.4 | -0.5 | NA |

## Summer Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 intakes | 50 | 19 |
| A2W | 100 | 48 |
| Weir | -1.2 ft NGVD | 6 boards |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A2W is the primary control for the pond system. The outlet at A2W includes both a control gate and control weir. Either may be used to limit flow through the system. The system flow is limited by the outlet capacity. Normal operation would have the outlet gates fully open, and the weir set at elevation -1.2 ft NGVD, approximately 0.7 feet below the normal water level. The normal water level in A2W should be at -0.5 ft NGVD in summer. The level may vary by 0.2 due to the influence of weak and strong tides.

The A1 intake gate can be adjusted to control the overall flow though the system. The maximum water level in either A1 or A2W should generally be less than 1.2 ft NGVD. This is to maintain freeboard on the internal levees, limit wind wave erosion, and to preserve existing islands within the system used by nesting birds.

## Design Water Level Ranges

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Elev. <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) | Minimum <br> Water Elev. <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Minimum <br> Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | -0.4 | 1.2 | 3.6 | -0.6 | 1.8 |
| A2W | -0.5 | 1.1 | NA | -0.7 | NA |

The minimum and maximum water levels are based on our observations in the ponds for the period 2005.

There is no existing staff gage in pond A2W. Therefore, there is no record of existing minimums and maximums. Based on system hydraulics, pond A2W would typically be about 0.1 feet below pond A1.

100 Percent Coverage Water Level

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | -0.4 | -0.7 | 1.7 |
| A2W | -0.5 | NA | NA |

The 100 percent coverage values represent the estimated water level which begins to expose part of the pond bottom area. Lower water levels would expose large areas of the pond bottom to drying and may cause odor problems.

## Salinity Control

The summer salinity in the system will increase from the intake at A1 to the outlet at A2W, due to evaporation within the system. The design maximum salinity for the discharge at A2W is 40 ppt. The intake flow at A1 should be increased when the salinity in A2W is close to 35 ppt . If the gate at A1 is fully open, the flow can be increased by lowering the weir elevation at the A2W outlet structure. Increased flow will increase the water level in A2W. Water levels above elevation 1.1 ft NGVD should be avoided as they may increase wave erosion of the levees.

## Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control

If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in discharges from the Pond A2W fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will conduct within-pond monitoring and notify and consult with the Water Board as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels in discharge water should be implemented:

1. Increase the flows in the system by opening the A1 inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, open the A2W gate to allow the pond to become fully muted or partially muted tidal system until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the Creek.
2. Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake.
3. Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern.
4. Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
5. Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night.
6. Mechanically harvest dead algae.

To help minimize significant downtime on continuous monitoring devices used for DO and pH , the FWS will:

1. Have an extra monitor on hand, in case there is a break down.
2. Get a loaner unit through Hydrolab (within a week), if the extra monitor is being used.
3. Work with Hydrolab to insure a quick repair of monitors (within 2 weeks).

## Avian botulism

Avian botulism outbreaks most typically occur in late summer/early fall when warm temperatures and an abundance of decaying organic matter (vegetation and invertebrates) combine to present ideal conditions for the anaerobic soil bacterium Clostridium botulism along water bodies. If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in the pond drop the BMPs listed under the section on Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control will be implemented to increase the DO. Monitoring of weather for long periods of hot, dry, windless days during late August and early September will trigger on the ground monitoring for any signs of botulism. FWS will be in contact with the adjacent landowners such as the San Jose and Sunnyvale Treatment plants to determine if botulism is occurring on their ponds. Additionally, if any bird carcasses in the ponds or nearby receiving waters are observed, they will be promptly collected and disposed of.

## Winter Operation

The winter operation is intended to provide less circulation flow than the summer operation. Evaporation is normally minimal during the winter. The winter operation is intended to limit large inflows during storm tide periods and to allow rain water to drain from the system.

The average total circulation inflow is approximately 9 cfs, or 18 acre-feet/day, with an outlet flow of about 9 cfs (18 acre-feet/day). The winter operation period would normally extend from November through April. The proposed gate settings are intended to limit the intake flow, and flow within the system.

## Winter Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 277 | -1.8 | -0.6 | 1.8 |
| A2W | 429 | -2.4 | -0.6 | NA |

## Winter Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 intakes | 30 | 12 |
| A2W | 100 | 48 |
| Weir | -1.2 ft NGVD | 6 boards |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A2W is the primary control for the pond system. The system flow is limited by the both the intake and outlet capacities. Normal winter operation would have the intake gate partially open to reduce inflow during extreme storm tides. Water levels in the ponds are controlled by the outlet weir setting. The normal winter water level in A2W should be at -0.6 ft NGVD, approximately 0.6 ft above the outlet weir. The pond water level may vary by 0.2 ft due to the influence of weak and strong tides, and over 0.5 ft due to storms

During winter operations, the water levels should not fall below the outlet weir elevation. If the elevation does decrease in April, it may be necessary to begin summer operation in April instead of May.

During winter operations, if the water levels exceed approximately 1.2 ft NGVD, the A1 intake should be closed to allow the excess water to drain. Note that without rainfall or inflow, it will take approximately 3 weeks to drain 1.0 ft from the ponds.

## Salinity Control

The winter salinity in the system may decrease from the intake at A1 to the outlet at A2W, due to rainfall inflows within the system, which may exceed winter evaporation. During very wet winters, the intake salinities and system salinities may decrease to as low as 11 ppt .

## Monitoring

The system monitoring will require weekly site visits to record pond and intake readings. The monitoring parameters are listed below.

Weekly Monitoring Program

| Location | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 intakes | Salinity |
| A1 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A2W | Depth, Salinity, Observations |

The weekly monitoring program will include visual pond observations to locate potential algae buildup or signs of avian botulism, as well as visual inspections of water control structures, siphons and levees. This program will also include supplementary DO monitoring when problems are identified in the formal monitoring listed below.

Additional monitoring required by the RWQCB discharge permit includes the following:

| Location | Frequency | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2W(discharge) | Continuous (May-Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
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## Objectives

Maintain full tidal circulation through ponds B1, B2, A2E, and A3W while maintaining discharge salinities to Guadalupe Slough at less than 40 ppt and meet the other water quality requirements in the Water Board's Waste Discharge Permit. This program will also include monitoring for pH , dissolved oxygen, temperature, avian botulism, and potential for inorganic mobilization.

Maintain pond A3N as a seasonal pond. If results of wildlife population monitoring indicate the need, operate pond A3N as a batch pond (i.e., at higher salinities).

Maintain water surface levels lower in winter to reduce potential overtopping of A3W levee adjacent to Moffett Field.

## Structures

The A3W system includes the following structures needed for water circulation in the ponds:

- Existing 36" gate intake structure from the Bay at B1
- New 48" gate intake from the Bay at B1
- New 48" gate between B1 and A2E
- Existing $2 x 36$ " pipes in series between A2E and A3W (no gates).
- New 36" gate between B2 and A3W
- Existing gap between B1 and B2
- Existing 24" gate between B2 and A3N
- Existing 24" gate between A3N and A3W
- New 3x48" gate outlet at A3W to Guadalupe Slough. Two are outlet only, and one allows both inflow and outflow, no weir.
- Existing staff gauges at all ponds and new NGVD gauges at all ponds
- Existing siphon from A2W is closed, but available if needed


## System Description

The intake for the A3W system is located at the northeast end of pond B1 and includes one 48" gate and one 36 " gate from the bay. The system outlet is located at the eastern end of pond A3W, with three 48" gates into Guadalupe Slough. The normal flow through the system follows two parallel routes. One route is from B1 to A2E and then to A3W. The second route is from B 1 to B 2 and then to A3W. Flow through the two routes is controlled by gates from B1 to A2E, and from B2 to A3W. There is an uncontrolled gap between ponds B1 and B2. Due to the size of pond A2E, the majority of the flow should be through A2E, with only minimal circulation flow through B2. Because of the flap gates and the relative elevation of the tides and pond levels, all gravity intake flow would occur at high tide, and all outflows would occur when the tide is below 3.1 ft . MLLW.

Pond A3N is a seasonal pond. Therefore, for the ISP period, the pond will be drained, and left to partially fill with rain water during the winter and to evaporate completely during the summer. However, if wildlife population monitoring during this period indicates the need for additional higher salinity habitats or if mercury monitoring indicates an increase in methylation due to reduction in water levels, Pond A3N could be operated as a batch pond.

## Summer Operation

The summer operation is intended to provide circulation flow to makeup for evaporation during the summer season. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 35 cfs , or 70 acrefeet/day. The summer operation would normally extend from May through October.

## Summer Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 | 142 | -0.8 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| B2 | 170 | -0.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| A2E | 310 | -3.1 | -0.5 | 3.0 |
| A3W | 560 | -3.2 | -1.4 | 2.1 |
| A3N | 163 | -1.4 | NA | NA |

* Pond B1 and B2 will be operated at lower water levels on an experimental basis in an attempt to improve shorebird nesting and foraging habitat. If water quality or operations are jeopardized from lower water levels in Ponds B1 or B2, the system will be reverted back to normal operating levels.

Summer Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 west intake | 100 | 36 |
| B1 east intake | 90 | 39 |
| B1 - A2E | 38 | 14 |
| A2E - A3W | NA | NA |
| B2 - A3W | 41 | 12 |
| A3W outlets | 100 | 48 |
| A3W intake | 0 | 0 |
| B2 - A3N | 0 | 0 |
| A3N - A3W | 0 | 0 |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A3W is the primary control for the pond system. The system flow is limited by the outlet capacity. Normal operation would have the outlet gates fully open. Water levels are controlled by the intake gate settings. The normal water level in A3W should be at -1.4 ft NGVD ( 2.1 ft gage). The level may vary by 0.2 due to the influence of weak and strong tides.

The flow through B2 to A3W is only required to maintain circulation through B2. This circulation prevents local stagnant areas which may create areas of higher salinity or algal blooms. The gate can be set to a standard opening and would not require frequent adjustment.

The flow through A2E is controlled by the gates from B1 to A2E. The partial gate opening is to maintain the water level differences between A2E and B1. Again, the setting should not require frequent adjustment. There are no gates on the culverts between A2E and A3W, therefore the water levels in those two ponds should be similar.

The B1 intake gates should be adjusted to control the overall flow though the system. The water levels in B1 (and therefore B2) will change due to the change in inflow. The maximum water level should be less than 1.6 ft NGVD ( 2.5 ft gage). This is to maintain freeboard on the internal levees and limit wind wave erosion.

Water levels in Pond AB1 and Pond AB2 of Pond A3W system will be lowered during the summer to improve shorebird nesting and foraging habitat

## Design Water Level Ranges

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) | Minimum <br> Water Elev. <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Minimum <br> Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| B2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| A2E | -0.5 | -0.2 | 3.3 | -2.0 | 1.5 |
| A3W | -1.4 | -0.2 | 3.3 | -2.0 | 1.5 |
| A3N | NA | NA | 2.6 | NA | NA |

The minimum and maximum water levels are based on our observations in the ponds for the period 2005.

100 Percent Coverage Water Level

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 | 0.4 | -0.8 | 0.1 |
| B2 | 0.4 | -0.8 | 0.1 |
| A2E | -0.5 | -2.2 | 1.3 |
| A3W | -1.4 | -2.7 | 0.8 |
| A3N | NA | NA | NA |

The 100 percent coverage values represent the estimated water level which begins to expose part of the pond bottom area. Lower water levels would expose large areas of the pond bottom to drying and may cause odor problems.

## Salinity Control

The summer salinity in the system will increase from the intake at B1 to the outlet at A3W, due to evaporation within the system. The design maximum salinity for the discharge at A3W is 40 ppt. The intake flow at B1 should be increased when the salinity in A3W is close to 35 ppt. Increased flow will increase the water level in A3W. Water levels in pond A3W above elevation -0.2 ft NGVD ( 3.3 ft gauge) should be avoided as they may increase wave erosion of the levees.

## Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control

If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in discharges from the Pond A3W fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will accelerate receiving water monitoring to weekly, conduct within-pond monitoring and notify and consult with the Water Board as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels in discharge water should be implemented:

1. Increase the flows in the system by opening the B1 inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, open A3W gate to allow the pond to become fully muted tidal or partially muted tidal system until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the slough.
2. Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake.
3. Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern.
4. Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
5. Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night.
6. Mechanically harvest dead algae.

The pH of the discharge is related to the DO of the discharge. If the pH of the discharge falls outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, an analysis of the impact of discharging pH on the receiving waters will be performed. If it is determined that discharge is impacting receiving water pH outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, ammonia monitoring in the receiving water will be done to document potential toxicity affects associated with unionized ammonia.

To help minimize significant downtime on continuous monitoring devices used for DO and pH , the FWS will:

1. Have an extra monitor on hand, in case there is a break down.
2. Get a loaner unit through Hydrolab (within a week), if the extra monitor is being used.
3. Work with Hydrolab to insure a quick repair of monitors (within 2 weeks).

## Avian botulism

Avian botulism outbreaks most typically occur in late summer/early fall when warm temperatures and an abundance of decaying organic matter (vegetation and invertebrates) combine to present ideal conditions for the anaerobic soil bacterium Clostridium botulism along water bodies. If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in the pond drop the BMPs listed under the section on Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control will be implemented to increase the DO. Monitoring of weather for long periods of hot, dry, windless days during late August and early September will trigger on the ground monitoring for any signs of botulism. FWS will be in
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contact with the adjacent landowners such as the San Jose and Sunnyvale Treatment plants to determine if botulism is occurring on their ponds. Additionally, if any bird carcasses in the ponds or nearby receiving waters are observed, they will be promptly collected and disposed of.

## Winter Operation

The winter operation is intended to provide less circulation flow than the summer operation. Evaporation is normally minimal during the winter. The winter operation is intended to limit large inflows during storm tide periods and to allow rain water to drain from the system.

The average total circulation inflow is approximately 16 cfs, or 32 acre-feet/day, with an average outflow of approximately 18 cfs ( 36 acre-feet per day). The winter operation period would normally extend from November through April. The proposed gate settings are intended to limit the intake flow, and flow within the system.

## Winter Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 | 142 | -0.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| B2 | 170 | -0.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| A2E | 310 | -3.1 | -1.8 | 1.7 |
| A3W | 560 | -3.2 | -1.8 | 1.7 |
| A3N | 163 | -1.4 | NA | NA |

## Winter Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 west intake | 34 | 10 |
| B1 east intake | 25 | 10 |
| B1 - A2E | 16 | 6 |
| A2E - A3W | NA | NA |
| B2 - A3W | 21 | 6 |
| A3W outlets | 100 | 48 |
| A3W intake | 0 | 0 |
| B2 - A3N | 0 | 0 |
| A3N - A3W | 0 | 0 |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A3W is the primary control for the pond system. The system flow is limited by the outlet capacity. Normal winter operation would have the A3W outlet gates fully open. Water levels are controlled by the intake gate settings. The normal water level in A3W should be near -1.8 ft NGVD ( 1.7 ft gage). The level may vary by 0.2 due to the influence of weak and strong tides, storm tides, and rainfall inflows.

The water levels in A3W are important to prevent levee overtopping. The south levee separates the pond from the Moffit Field drainage ditch. The levee is low, and subject to erosion with high water levels. If the water level in A3W exceeds -0.6 ft NGVD ( 2.9 ft gage), the intake gate openings at B1 should be reduced or closed. The internal gates from B1 and B2 would also require adjustment. If the water level in A3W exceeds -0.2 ft NGVD ( 3.3 ft gauge), the intake gates and all internal gates should be closed until the water level in A3W is back to normal. This may take one to two weeks depending on the weather. The water levels in the upper ponds (B1,

B2, and A2E) may increase due to rainfall during this period, but are less sensitive to higher water levels. The historic high elevation in pond A3W has been -0.2 ft NGVD ( 3.3 ft gauge).

Whenever possible, the system intake at B1 should be closed in anticipation of heavy winter rains and high tides. When the system intake gates are closed, the internal gates from B1 to A2E and from B2 to A3W should also be closed to keep water in the upper ponds (B1 and B2).

There is no gate between A2E and A3W. During winter operations with reduced flows through the system, the A2E water level will be similar to the A3W water level. During the summer, the higher flows will establish approximately 0.9 ft difference due to the head loss through the two pipes in series which connect the ponds.

## Salinity Control

The winter salinity in the system may decrease from the intake at B1 to the outlet at A3W, due to rainfall inflows within the system, which may exceed winter evaporation. During very wet winters, the intake salinities and system salinities may decrease to as low as 10 ppt .

## Monitoring

The system monitoring will require weekly site visits to record pond and intake readings, as well as to inspect water control structures, siphons and levees. The monitoring parameters are listed below.

Weekly Monitoring Program

| Location | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| B1 intakes | Salinity |
| B1 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| B2 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A2E | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A3W | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A3N | Depth, Salinity, Observations |

The weekly monitoring program will include visual pond observations to locate potential algae buildup or signs of avian botulism, as well as visual inspections of water control structures, siphons and levees. This program will also include supplementary DO monitoring when problems are identified in the formal monitoring listed below.

| Location | Frequency | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A3W(discharge) | Continuous (May-Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
| Guadalupe.Sl. | Monthly (May -Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |

Appendix D Water Management Operation Plan Pond System A7
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## Objectives

Maintain full tidal circulation through ponds A5 and A7 while maintaining discharge salinities to the Bay at less than 40 ppt . and meet the other water quality requirements in the Water Board's Waste Discharge Permit. This program will also include monitoring for pH , dissolved oxygen, temperature, avian botulism, and potential for inorganic mobilization.

Maintain pond A8 as a seasonal pond. If results of wildlife population monitoring indicate the need, operate pond A8 as a batch pond.

Maintain option to reverse flows if needed.

## Structures

The A7 system includes the following structures needed for water circulation in the ponds:

- New 2x48" gate intake at A5 from Guadalupe Slough.
- New cut at the internal levee between A5 and A7.
- Existing 24" control gate from A7 to A8.
- Existing 4,000 gpm pump from A8 to A11. Outlet piping modified to allow discharge to A7 in addition to A11.
- New $2 \times 48$ " gate outlet with two 24 ' weir boxes at A7 into Alviso Slough.
- Existing staff gages in both ponds; New NGVD gages at both new structures
- Existing siphon from A4 should generally be closed.


## System Description

The intake for the A7 system is located at the northwest end of pond A5 and includes two 48inch gates from lower Guadalupe Slough. The system outlet is located at the northeast end of pond A7, with two 48-inch gates to Alviso Slough. In normal operations, the flow through the system starts at the intake at A5 though a cut at the southern end of the levee between A5 and A7, and flows out to Alviso Slough through two 48-inch outlet gates. Both sections of Pond A8 (A8N and A8S) will be operated as seasonal ponds filling with winter rains and generally drying during the summer, though some makeup water can be added A8N through a 24-inch gate from pond A7. If necessary in the future, following bird monitoring studies, A8N may be operated as a batch pond with higher salinities. Because of the flap gates and the relative elevation of the tides and pond levels, all gravity intake flow would occur at high tide, and all outflows would occur when the tide is below 4.8 ft . MLLW.

The Santa Clara Valley Water District has built a weir at Pond A8 to allow flood overflow waters from Alviso Slough to enter the pond during 10-year storm events, or greater. Some flood waters may overtop the levees and enter Ponds A5 and A7 as well. When the ponds fill with floodwaters, the District is responsible for pumping the pond waters back to Alviso Slough or Guadalupe Slough and monitoring for increased mercury levels in sediments/pond waters.

The A7 system can be reversed by changing the control gate settings to intake water from Alviso Slough and release water to Guadalupe Slough. However, the reversed flow circulation does not have an outlet weir at the A5 structure. Therefore, the A5 gates must be set to maintain minimum water levels in the ponds. The reverse flow condition may conflict with the seasonal intake limitations from Alviso Slough for salmonid protection. The A7 structure should not be used as an intake during the winter (December to April) to avoid entrainment of migrating juvenile salmonids. The only reason to use the reversed flow circulation is to avoid potential poor water quality conditions in Guadalupe Slough, if necessary.

The A7 system would require very limited management, unless Pond A8 is operated as a batch pond. Note that for a period of time, the SCVWD may request to continue pumping waters from Pond A4 into Pond A5. At that time, they will provide data analyses and operations plans to assure that A7 discharges will remain below our RWQCB permit limits.

## Summer Operation

The summer operation is intended to provide circulation flow to makeup for evaporation during the summer season. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 22 cfs , or 44 acrefeet/day, with an outlet flow of about 16 cfs ( 32 acre-feet/day). The summer operation would normally extend from May through October.

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 | 615 | -0.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| A7 | 256 | -0.5 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| A8N | 406 | -3.4 | NA | NA |

Summer Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 intakes | 30 | 12 |
| A7 outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A7/A8 | 0 | 0 |
| Weir | 0.0 ft NGVD | 6 boards |

## Water Level Control

The bottom elevations in both Ponds A5 and A7 are similar and inlet/outlet capacities are the same. Due to the levee cut to connect the ponds, the water levels are similar in both ponds. Flows will occur in either direction based on inlet and outlet gate settings

The A5 intake gate should be adjusted to control the overall flow though the system. The maximum water level in either A5 or A7 should be less than 0.6 ft NGVD ( 2.1 ft gage). This is to maintain freeboard on the internal levees and limit wind wave erosion. The maximum water level is also intended to preserve the existing islands within the ponds used by nesting birds.

If a significant volume of water is to be diverted into Pond A8, the A5 inlet structure may need to be open further to bring in additional water. Diversions to A8 are controlled by the A7 to A8 gate. One foot of water in A8 (400 acre-feet) represents approximately 0.5 ft in A5/A7, or the net inflow to the system over approximately 10 days.

## Design Water Level Ranges

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Maximum <br> Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$ Staff Gage) | Minimum <br> Water Elev. <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Minimum <br> Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.1 | -0.3 | 1.2 |
| A7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | -0.3 | 1.1 |
| A8N | NA | -1.0 | 0.5 | -2.5 | -1.0 |

The minimum and maximum water levels are based on our observations in the ponds for the period 2004.

100 Percent Coverage Water Level

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| A7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| A8N | NA | -2.5 | -1.0 |

The 100 percent coverage values represent the estimated water level which begins to expose part of the pond bottom area. Lower water levels would expose large areas of the pond bottom to drying and may cause odor problems.

## Salinity Control

The summer salinity in the system will increase from the intake at A5 to the outlet at A7 due to evaporation within the system. The design maximum salinity for the discharge at A7W is 40 ppt . The intake flow at A5 should be increased if the salinity in A7 is close to 35 ppt. Increased flow may increase the water level in A7. Water levels above elevation 0.6 ft NGVD ( 2.1 ft gage) should be avoided as they may increase wave erosion of the levees.

## Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control

If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in discharges from the Pond A7 fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will accelerate receiving water monitoring to weekly, conduct within-pond monitoring and notify and consult with the Water Board as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels in discharge water should be implemented:

1. Increase the flows in the system by opening the A5 inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, open both the A5 and A7 gates to allow the ponds to become fully muted tidal or partially muted tidal systems until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the Creek.
2. Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake.
3. Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern.
4. Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
5. Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night.
6. Mechanically harvest dead algae.
7. Install solar aeration circulators.

The pH of the discharge is related to the DO of the discharge. If the pH of the discharge falls outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, an analysis of the impact of discharging pH on the receiving waters will be performed. If it is determined that discharge is impacting receiving water pH outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, ammonia monitoring in the receiving water will be done to document potential toxicity affects associated with unionized ammonia.

To help minimize significant downtime on continuous monitoring devices used for DO and pH , the FWS will:

1. Have an extra monitor on hand, in case there is a break down.
2. Get a loaner unit through Hydrolab (within a week), if the extra monitor is being used.
3. Work with Hydrolab to insure a quick repair of monitors (within 2 weeks

## Avian botulism

Avian botulism outbreaks most typically occur in late summer/early fall when warm temperatures and an abundance of decaying organic matter (vegetation and invertebrates) combine to present ideal conditions for the anaerobic soil bacterium Clostridium botulism along water bodies. If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in the pond drop the BMPs listed under the section on Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control will be implemented to increase the DO. Monitoring of weather for long periods of hot, dry, windless days during late August and early September will trigger on the ground monitoring for any signs of botulism. FWS will be in contact with the adjacent landowners such as the San Jose and Sunnyvale Treatment plants to determine if botulism is occurring on their ponds. Additionally, if any bird carcasses in the ponds or nearby receiving waters are observed, they will be promptly collected and disposed of.

## Winter Operation

The winter operation is intended to provide circulation flow and to allow rain water to drain from the system. The proposed winter operation would be the same as the summer operation. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 22 cfs, or 44 acre-feet/day, with an outlet flow of about 23 cfs ( 46 acre-feet/day). The winter operation period would normally extend from November through April. The proposed gate settings are intended to limit the intake flow, and flow within the system.

## Winter Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 | 615 | -0.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| A7 | 256 | -0.5 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| A8N | 406 | -3.4 | NA | NA |

Winter Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5 intakes | 30 | 12 |
| A7 outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A7/A8 | 0 | 0 |
| Weir | 0.0 NGVD | 6 boards |

## Water Level Control

Consideration may be made to reduce water levels in the ponds prior to winter storm events and high tides by closing or reducing the gate opening at the A5 inlet structure. Approximately three weeks would be needed to reduce pond levels by 0.5 feet. Water levels above elevation 0.6 ft NGVD ( 2.1 ft gage) should be avoided as they may increase wave erosion of the levees.

## Salinity Control

The winter salinity in the system may decrease from the intake at A5 to the outlet at A7, due to rainfall inflows within the system, which may exceed winter evaporation. During very wet winters, the intake salinities and system salinities may decrease below 10 ppt.
If the SCVWD weir has a significant flood spill into pond A8N, the flood water may overflow into A5 and A7. The intake gates and outlet gates can be opened to the maximum after the flood event to aid in lowering the water level in the system. The volume in A8 below the elevation of the cross levee will not drain by gravity, and will need to be pumped from the ponds by the SCVWD.

## Monitoring

The system monitoring will require weekly site visits to record pond and intake readings. The monitoring parameters are listed below.

Weekly Monitoring Program

| Location | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| A5 intake | Salinity |
| A5 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A7 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A8 | Depth, salinity, observations |

The weekly monitoring program will include visual pond observations to locate potential algae buildup or signs of avian botulism, as well as visual inspections of water control structures, siphons and levees. This program will also include supplementary DO monitoring when problems are identified in the formal monitoring listed below.

| Location | Frequency | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A7(discharge) | Continuous (May-Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
| Alviso Slough | Monthly (May -Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
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## Objectives

Maintain full tidal circulation through pondsA9, A10, A11 and A14, while maintaining discharge salinities to Coyote Creek at less than 40 ppt. and meet the other water quality requirements in the Water Board's Waste Discharge Permit. This program will also include monitoring for pH , dissolved oxygen, temperature, avian botulism, and potential for inorganic mobilization.

Maintain pond A12, A13 and A15 as batch ponds. Operate batch ponds at a higher salinity ( $80-120 \mathrm{ppt}$ ) during summer to favor brine shrimp.

Minimize entrainment of salmonids by limiting inflows during winter.
Maintain water surface levels lower in winter to reduce potential overtopping.

## Structures

The A14 system includes the following structures needed for water circulation in the ponds:

- Existing 2 x 48 " gate intake at A9 from Alviso Slough
- Existing 48 " gate between A9 and A10
- New 48" gate between A9 and A14
- Existing 48" gate between A10 and A11
- New 48" gate between A11 and A14
- Existing 48" gate between A11 and A12
- Existing 48" gate between A12 and A13
- Existing 36" gate between A14 and A13
- Existing siphon from A15 to A16
- Existing 36" gate between A15 and A14
- Existing 22,000 gpm pump from A13 to A15
- New 48" gate intake at A15 from Coyote Creek
- New 2 x 48 " gate outlet at A14 into Coyote Creek
- Existing staff gages at all ponds and new NGVD gages at all pond


## System Description

The intake for the A14 system is located at the northwest end of pond A9 and includes two 48 " gates from Alviso slough near the Bay. The system outlet is located at the northerly end of A14, with two 48" gates into Coyote Creek. The normal flow through the system proceeds from the intake at A9, then flow through A10 and A11 to the outlet at A14. Because of the flap gates and the relative elevation of the tides and pond levels, all gravity intake flow would occur at high tide, and all outflows would occur when the tide is below 6.2 ft . MLLW.

Ponds A12, A13, and A15 will be operated as batch ponds to control the individual pond volumes and salinities.

Operations of the A14 system should require little active management of gate openings to maintain appropriate circulation flows. Summer and winter operations are described below to indicate predicted operating levels during the dry and wet seasons.

## Summer Operation

The summer operation is intended to provide circulation flow to makeup for evaporation during the summer season. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 38 cfs , or $17,000 \mathrm{gpm}$. The summer operation would normally extend from May through October.

Summer Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 | 385 | -0.2 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| A10 | 249 | -0.8 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| A11 | 263 | -1.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| A14 | 341 | -0.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| A12 | 309 | -2.0 | 1.2 | 2.5 |
| A13 | 269 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| A15 | 249 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 4.1 |

Summer Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 north intake | 100 | 48 |
| A9 south intake | 100 | 48 |
| A9 - A10 | 100 | 48 |
| A10 - A11 | 100 | 48 |
| A11 - A14 | 100 | 48 |
| A14 west outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A14 east outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A9 - A14 | 0 | 0 |
| A11 - A12 | 0 | 0 |
| A12 - A13 | 0 | 0 |
| A13 - A15 | 0 | 0 |
| A14-A13 | 0 | 0 |
| A15 - A14 | 0 | 0 |
| A15 intake | 0 | 0 |
| A14 weir | 0.0 ft NGVD |  |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A14 is the primary control for the pond system. The system flow is limited by the inlet capacity at A9. Normal operation would have the outlet gates fully open. Water levels are controlled by the weir elevation at A14. The A14 weir should be at approximately 0.0 ft NGVD to maintain the summer water level in A14 at 0.9 ft NGVD (2.3ft gage). The level may vary by 0.2 due to the influence of weak and strong tides.

The route of flow through this system will be from A9 to A10 to A11 to A14. The partial gate opening is to maintain the water level differences between the ponds. Again, the setting should not require frequent adjustment.

The A9 intake gates should be adjusted to control the overall flow though the system. The water levels in A9 will change due to the change in inflow. The maximum water level should be less than 2.5 ft NGVD ( 3.8 ft gage). This is to maintain freeboard on the internal levees and limit wind wave erosion.

## 100 Percent Coverage Water Level

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| A10 | 1.8 | -0.2 | 1.0 |
| A11 | 1.3 | -0.2 | 1.0 |
| A14 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| A12 | NA | -0.3 | 1.0 |
| A13 | NA | -0.3 | 1.2 |
| A15 | NA | 0.7 | 2.0 |

The 100 percent coverage values represent the estimated water level which begins to expose part of the pond bottom area. Lower water levels would expose large areas of the pond bottom to drying and may cause odor problems. The 100 percent coverage water levels are intended for information purposes only. Operating the ponds at or near minimum depths will interfere with circulation through the ponds and may cause significant increases in pond salinity during the summer evaporation season.

Pond A14 has an estimated average bottom elevation at 0.0 ft NGVD, but portions of the pond bottom are at 0.8 ft NGVD, very near the design water level. The proposed A14 water level may need to be adjusted to maintain circulation through the pond.

## Salinity Control

The summer salinity in the system will increase from the intake at A9 to the outlet at A14, due to evaporation within the system. The design maximum salinity for the discharge at A14 is 40 ppt . The intake flow at A9 should be increased when the salinity in A14 is close to 35 ppt. Increased flow may increase the water level in A14. The inflow at A9 is constrained by the tide level in Alviso Slough since the intake gates would be fully open. The inflow can be increased by partially opening the gate from A9 to A14 to lower the water level in A9 and increase the gravity inflow. This would increase the flow through A9 and A14, but reduce the flow through A10 and A11. Water levels in pond A14 above elevation 2.0 ft NGVD ( 3.4 ft gage) should be avoided as they may increase wave erosion of the levees.

Batch Ponds A12, A13, and A15 summer salinity levels should be between 80 and 120 ppt, to provide habitat for brine shrimp and wildlife which feeds on brine shrimp. Salinity control for the batch ponds will require both inflows to replace evaporation losses, and outflows to reduce the salt mass in the ponds and create space for lower salinity inflows. Ponds A12 and A13 would operate as a single unit, with inflow from pond A11 and outflows to either A14 or A15. The water levels in A12 and A13 would generally be between the elevations in A11 (higher than A12) and A14 (lower than A13). Therefore inflows from A11 and outflows to A14 would be by gravity. Outflows from A13 can also be pumped to A15. Water can also be pumped from A13 to A14 if the water levels are low in A13. Pond A15 would operate as a separate batch pond at a higher elevation than A13 or A14. Inflows to A15 would be pumped from A13, or by gravity from Coyote Creek with the supplemental intake at A15. Outflows from A15 would be by gravity to either A14 or A16.

The batch pond operation will require the outflow of approximately 0.5 to 0.7 ft of water from the batch ponds each month. This represents approximately 25 percent of the pond volumes. Because the A14 and A17 system have no circulation inflows from Coyote Creek for dilution from December through April, the outflow would normally occur during the evaporation season. The preferred operation would be to maintain the pond salinities near 100 ppt as much as possible, with consistent small outflows during the month from A13 to A14 and from A15 to A16. These gates should only be open approximately 10 percent, depending on the pond water levels. The inflows would be on a batch basis to add approximately 0.5 ft to the batch ponds about every other week.

If the salinity levels are high in A14 or A16, it may be necessary to reduce or suspend outflows from the batch ponds and allow the batch pond salinity to increase until later in the season. The salinity in a batch pond will increase by approximately 10 ppt per month during the peak evaporation months. If the batch pond salinities are high at the end of the
circulation season, it may be necessary to continue to operate the A16 system with reverse flow during the winter continue to dilute the batch pond outflows until a reasonable salinity level is reached to start the next evaporation season.

## Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control

If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in discharges from the Pond A14 fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will accelerate receiving water monitoring to weekly, conduct within-pond monitoring and notify and consult with the Water Board as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels in discharge water should be implemented:

1. Increase the flows in the system by opening the A9 inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, open A14 gates to allow the ponds to become fully muted tidal or partially muted tidal systems until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the Creek.
2. Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake.
3. Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern.
4. Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
5. Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night.
6. Mechanically harvest dead algae.
7. Install solar aeration circulators.

The pH of the discharge is related to the DO of the discharge. If the pH of the discharge falls outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, an analysis of the impact of discharging pH on the receiving waters will be performed. If it is determined that discharge is impacting receiving water pH outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, ammonia monitoring in the receiving water will be done to document potential toxicity affects associated with unionized ammonia.
To help minimize significant downtime on continuous monitoring devices used for DO and pH , the FWS will:

1. Have an extra monitor on hand, in case there is a break down.
2. Get a loaner unit through Hydrolab (within a week), if the extra monitor is being used.
3. Work with Hydrolab to insure a quick repair of monitors (within 2 weeks).

## Avian botulism

Avian botulism outbreaks most typically occur in late summer/early fall when warm temperatures and an abundance of decaying organic matter (vegetation and invertebrates) combine to present ideal conditions for the anaerobic soil bacterium Clostridium botulism along water bodies. If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in the pond drop the BMPs listed under the section on Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control will be implemented to increase the DO. Monitoring of weather for long periods of hot, dry, windless days during late August and early September will trigger on the ground monitoring for any signs of botulism. FWS will be in contact with the adjacent landowners such as the San Jose and Sunnyvale Treatment plants to determine if botulism is occurring on their ponds. Additionally, if any bird carcasses in the ponds or nearby receiving waters are observed, they will be promptly collected and disposed of.

## Winter Operation

During the winter season, the A9 intake will be closed to prevent entrainment of migrating salmonids. The winter operation period would normally extend from December through May 31. During the winter, rainfall would tend to increase the water levels in the ponds. The water levels in the ponds would be set by a weir at the outfall or adjustment of the control gates to avoid flooding of the existing internal levees or wave damage to the levees. The gates from A9, A10, and A11 will be partially open to allow rainfall to drain to A14. Excess water from rainfall would be drained from the system after larger storms and will require additional active management to adjust the interior control gates.

## Winter Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 north intake | 0 | 0 |
| A9 south intake | 0 | 0 |
| A9 - A10 | 100 | 48 |
| A10 - A11 | 100 | 48 |
| A11 - A14 | 100 | 48 |
| A14 west outlet | 0 | 0 |
| A14 east outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A9 - A14 | 0 | 0 |
| A11 - A12 | 0 | 0 |
| A12 - A13 | 0 | 0 |
| A13 - A15 | 0 | 0 |
| A14 - A13 | 0 | 0 |
| A15 - A14 | 0 | 0 |
| A15 intake | 0 | 0 |

## Winter Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A9 | 385 | -0.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 |
| A10 | 249 | -0.8 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| A11 | 263 | -1.8 | 1.4 | 2.6 |
| A14 | 341 | -0.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| A12 | 309 | -2.0 | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| A13 | 269 | -1.1 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| A15 | 249 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 4.1 |

## Salinity Control

The winter salinity in the system may decrease from the intake at A9 to the outlet at A14, due to rainfall inflows within the system, which may exceed winter evaporation. During very wet winters, the intake salinities and system salinities may decrease to as low as 11 ppt.

## Monitoring

The system monitoring will require weekly site visits to record pond and intake readings, as well as to inspect water control structures, siphons and levees. The monitoring parameters are listed below.

Weekly Monitoring Program

| Location | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| A9 intakes | Salinity |
| A10 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A11 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A14 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A12 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A13 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A15 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |

The weekly monitoring program will include visual pond observations to locate potential algae buildup or signs of avian botulism, as well as visual inspections of water control structures, siphons and levees. This program will also include supplementary DO monitoring when problems are identified in the formal monitoring listed below.

| Location | Frequency | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A14(discharge) <br> Coyote Creek | Continuous (May-Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
| Monthly (May -Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |  |
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## Objectives

Maintain full tidal circulation through ponds A17 and A16 while maintaining discharge salinities to the Artesian Slough lower than 40 ppt . and meet the other water quality requirements in the Water Board's Waste Discharge Permit. This program will also include monitoring for pH , dissolved oxygen, temperature, avian botulism, mercury methylation, and potential for inorganic mobilization.

## Minimize entrainment of salmonids by:

Close A17 intake during winter, or
Reverse of intake and outlet flow during winter.

## Structures

The A16 system includes the following structures needed for water circulation in the ponds:

- New 48" gate intake at A17 from Coyote Creek
- New 48 " gate outlet structure at A16 into Artesian Slough
- Existing siphon between A15 ( from system A14) to A16
- Existing gap between A17 and A16
- Existing siphon between A17 and A18
- Existing staff gauges (no datum) , plus new NGVD gauges to be installed


## System Description

The intake for the A16 system is located at the northern end of pond A17 and includes one 48" gate from lower Coyote Creek. The system outlet is located at the southeast end of pond A16, with one 48 " gate to the Artesian Slough. The flow through the system proceeds from the intake at A17 though a 50’ cut in the levee between A17 and A16, then through the 48" gate at the outlet A16. An existing siphon from A15 to A16 will be used to release excess water from ponds A12, A13, and A15 on a batch basis. The existing siphon between A17 and A18 will not be used for system circulation, and may be sealed in the future. A18 will be owned and operated by the City of San Jose.

Operations of the A16 system should require limited active management of gate openings to maintain appropriate flows. Because of the flap gates and the relative elevation of the tides and pond levels, all gravity intake flow would occur at high tide, and all outflows would occur when the tide is below 7.2 ft . MLLW. Summer and winter operations are described below to indicate predicted operating levels during the dry and wet seasons.

## Summer Operation

The summer operation is intended to provide circulation flow to makeup for evaporation during the summer season. The average total circulation inflow is approximately 15 cfs , or $6,800 \mathrm{gpm}$, with an outlet flow of about $12 \mathrm{cfs}(5,400 \mathrm{gpm})$. The summer operation would normally extend from May through October.

## Summer Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A17 | 131 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| A16 | 243 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 0.7 |

## Summer Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A17 intake | 100 | 48 |
| A16 outlet | 100 | 48 |
| A16 weir | 1.9 ft NGVD |  |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A16 is the primary control for the pond system. The system flow is limited by the outlet capacity. Normal operation would have the outlet gates fully open, and the water level in A16 would be controlled by the elevation of the outlet weir at A16. The estimated weir elevation would be 1.9 ft NGVD to maintain the pond water level at 2.3 ft NGVD in summer. The level may vary by 0.2 feet during a month due to the influence of weak and strong tides.

The A17 intake gate can be adjusted to control the overall flow though the system. The maximum water level in either A17 or A16 should generally be less than 3.0 ft NGVD during the summer. This is to maintain freeboard on the internal levees and limit wind wave erosion. The maximum historic water level in A16 and A17 has been 3.8 ft NGVD during the winter.

100 Percent Coverage Water Level

| Pond | Design Water <br> Level Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | 100 \% <br> Coverage <br> Water Level <br> (ft, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A17 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| A16 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 |

The 100 percent coverage values represent the estimated water level which begins to expose part of the pond bottom area. Lower water levels would expose large areas of the pond bottom to drying and may cause odor problems. The 100 percent coverage water levels are intended for information purposes only. Operating the ponds at or near minimum depths will interfere with circulation through the ponds and may cause significant increases in pond salinity during the summer evaporation season.

## Salinity Control

The summer salinity in the system will increase from the intake at A17 to the outlet at A16 due to evaporation within the system. The design maximum salinity for the discharge at A16 is 40 ppt. The discharge permit requires that the discharge salinity not exceed 44 ppt .

The system circulation flow should be increased when the salinity in A16 reaches approximately 35 ppt during the summer. There are two operational measures available to increase the circulation flow. First, the level of the outlet weir can be lowered to lower the pond water level and the gravity inflow to the system. The weir structure includes weir boards on three sides of the structure. In general, the overall weir elevation should not be lowered more than 0.5 ft , but it may be more practical to lower one side by 1.0 ft or less.

The second operational measure to increase the circulation flow would be to adjust the intake gate at the A16 outlet structure to allow inflow from Artesian Slough at high tide. With the A16 intake gate fully open, the overall circulation flow would be approximately double the flow with A17 alone. In addition, the salinity in Artesian Slough at high tide is lower than in Coyote Creek and would directly lower the salinity in A16. The weir level at A16 should be adjusted to increase the outflow from A16 to account for the increased inflow.

The A16 system is intended to be the discharge for flows from pond A15 in the A14 system. A15 is a batch pond with operating salinities in the range of 80 to 120 ppt. Water will be transferred from A15 to A16 to lower the water levels in A15 and provide capacity for lower salinity inflows control the batch pond salinity. The intention is to dilute the higher salinity water with the pond A16 circulation. The siphon from A15 should be approximately 10 to 25 percent open, and the 22,000 gpm pump from A13 to A15 should operate approximately two to 3 days per month. The pump can add approximately 0.4 ft of water to A 15 in one day.

## Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control

If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in discharges from the Pond A16 fall below a $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of $3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (calculated on a calendar weekly basis), the FWS will accelerate receiving water monitoring to weekly, conduct within-pond monitoring and notify and consult with the Water Board as to which Best Management Practices described below for increasing dissolved oxygen levels in discharge water should be implemented:

1. Increase the flows in the system by opening the A17 inlet further. If increased flows are not possible, open both the A17 and A16 gates to allow the ponds to become fully
muted tidal or partially muted tidal systems until pond DO levels revert to levels at or above conditions in the Creek.
2. Set in a series of flow diversion baffles at the pond discharge for directing the water from more suitable DO water levels to achieve maximum oxygen uptake.
3. Cease nighttime discharges due to diurnal pattern.
4. Close discharge gates completely until DO levels meet standards.
5. Close discharge gates completely for a period of time each month when low tides occur primarily at night.
6. Mechanically harvest dead algae.
7. Install solar aeration circulators.

The pH of the discharge is related to the DO of the discharge. If the pH of the discharge falls outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, an analysis of the impact of discharging pH on the receiving waters will be performed. If it is determined that discharge is impacting receiving water pH outside the range of $6.5-8.5$, ammonia monitoring in the receiving water will be done to document potential toxicity affects associated with unionized ammonia.

To help minimize significant downtime on continuous monitoring devices used for DO and pH , the FWS will:

1. Have an extra monitor on hand, in case there is a break down.
2. Get a loaner unit through Hydrolab (within a week), if the extra monitor is being used.
3. Work with Hydrolab to insure a quick repair of monitors (within 2 weeks).

## Avian botulism

Avian botulism outbreaks most typically occur in late summer/early fall when warm temperatures and an abundance of decaying organic matter (vegetation and invertebrates) combine to present ideal conditions for the anaerobic soil bacterium Clostridium botulism along water bodies. If summer monitoring shows that DO levels in the pond drop the BMPs listed under the section on Dissolved Oxygen and pH Control will be implemented to increase the DO. Monitoring of weather for long periods of hot, dry, windless days during late August and early September will trigger on the ground monitoring for any signs of botulism. FWS will be in contact with the adjacent landowners such as the San Jose and Sunnyvale Treatment plants to determine if botulism is occurring on their ponds. Additionally, if any bird carcasses in the ponds or nearby receiving waters are observed, they will be promptly collected and disposed of.

## Winter Operation

During the winter season, the A17 intake will be closed to prevent entrainment of migrating salmonids in Coyote Creek. The winter operation period would normally extend from November through April. During the winter, rainfall would tend to increase the water levels in the ponds. The inflow and outflow direction of the system will be reversed, where intake at A16 from Artesian Slough during the winter to minimize potential entrapment of migrating salmonids in Coyote Creek. The outlet at A17 includes both a control gate and control weir. Either may be used to limit flow through the system. The water levels in the ponds would be set by a weir at the outfall of A17 or adjustment of the control gates to avoid flooding of the existing internal levees or wave damage to the levees. The winter operation is intended to provide less circulation flow than the summer operation. Evaporation is normally minimal during the winter.

## Winter Pond Water Levels

| Pond | Area <br> (Acres) | Bottom Elev. <br> (ft, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, NGVD) | Water Level <br> $(\mathrm{ft}$, Staff Gage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A17 | 131 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| A16 | 243 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.6 |

## Winter Gate Settings

| Gate | Setting <br> (\% open) | Setting <br> (in, gate open) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A17 intake | 0 | 0 |
| A16 | 25 | 12 |
| Weir | 2.1 ft NGVD |  |

## Water Level Control

The water level in A17 is the primary control for the pond system. The A17 water level is controlled by the outlet weir structure. Normal winter operation would have the A16 intake gate partially open to reduce inflow during extreme storm tides. Water levels in the ponds are controlled by the outlet weir setting. The normal winter water level in A17 should be at 2.2 ft NGVD, approximately 0.1 ft above the outlet weir. The pond water level may vary by 0.2 ft due to the influence of weak and strong tides, and over 0.5 ft due to storms.
During winter operations, the water levels should not fall below the outlet weir elevation.

During winter operations, if the water levels exceed approximately 3.0ft NGVD, the A16 gate should be closed to allow the excess water to drain. Note that without rainfall or inflow, it will take approximately 3 weeks to drain 1.0 ft from the ponds.

## Salinity Control

The winter salinity in the system may decrease from the intake at A16 to the outlet at A17, due to rainfall inflows within the system, which may exceed winter evaporation. During very wet winters, the intake salinities and system salinities may decrease to as low as 5 ppt .

## Monitoring

The system monitoring will require weekly site visits to record pond and intake readings. The monitoring parameters are listed below.

Weekly Monitoring Program

| Location | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| A17 intake | Salinity |
| A17 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |
| A16 | Depth, Salinity, Observations |

The weekly monitoring program will include visual pond observations to locate potential algae buildup or signs of avian botulism, as well as visual inspections of water control structures, siphons and levees. This program will also include supplementary DO monitoring when problems are identified in the formal monitoring listed below.

| Location | Frequency | Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A16(discharge) | Continuous (May-Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |
| Artesian Slough | Monthly (May -Oct) | DO, pH, Temp., Salinity |


| Pond A3W |  | Upstream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  $4 / 6 / 2004$ <br> GUAB1A GUAB1B |  |  | 7129/2004 |  | 8/2/2004 |  | 8/16/2004 |  | 8/19/2005 |  |
|  |  | GUAB1C | A3W-3 | A3W-4 | A3W-3 | A3W-4 | A3W-3 | A3W-4 | A3W-3 | A3W-4 |
| Annelida | Capitella |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 12 | 71 | 4 | 99 | 134 | 12 | 0 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 |
|  | Goniadidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | Nereis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Tubificoides | 7 | 2 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 | 639 |
| Nematoda | Nematoda | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 84 | 0 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Copepoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Cumacea | 0 | 1 | 33 | 52 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 16 |
|  | Ericthonius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-1: Pond A3W Upstream Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A3W |  | Midstream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/6/2004 |  |  | 7129/2004 |  |  | 8/2/2004 |  |  | 8/16/2004 |  |  | 8/19/2005 |  |  |
|  |  | GUAB2A | GUAB2B | GUAB2C | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Annelida | Capitella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 151 | \# | 93 | 220 | \# | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 322 | 0 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Goniadidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \# | 64 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Nereis | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 4 | 0 | \# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Tubificoides | 3 | 5 | \# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | \# | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1392 | 621 |
| Nematoda | Nematoda | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | \# | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 93 | 45 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Copepoda | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Cumacea | 13 | 5 | \# | 45 | 2 | \# | 15 | 33 | 5 | 6 | 1 | \# | 0 | 10 | 17 |
|  | Ericthonius | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-2: Pond A3W Midstream Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A3W |  | Mouth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/6/2004 |  |  | 7129/2004 |  |  | 8/2/2004 |  |  | 8/16/2004 |  |  | 8/19/2005 |  |  |
|  |  | GUAB3A | GUAB3B | GUAB3C | A3W- $7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A3W- $7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A3W- $7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | A3W- $9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A3W- } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Annelida | Capitella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  | Goniadidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Nereis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 20 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 49 |
|  | Tubificoides | 12 | 7 | 449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \# | 7 | 97 |
| Nematoda | Nematoda | 3 | 3 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 6 | 4 | \# | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 0 | 0 | \# | 0 | 63 | 4 | 49 | 84 | 17 | 29 | 83 | \# | 4 | 3 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Copepoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Cumacea | 11 | 1 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
|  | Ericthonius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-3: Pond A3W Mouth Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A7 |  | Upstream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/7/2004 |  |  | 7129/2004 |  | 8/9/2004 |  | 8/23/2004 |  | 9/2/2005 |  |
|  |  | ALVB1A | ALVB1B | ALVB1C | A7-4 | A7-5 | A7-4 | A7-5 | A7-4 | A7-5 | A7-4 | A7-5 |
| Annelida | Capitella | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Fabricia berkeleyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mediomastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Nereis | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Phyllodocidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pseudopolydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 16 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tubificoides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 34 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 4 |
|  | Mya arenaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 85 | 0 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cirripedia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cumacea | 0 | 1 | 391 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 50 | 48 |
|  | Ericthonius | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-4: Pond A7 Upstream Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A7 |  | Midstream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/7/2004 |  |  | 7/29/2004 |  |  | 8/9/2004 |  |  | 8/23/2004 |  |  | 9/2/2005 |  |  |
|  |  | ALVB2A | ALVB2B | ALVB2C | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | A7- <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A7- } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| Annelida | Capitella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 164 | 0 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 0 |
|  | Fabricia berkeleyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mediomastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Nereis | 3 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Phyllodocidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pseudopolydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19 | 16 |
|  | Tubificoides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 760 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | \# | 7 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 9 |  | 25 | 41 |
|  | Mya arenaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 6 | \# | 7 | 2 |  | 84 | 73 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cirripedia | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 2 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cumacea | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 |  | 1 | 33 |
|  | Ericthonius | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-5: Pond A7 Midstream Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A7 |  | Mouth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ALVB3A$4 / 7 / 2004$ <br> ALVB3B |  | ALVB3C | 7129/2004 |  | 8/9/2004 |  | 8/23/2004 |  | 9/2/2005 |  |
|  |  | A7-7 | A7-8 |  | A7-7 | A7-8 | A7-7 | A7-8 | A7-7 | A7-8 |
| Annelida | Capitella |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
|  | Cirratulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Fabricia berkeleyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mediomastus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Nereis | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Phyllodocidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Pseudopolydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio | 0 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Tubificoides | 0 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Gemma gemma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 348 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Mya arenaria | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 34 | 23 | \# | 8 | 4 | \# | 125 |
| Crustacea | Balanus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cirripedia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Cumacea | 12 | 10 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
|  | Ericthonius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Synidotea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure G-6: Pond A7 Mouth Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A16 |  | Upstream |  |  |  |  | Midstream |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 25 / 2005 \\ \text { A16-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/14/2005 } \\ \text { A16-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/28/2005 } \\ \text { A16-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8/18/2005 } \\ \text { A16-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8/9/2006 } \\ \text { A16-3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/25/2005 } \\ \text { A16-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4/14/2005 } \\ \text { A16-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 / 28 / 2005 \\ \mathrm{~A} 16-2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8/18/2005 } \\ \text { A16-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8/9/2006 } \\ \text { A16-2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Annelida | Capitella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Cirratulus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fabricia berkeleyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Glycinde sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polychaeta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pseudopolydora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tubificoides sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 180 |
| Malacostraca | Pancolus californiensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hexapoda | Corixidae | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecta | Chironomidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrozoa | Obelia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 |
|  | Bivalvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cerithidea californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Gemma sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crustacea | Ampithoe sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Artemia sp. | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
|  | Cumacea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
|  | Ericthonius sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
|  | Ostracoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 60 |
|  | Gammaridae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 339 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

Figure G-7: Pond A16 Upstream and Midstream Benthic Invertebrate Samples

| Pond A16 |  | Mouth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3/25/2005 |  |  | 4/14/2005 |  |  | 4/28/2005 |  |  | 8/18/2005 |  |  | 8/9/2006 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A16- } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Annelida | Capitella sp. | 1 | 24 | 633 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 29 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Cirratulus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eteone sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
|  | Fabricia berkeleyi | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Glycinde sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 0 |
|  | Heteromastus sp. | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Polychaeta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 40 | 17 |
|  | Polydora | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 |
|  | Pseudopolydora | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sabellidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Spionidae | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Streblospio sp. | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tubificoides sp. | 0 | 0 | 618 | 21 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 165 | 142 | 152 |
| Malacostraca | Pancolus californiensis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hexapoda | Corixidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecta | Chironomidae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrozoa | Obelia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mollusca | Assiminea sp. | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Bivalvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cerithidea californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gemma sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Macoma balthica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Potamacorbula | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crustacea | Ampithoe sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Artemia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Corophium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 8 | 185 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Cumacea | 2 | 63 | 0 | 159 | 515 | 255 | 9 | 439 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Ericthonius sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ostracoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Gammaridae | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 85 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Melita californica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |

Figure G-8: Pond A16 Mouth Benthic Invertebrate Samples

