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Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Finding on a Petition To Delist the Grizzly Bear in the Northern Continental Divide Ecosystem, the Cabinet-Yaak Ecosystem, the Selkirk Ecosystem, and the North Cascades Ecosystem

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of 90-day petition finding.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces a 90-day finding for a petition to amend the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. The petitioner requested that the Service delist the grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis) populations in the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem, the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem, the Selkirk ecosystem, and the North Cascades ecosystem. The Service, agreed that grizzly bears were not threatened or endangered. The Service did not provide any information to substantiate these assertions. Reliable historical information on grizzly bear numbers is not available. Recent studies of grizzly bear habitat and densities provide support that these ecosystems historically maintained a higher population number of grizzly bears than exists today. Threats identified in the final rule that listed the grizzly bear as a threatened species (41 FR 12362) showed that the grizzly bear in the lower 48 States was indeed a species that could become endangered in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the Service recently published findings on two petitions, one for the North Cascades ecosystem (56 FR 33892) and one for the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem (58 FR 6250), that indicated that recategorization of grizzly bears from threatened to endangered within each of these two ecosystems was warranted, but precluded by species with higher listing priorities.

The petitioner asserted that the above four ecosystems represent fringe areas that extend into the United States from Canada, and that grizzly bears in Canada are not threatened or endangered. The petitioner further asserted that these four grizzly bear populations are not distinct populations because they inhabit an ecosystem that is part of the larger (Canadian) ecosystem and because individual grizzly bears migrate across the U.S./Canadian border. The Service disagreed that these United States ecosystems represent extensions of Canadian ecosystems. Historically, the range of the grizzly bear extended from Mexico through the United States west of the Mississippi River northward to Alaska. Today, the range of the grizzly bear is confined to less than 2 percent of its original area in the contiguous United States in distinct regions of Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, and Washington. Grizzly bear populations in the contiguous United States currently exist in ecosystems that represent the remaining fragments of the once extensive grizzly bear range throughout the southern portion of North America. While grizzly bears are more abundant in Canada, there have been significant habitat modifications within Canada that are suspected to have caused declines or losses of grizzly bear populations in many areas.

The Service agreed that grizzly bears migrate across the U.S./Canadian border and that grizzly bears in the United States ecosystems are not separate from grizzly bears in adjacent Canadian ecosystems. However, such separation is not required for listing populations under the Act.

The petitioner also asserted that the Glacier National Park portion of the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem is, by itself, large enough to be a grizzly bear ecosystem. The petitioner did not provide any information to substantiate this assertion. The Service believes that Glacier National Park alone is not capable of sustaining a large enough population of grizzly bears to ensure long-term genetic viability and survival of the population and therefore does not constitute an adequate grizzly bear recovery zone.

In summary, the Service found that the petitioner did not supply substantial information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted in the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem, the Cabinet-Yaak ecosystem, the Selkirk ecosystem, or the North Cascades ecosystem. More detailed information regarding the above decisions may be obtained from the Service’s Missoula office (see ADDRESSES above).
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Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Notice of Finding on a Petition To Change the Status of the Grizzly Bear Populations in the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear Ecosystem and the Northern Continental Divide Ecosystem From Threatened to Recovered

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of 90-day petition finding.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announces a 90-day finding for a petition to amend the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. The petitioners requested that the grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis) populations in the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem and the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem be reclassified from threatened to recovered. The Fish and Wildlife Service finds that the petitioners did not provide substantial information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted for either population.

DATES: The finding announced in this notice was approved on August 10, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Questions and comments concerning this finding should be sent to Dr. Christopher Servheen, Grizzly Bear Recovery Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1945 Center Street, Suite 100, Missoula, Montana 59802. The petition, finding, and supporting data are available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the Fish and Wildlife Service office at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Christopher Servheen (see ADDRESSES above), telephone (406) 522-3223.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Section 4(b)(3)(A) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), requires that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) make a 90-day finding on whether a petition to list, delist, or reclassify a species presents substantial scientific or commercial information to demonstrate that the petitioned action may be warranted. On July 17, 1992, a petition was received from the Montanans for Multiple Use dated July 8, 1992. The petitioners requested that the Service reclassify the grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis) populations in the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem and the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem from threatened to recovered.

The petitioners asserted that various grizzly bear population estimates for the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem and the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem meet the recovery criteria detailed in the draft revised Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan (Recovery Plan) (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1992). The Service agrees that both grizzly populations meet some of the criteria necessary to warrant delisting; however, each population fails to meet certain criteria detailed in the Recovery Plan. The population in the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem does not meet the criteria for distribution of family groups, and the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem does not meet the 6-year period required for recording population parameters. Further, prior to delisting, the Recovery Plan recommends completion of a conservation plan to ensure conservation of the population and its habitat after delisting. Such a conservation plan has not been completed for either the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem or the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem.

At such time that any grizzly bear population meets all the recovery criteria established in the then current Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan, and when a conservation strategy is approved to ensure that the grizzly bear is adequately managed after delisting, delisting of the population will be pursued. If a population is delisted, the responsibility for its continued management will revert back to the State wildlife agency.

In summary, the Service found that the petitioners did not provide substantial scientific or commercial information to indicate that their petitioned action may be warranted in either the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem or the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear ecosystem. More detailed information regarding the above decisions may be obtained from the Service’s Missoula office (see ADDRESSES above).
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