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GENERAL INFORMATION

Methodology used to complete this 5-year review:
Public notice was provided in the Federal Register on July 26, 2005 (70 FR 43171), and a 60-day comment period was opened. During this comment period, we obtained information on the status of this species from several experts; additional data was obtained from the recovery plan, peer-reviewed scientific literature, and our state partners. Once all known literature and information was collected for this species, Dr. Michael A. Floyd, Recovery Biologist with the Kentucky Ecological Services Field Office completed the review. The draft document was peer-reviewed by Deborah White, Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission, Frankfort, Kentucky; Michael A. Homoya, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Indianapolis, Indiana; and Johnny B. Varner, Third Rock Consultants, LLC, Lexington, Kentucky and comments received were incorporated as appropriate.

Reviewers
Lead Region – Southeast Region: Kelly Bibb, 404-679-7132
Cooperating Region – Great Lakes-Big Rivers Region: Carlita Payne, 612-713-5339
Lead Field Office – Kentucky Ecological Services: Michael Floyd, 502-695-0468
Cooperating Field Office – Bloomington Ecological Services: Lori Pruitt, 812-334-4261

Background

1. **FR Notice announcing initiation of this review:** July 26, 2005; 70 FR 43171

2. **Species status:** 2006 Recovery Data Call; Stable. No recent reports of extirpations or serious population declines.

3. **Recovery achieved:** Short’s goldenrod, 2 (2 = 26% to 50% of recovery objectives achieved)

4. **Listing History**
   - **Original Listing:** Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Endangered Status for *Solidago shortii* (Short’s Goldenrod). 50 FR 36085
   - **FR notice:**
   - **Date listed:** September 5, 1985
   - **Entity listed:** Species
   - **Classification:** Endangered
5. **Review History:**
Short’s Goldenrod Recovery Plan, 1988, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, GA
Services Field Office

6. **Species Recovery Priority Number at start of review (48 FR 43098):** Short’s
goldenrod, 8

7. **Recovery Plan**
   **Name of Plan:** Short’s Goldenrod Recovery Plan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
   Atlanta, Georgia. 27 pp.
   **Date issued:** May 25, 1988

8. **Reference documents**
   conservation biology of the endangered plant species *Solidago shortii*

Short’s goldenrod (*Solidago shortii* T. & G.) (Asteraceae) in Indiana: its

mapping and current status of the ten viable populations of Short’s goldenrod

**REVIEW ANALYSIS**

1. **Application of the 1996 Distinct Population Segment (DPS) Policy**
   
   **A. Is the species under review listed as a DPS?** No. The Act defines species to
   include any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate wildlife.
   This definition limits listings as distinct population segments (DPS) only to
   vertebrate species of fish and wildlife. Because the DPS policy is not applicable
   to this plant, it is not addressed further in this review.

2. **Recovery Criteria**
   
   **A. Does the species have a final, approved recovery plan?** Yes

   **B. Does the recovery plan contain recovery (i.e., downlisting or delisting)
   criteria?** Yes
C. Do the recovery criteria reflect the best available (i.e., most up-to-date) information on the biology of the species and its habitat? Yes

D. Are all of the 5 listing factors that are relevant to the species addressed in the recovery criteria (and there is no new information to consider regarding existing or new threats)? Yes

E. List the recovery criteria as they appear in the recovery plan and discuss how each criterion has or has not been met, citing supporting information. For threats-related recovery criteria, please note which of the 5 listing factors are addressed by that criterion. If any of the 5 listing factors are not relevant to this species, please note that here.

Criteria for downlisting to threatened status
Downlisting of *S. shortii* will be possible when the first three recovery criteria listed below have been met (criteria a, b, and c).

a. Adequate protection is obtained for the nine high priority occurrences and the habitat in which they occur.

When the recovery plan was completed in 1988, all 13 known occurrences of Short’s goldenrod were within 2.7 km of Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park in Fleming, Nicholas, and Robertson counties, Kentucky (Table 1). The recovery plan (USFWS 1988) prioritized these occurrences based on the size and vigor of each occurrence, the existing habitat quality, and existing threats. Based on these criteria, the following nine occurrences were considered as highest priority for protection: occurrence numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 13. Occurrence numbers 5, 9, 10, and 12 were considered lower-priority because of their small size and poor habitat quality. Note: The recovery plan (USFWS 1988) did not provide a definition for “occurrence” or contrast the term with “population” in the plan; however, the recovery plan did state that the 13 Blue Licks occurrences were likely part of one former, contiguous population that had been fragmented by land use changes. For the purposes of this five-year review, we define an occurrence as a cluster of plants within a single geographic location that is separated from other such groups by unsuitable habitat. All subsequent discussions using the term “occurrence” in this five-year review will be based on this definition. The number of populations of Short’s goldenrod in the Blue Licks area is roughly equal to the number of occurrences, but the actual number of populations is unknown because relatively little information is available on actual species dispersal rates and mechanisms, either by seed, pollinators, or some other method.

At present, permanent protection has been achieved for five (numbers 1, 2, 4, 7a, and 8b) of the original nine highest priority occurrences (Table 1). Occurrences 1, 2, and 4 are located within Blue Licks State Park Nature Preserve; a 53-acre preserve in Robertson County, Kentucky that is part of the
larger Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park managed and operated by the Kentucky Department of Parks. (Note: Subsequent to completion of the recovery plan, occurrences 1 and 4 were combined by the Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission (KSNPC) due to their close proximity within Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park). An agreement between KSNPC and the Kentucky Department of Parks provides protection for all S. shortii on park property by prohibiting any construction activity or habitat disturbance that could affect known occurrences (except dirt trails), including power line construction and maintenance. A portion of occurrence 7 (identified as 7a in the recovery plan) is protected through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between KSNPC and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet that provides protection and management for this occurrence along the right-of-way of KY 165 (KSNPC 1989). The MOU permits KSNPC to erect fences at these sites to protect habitat; allows for management of the sites through burning, planting, mowing, cutting, or other techniques; prohibits mowing by KYTC between May and October; provides KSNPC with the option to erect signs to mark boundaries of important habitat areas; allows KSNPC to undertake research projects at the sites; and prohibits the spraying of chemical herbicides, the storage of equipment, or the deposition of construction materials at these sites by KYTC. The remaining portion of occurrence 7 (identified as 7b in the recovery plan) was destroyed by the landowner in the late 1990s. A portion of occurrence 8 (identified as 8a in the recovery plan) is protected by its location on Buffalo Trace Preserve, which is owned by The Nature Conservancy and managed in cooperation with KSNPC (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006; White 2001). The remaining part of occurrence 8 (identified as 8b in the recovery plan) occurs within the right-of-way and fenceline of old US 68 and has no current protection.

Permanent protection has also been secured for two of the lower-priority sites identified in the recovery plan (occurrences 5 and 12) and one additional Blue Licks occurrence discovered in 1999 (Table 1). Occurrence 5 receives protection because it occurs within (along with occurrences 1, 2 and 4) Blue Licks State Park Nature Preserve; a portion of occurrence 12 (identified as 12a in the recovery plan) is protected through the MOU described above between KSNPC and the KYTC [KSNPC 1989]; and the new S. shortii occurrence discovered in 1999 (White 2001) is protected by its location on a new 129-acre, state nature preserve known as Short’s Goldenrod State Nature Preserve (SNP) (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006).

In summary, some progress has been made toward meeting this criterion. Permanent protection has been achieved for five of the original, high priority occurrences (numbers 1, 2, 4, 7a, and 8a). While not contributing toward meeting this criterion, protection has been secured for two lesser priority occurrences (numbers 5, 12a), and one occurrence that was discovered in 1999 by KSNPC and dedicated as a state nature preserve in 2005.
b. Protected occurrences are determined to be self-sustaining and maintaining current population levels or above.

A summary of current protection status, ownership, and population levels (rooted stems) for all historic and extant occurrences is provided in Table 1. Smith et al. (2004) conducted the last range-wide survey of the Blue Licks area in 2001 and provided population estimates (number of stems) and area of coverage for all known occurrences. Based on these results, it was determined that 2 of the original 13 occurrences (occurrences 10 and 13) had been extirpated (since 1989), 10 declined in number of stems present, 1 increased in both number of stems and area of coverage, and 1 new occurrence was discovered. Since 2001, additional surveys by KSNPC staff (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006) have documented either an increase in the number of plants or no change since the previous observation (typically the previous year) for eight occurrences (numbers 1, 2, 5, 7a, 8a, 9, 11, and Short’s Goldenrod SNP). During surveys by Service and KSNPC personnel in October 2006, plants were rediscovered at occurrences 10 and 13. At occurrence 10, approximately 100 stems were observed growing along the top, base, and small ledges of a disturbed, man-made cliff line. Occurrence 13 consisted of approximately 25 stems in an open field. In summary, the Blue Licks area of Kentucky contains seven protected occurrences (1, 2, 5, 7a, 8a, 12a, and Short’s Goldenrod SNP) that are self-sustaining and at least maintaining current population levels.

An Indiana occurrence discovered in 2001 along the Blue River in Harrison County appears to be stable (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana Department of Natural Resources [DNR], 2006). Approximately 139 clumps of S. shortii were counted in 2001 when the occurrence was first discovered; additional counts in 2005 revealed 191 clumps (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana DNR, 2006). The increased number is suspected to be the result of more careful counting by Indiana DNR biologists, but the numbers have at least remained stable.

c. Species biology and ecological requirements are sufficiently understood to determine and implement long-term management strategies.

When the recovery plan was completed in 1988 (USFWS 1988), little was known regarding the biological and ecological requirements of Short’s goldenrod (Baskin and Baskin 1985, 1984; Kral 1983; Medley 1980; Braun 1941). The species appeared to favor sites that were dry and open with rocky and droughty soils, such as cedar glades and former bison trances. These sites ranged from relatively flat to steeply sloping with mostly western or southern solar exposures. It was determined that the species did not compete well and could not tolerate dense shade or low light conditions. Occupied sites were underlain by bedrock composed of interbedded layers of Ordovician limestones, shales, and siltstones. Soils on which the plant occurred were
described as having a flaggy, silty clay texture with 20 to 30 percent rock fragments. Flowering occurred from mid-August to early November with fruits maturing several weeks after flowers withered. Pollinators were suspected to include sweat bees (Family Halictidae) and perhaps blister beetles (Family Meloidae), and wind played a small role in seed dispersal.

Since completion of the recovery plan, various aspects of the biology, ecology, and conservation of this narrow endemic have been investigated by Baskin et al. (2000), Buchele et al. (1992a, 1992b, 1991a, 1991b, 1989), Smith et al. (2004), and Walck et al. (2001, 1999a, 1999b, 1999c, 1999d, 1999e, 1998, 1997a, 1997b, 1997c, 1997d, 1997e). Collectively, these investigations (1) provided an overview of the historical and present geographical distribution of _S. shortii_, describing each of the extant occurrences and summarizing general vegetation and cultural changes at the sites between 1937 and 2003; (2) described and characterized the physical habitat of _S. shortii_; (3) proposed a model of the successional relationships for the species; and (4) investigated various aspects of its life history – seed production, seed bank, seed germination, drought resistance, and colonization ability. The number of seeds per flowering stem ranged from approximately 250 to 1700, and a high percentage of seeds were viable. Seeds of _S. shortii_ did not have any special dormancy-breaking or germination requirements that could not be fulfilled outside its present-day geographic range. The soil seed bank of _S. shortii_ was shown to be smaller and depleted at a faster rate than those of two other goldenrod species, _S. altissima_ and _S. nemoralis_, but these studies did show that _S. shortii_ can form a persistent seed bank. Seed germination of _S. shortii_ occurred over a broad range of environmental conditions, suggesting that this phase of the life cycle probably does not contribute to the narrow endemism of the species. Morphological traits of _S. shortii_ appear to enable it to tolerate drier habitats than _S. altissima_, but it was shown to compete poorly with _S. altissima_ on wetter sites. Finally, it was shown that both high light and high nutrient levels are necessary to maintain high vigor of _S. shortii_. In areas subject to invasion by woody plants or _Solidago_ species, periodic disturbance may be required to prevent population extirpation.

Although these studies have shown that the life cycle characteristics of _S. shortii_ are actually quite similar to more widespread _Solidago_ species, the poor competitive and colonization abilities of _S. shortii_ appear to contribute most to its narrow endemism (Baskin et al. 2000). In the long term, it appears that the species can survive only in early successional, short-term habitats (resulting from some disturbance), natural openings associated with animal movements or rock outcrops, or dynamic rocky shorelines along rivers (Indiana occurrence). Consequently, these ecological characteristics will define its management needs.

_Criteria for delisting_
Delisting of *S. shortii* will be possible when the first three recovery criteria listed above (a, b, and c) and the final criterion listed below (criterion d) have been met.

d. **At least nine additional protected occurrences, equal in size and significance to the high priority occurrences mentioned above, are discovered in the vicinity of the Blue Licks population or at a currently unknown location.**

Intensive surveys of the Blue Licks area have been conducted by Evans (1987), Buchele *et al.* (1989), White (1994), White (2001), and Smith *et al.* (2004), but only one, significant occurrence (number 14) has been discovered in Kentucky since completion of the recovery plan (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006). This site (discovered in 1999 and formerly known as Old Buffalo Trace) was dedicated by KSNPC in 2005 as Short’s Goldenrod SNP. The preserve is situated along a former bison trace north of Blue Licks Battlefield State Park and west of existing US 68. Because this occurrence is now protected and is of similar size and status (Table 1) to the nine high priority sites noted in the recovery plan (occurrence numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 13), it should be counted as one of the nine additional occurrences needed to satisfy this delisting criterion.

A new occurrence of *S. shortii* was discovered in Indiana’s Harrison-Crawford State Forest (Harrison County) during a 2001 inventory of riparian habitats bordering the Blue River (Homoya and Abrell 2005). Approximately 191 stems were counted within an area approximately 170 meters long and 7 meters wide that extends along the northern shore (outer bend) of the Blue River, approximately 1.5 to 2.25 meters above the riverbed. This protected Indiana site is equal in size and significance to the higher priority sites in Kentucky. Consequently, it would qualify as one of the nine additional occurrences needed to satisfy this delisting criterion.

In summary, only two significant occurrences of *S. shortii* have been discovered since completion of the recovery plan – an occurrence on Short’s Goldenrod SNP in Kentucky (Fleming County) and the single occurrence in Indiana (Harrison County).

3. **Updated Information and Current Species Status**

A. **Biology and Habitat**

Updated information on biology and habitat is summarized above in Section 2.E (Recovery Criteria) and below in Sections 3.B (Five-Factor Analysis) and 4 (Synthesis).

B. **Five-Factor Analysis (threats, conservation measures, and regulatory mechanisms).**
Present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range.

Occurrences of S. shortii located within Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park are protected from general habitat disturbance due to their location on park property and their location within an area that has been dedicated by KSNPC as a state nature preserve (Blue Licks State Park Nature Preserve). These occurrences could be adversely affected, however, through accidental trampling by park workers/visitors and inadvertent destruction resulting from park activities (USFWS 1988; Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006). Crown vetch (Coronilla varia), Queen Anne’s lace (Daucus carota), sweet clover (Melilotus alba), tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea), musk thistle (Carduus nutans) and other exotic plants are a continuous threat to the occurrences on the preserve (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006). Research by Baskin et al. (2000) has shown that, in the long-term, S. shortii can survive only in early successional habitats due to its low competitive ability. KSNPC field crews work on these sites for a week or so every year, but it is still unclear whether these species are being controlled. The Short’s Goldenrod Preserve (occurrence 14) is new, and the occurrence is only beginning to benefit from management activities on the site.

Occurrences on private property are more severely threatened by direct habitat disturbance. These occurrences could be adversely affected by construction activities (land-clearing, grading, bulldozing); agricultural practices (improvement of pastures through grading and planting of fescue, trampling by livestock); fire (at wrong time of year); highway maintenance (right-of-way disturbance, spraying of herbicides); and power line maintenance (spraying of herbicides). A portion of occurrences 7a and 12a receive some protection from private landowner disturbance because they are located within the rights-of-way of US 68 and KY 165 (these occurrences occur along rights-of-way fencelines so a portion of each extends onto private land). Plants within these rights-of-way are protected through a MOU between KSNPC and KYTC that controls potential habitat disturbance and allows for habitat management within these rights-of-way.

The Indiana occurrence is threatened by competition from exotics and the potential raising of the Ohio River’s pool level (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana DNR, 2006). At present, trampling by visitors does not seem to be a serious threat; recreational use of the area does occur, but the plants do not seem to have been affected. The raising of the Ohio River’s pool level (and subsequently the Blue River) is unlikely but could change the hydrologic dynamics of the site and thereby cause a vegetative shift surrounding the S. shortii occurrence. The routine scour provided by increased flows of the Blue River provides the necessary disturbance to reduce competition and maintain the occurrence. Reduced flows and inundation of the Blue River resulting from an increased Ohio River pool level would alter these conditions. The most serious threat to the Indiana occurrence is competition from exotics. At present, little management has occurred at the site aside from pulling and cutting of a few
exotic species. Indiana DNR is currently working on a long-term strategy to deal with this threat (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana DNR, 2006).

In summary, the Kentucky occurrences are most threatened by competition from exotics such as crown vetch, tall fescue, and other species described above, habitat disturbance on private property, and highway and powerline maintenance activities. The Indiana occurrence is most threatened by competition from exotics. The potential raising of the Ohio River’s pool level is a possible threat but is unlikely to occur.

Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes.
Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park is a popular park within northeastern Kentucky, offering a new lodge and restaurant, a museum, access to the Licking River, hiking trails, a 51-site campground, and a public swimming pool. Recent park improvements (i.e., lodge) are expected to increase the recreational use of the park and could lead to adverse impacts to *S. shortii* individuals if recreational activities (e.g., hiking) are not directed away from *S. shortii* occurrences. A major segment of the park’s *S. shortii* population was lost during construction of the current campground, and remaining individuals could be trampled if visitors stray from marked trails. The species’ small range and low number of individual plants makes it vulnerable to overcollecting for scientific purposes (Medley 1980; Baskin and Baskin 1984). Plants within Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park cannot be collected without a permit from the Kentucky Department of Parks and KSNPC; these permits are only issued for valid scientific purposes. Plants occurring on private property are not afforded this protection. The Indiana occurrence is also potentially threatened by recreational use (trampling by fishermen, canoeists, hikers) (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana DNR, 2006). At present though, recreational use does not appear to be a significant threat in Indiana. In addition, these plants occur on state property and cannot be collected without a permit.

Disease and predation.
No diseases are known to be adversely impacting the species. Over-grazing by cattle on private property has the potential to adversely affect the species by eliminating flower production, but this effect has not been observed directly.

Inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.
Occurrences at Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park are protected from unauthorized taking; permits administered by the Kentucky Department of Parks and KSNPC are only issued for valid scientific purposes. Portions of occurrences 7a and 12a are protected through an MOU between KSNPC and KYTC (KSNPC 1989) that restricts habitat disturbance within these road rights-of-way. The Indiana occurrence occurs on state property (Harrison-Crawford State Forest) and
is also protected from unauthorized taking. No such protection is available for occurrences located on private property in Kentucky or Indiana.

**Other natural and manmade factors affecting its continued existence.**
The species has been reduced to a small number of occurrences with a limited number of individuals. Consequently, the species is vulnerable to natural or human-induced factors (fire suppression) that might directly destroy individuals and further reduce population size (Federal Register 1985). Natural (secondary) succession can eliminate potential habitat for *S. shortii* through changes in vegetational composition. As old-field habitats and closed canopy woodlands develop, potential *S. shortii* habitat is lost.

4. **Synthesis**
Short’s goldenrod was first collected in 1840 at Rock Island, adjacent to the Falls of the Ohio, Jefferson County, Kentucky. This site was later inundated by dam construction on the Ohio River, and the occurrence was lost. The species was considered extinct until 1939, when Dr. E. Lucy Braun discovered additional occurrences of the species in the vicinity of Blue Licks, Kentucky. She reported numerous occurrences growing on rocky slopes and in pastures in Nicholas, Robertson, and Fleming counties. When *S. shortii* was listed in 1985 (USFWS 1985), it was only known from five locations in Nicholas, Robertson, and Fleming counties, Kentucky (four privately owned properties and one location within Blue Licks Battlefield State Park). Based on the results of numerous surveys and investigations over the past 25 years, the current distribution of *S. shortii* is restricted to 13 occurrences within a 2-mile radius of Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park in Fleming, Nicholas, and Robertson counties, Kentucky and one occurrence along the Blue River in Harrison County, Indiana (Harrison-Crawford State Forest). Despite numerous, intensive searches of similar habitats, only two occurrences (one within Short’s Goldenrod SNP in Fleming County, Kentucky and the Indiana occurrence) have been discovered since completion of the recovery plan in 1988. At present, eight Kentucky occurrences (numbers 1, 2, 5, 7a, 8a, 11, 12a, and Short’s Goldenrod SNP) and the Indiana occurrence appear to be stable, and some level of protection has been achieved for all but one of these occurrences (number 11). The remaining Kentucky occurrences have shown declines in the number of stems and surface area since 1989 (Smith *et al.* 2004; Buchele *et al.* 1989). The reason for these declines is unknown, but competition from exotics and land-clearing activities on private property appear to be the primary causes.

The final rule (USFWS 1985) listed habitat destruction or alteration and possibly other natural or man-made factors such as fire suppression and the elimination of bison as the primary reason for the current endangered status of *S. shortii*. Increased visitor usage of Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park and further changes in land use (more intensive agricultural practices, natural succession, and additional construction activities) were listed as the primary future threats to the species. Due to its low competitive abilities, *S. shortii* is also threatened by weedy exotics, and even non-weedy natives, if there is not some disturbance maintaining the open character of the habitat. All of these threats remain.
Management of *S. shortii* on Blue Licks State Park Nature Preserve is on-going (Deborah White, personal communication, KSNPC, 2006). These actions have included (1) the clearing and removal of woody vegetation in order to control succession and (2) the removal of invasive species. Eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) has been selectively removed from most of the sites because its numbers have increased with natural succession. Several exotic species, crown vetch, musk thistle, tall fescue, Queen Anne’s lace, and sweet clover, have been hand-cleared and treated with herbicide. These actions appear to have benefited the species and will continue to be the focus of management for the state nature preserve within Blue Licks Battlefield State Resort Park. Controlled burns have also been employed at several locations within the park. Burns have appeared to be effective in controlling exotics and providing favorable conditions for *S. shortii* if done outside of the growing and/or flowering season. The Indiana DNR is currently developing a management strategy for the Indiana occurrence that will focus primarily on the removal and control of exotic species (Michael A. Homoya, personal communication, Indiana DNR, 2006).

Although recovery progress has been made, the recovery criteria listed above in Section 2.1 have not been met for delisting or downlisting the species. Two significant occurrences have been discovered since completion of the recovery plan, but several of the original 13 occurrences (including one of the original high priority sites, number 13) have been severely degraded due to habitat destruction and alteration by landowners. One of the original 13 occurrences (and also one of the nine highest priority sites) has apparently been destroyed. Some initial progress was made by KSNPC in the 1980s and 1990s regarding the development of registry agreements with landowners as a means of protecting occurrences on private lands. Unfortunately, these agreements have expired and no new agreements have been developed. Because of the restricted distribution of the species, loss of occurrences, and continued threats, *S. shortii* remains in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Therefore, we believe that the status of Short’s goldenrod should remain as endangered.

At the time of listing (Federal Register 1985), this species had a moderate degree of threat and a high recovery potential, resulting in a Recovery Priority Number of 8 for the taxonomic level of species. At present, the Service does not have any additional information to suggest that the degree of threat or the recovery potential has changed. Therefore, we believe the recovery priority for Short’s goldenrod should remain at 8 (moderate threat, high recovery potential, species level taxonomy).

RESULTS

1. **Recommended Classification**
   No change is needed for the existing classification of endangered.

2. **New Recovery Priority Number**
   No change is needed for the existing Recovery Priority Number of 8.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTIONS

- Continue searches for new occurrences in the Blue Licks area, especially near known buffalo traces
- Conduct searches for new occurrences in riparian outcrop habitats of central Kentucky and southern Indiana or in upland areas of the region with suitable habitat
- Continue to investigate the life history and ecological requirements of the species (e.g., seedling establishment, seed and pollen dispersal distances)
- Continue to pursue permanent protection (through registry agreements, easements, or land purchases) of occurrences located on private property
- Expand the size of extant occurrences through habitat management and augmentation
- Establish viable occurrences in areas within the historical range that have suitable habitat, especially the Blue Licks Area of Fleming, Nicholas, and Robertson counties; develop criteria for establishing experimental populations in Kentucky
- Acquire potentially suitable but currently unoccupied habitat for the species where the species can be introduced and managed
- Continue implementation of management actions for permanently protected occurrences; develop a management strategy for the Indiana occurrence
- Revise the recovery plan
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occurrence No.</th>
<th>USFWS (1988)</th>
<th>KSNPC</th>
<th>Tract or Site Name</th>
<th>Former Ownership (1988)</th>
<th>Current Ownership</th>
<th>Protection Status</th>
<th>Current Species Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blue Licks Battlefield State Park</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>dedicated as Blue Licks State Park Nature Preserve (SPNP)</td>
<td>Protected (Blue Licks SPNP)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Craig</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>verbal registry with landowner</td>
<td>Protected (Blue Licks SPNP)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blue Licks Battlefield State Park</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks notified but no formal protection</td>
<td>Protected (Blue Licks SPNP)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>signed registry with landowner</td>
<td>No protection - registry discontinued</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blue Licks Battlefield State Park</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks notified but no formal protection</td>
<td>Protected (Blue Licks SPNP)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smoot</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks</td>
<td>Ky Dept. of Parks notified but no formal protection</td>
<td>Protected (Blue Licks SPNP)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rice I</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>signed registry with landowner</td>
<td>No protection - registry discontinued</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Highway 165 site</td>
<td>KYTC</td>
<td>KYTC</td>
<td>notified</td>
<td>Protected through MOU between KYTC and KSNPC</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>4B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rice II</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>notified</td>
<td>No protection - destroyed</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>9B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffalo Trace Preserve</td>
<td>Private (TNC)</td>
<td>Private (TNC)</td>
<td>private preserve (TNC)</td>
<td>Protected (TNC ownership)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>9B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Frey / Roadside</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>signed registry</td>
<td>No protection - registry discontinued</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9A</td>
<td></td>
<td>None provided</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>No protection - purchase pending under RLA funds</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allison</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>notified</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnee I</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>verbal registry</td>
<td>No protection - registry discontinued</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>7A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right-of-way</td>
<td>KYTC</td>
<td>KYTC</td>
<td>notified</td>
<td>Protected through MOU between KYTC and KSNPC</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>7B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnee II</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>No protection</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Short's Goldenrod SNP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>KSNPC</td>
<td>occurrence unknown</td>
<td>Protected - purchased, dedicated as Short's Goldenrod SNP</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2Populations Status: Status categories include Stable/Increasing (S), Decreasing (D), and Unknown (U); based on stem counts by Buchele et al. (1989), Smith et al. (2004), and numerous observations by KSNPC (see comments column)